Late Coronary Occlusion After Intracoronary Brachytherapy
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Background—Intracoronary brachytherapy appears to be a promising technology to prevent restenosis. Presently, limited data are available regarding the late safety of this therapeutic modality. The aim of the study was to determine the incidence of late (>1 month) thrombosis after PTCA and radiotherapy.

Methods and Results—From April 1997 to March 1999, we successfully treated 108 patients with PTCA followed by intracoronary β-radiation. Ninety-one patients have completed at least 2 months of clinical follow-up. Of these patients, 6.6% (6 patients) presented with sudden thrombotic events confirmed by angiography 2 to 15 months after intervention (2 balloon angioplasty and 4 stent). Some factors (overlapping stents, unhealed dissection) may have triggered the thrombosis process, but the timing of the event is extremely unusual. Therefore, the effect of radiation on delaying the healing process and maintaining a thrombogenic coronary surface is proposed as the most plausible mechanism to explain such late events.

Conclusions—Late and sudden thrombosis after PTCA followed by intracoronary radiotherapy is a new phenomenon in interventional cardiology. (Circulation. 1999;100:789-792.)
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Thrombotic occlusion after PTCA is associated with increased morbidity and mortality rates. Current techniques of stenting followed by antiplatelet therapy have dramatically reduced the incidence of this event to <1.5%. 
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Intracoronary radiation is a promising new therapy to prevent restenosis. Recent randomized trials demonstrated a reduction in the restenosis rate and maintenance of benefits up to 2-year follow-up. Presently, limited data are available regarding long-term safety after intracoronary brachytherapy. Although subacute thrombosis has been reported after radiotherapy, the incidence of late thrombotic events has not been determined.

The aim of this study was to document the incidence of late (>1 month) thrombotic events after elective PTCA followed by intravascular radiotherapy.

Methods and Results
From April 1997 to March 1999, 108 consecutive patients were successfully treated with catheter-based intracoronary β-radiation at the Thoraxcenter (Rotterdam, The Netherlands). The Medical Ethical Committee approved the use of radiation therapy and informed consent was obtained from every patient. All patients presented with stable angina pectoris and single vessel disease. Brachytherapy was performed using the Beta-Cath system (Novoste Corporation) (n=76 patients, 32 stents and 44 balloon angioplasty (BA)), or the Guidant intravascular brachytherapy system (Guidant Corporation Vascular Intervention) (n=32 patients, 13 Stents and 19 BA). BA and stenting were performed according to standard techniques. Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) was performed after radiation with a mechanical ultrasound catheter (CVIS, Boston Scientific). Off-line quantitative coronary angiography was performed using CAAS system (Pie Medical Imaging BV). Six-month angiographic and IVUS follow-up was scheduled in all patients.

All patients were discharged without complications. Ninety-one patients completed at least 2-month clinical follow-up. We observed 1 case of subacute thrombosis occurring 15 days after stenting. This patient received an 18-mm long stent (postdilated at 10 atm) with optimal IVUS result. Ticlopidine withdrawal (12 days after stenting) was the plausible explanation of thrombosis in this case.

Six patients (6.6%) presented with sudden late thrombotic coronary occlusion. Two of them were treated with BA and the remaining 4 received an additional stent after radiation. Their clinical characteristics are summarized in...
the Table. No clinical or anatomic characteristic appeared to be related to these events.

In patient 1, overlapping 9- and 32-mm NIR stents (Medinol Ltd) were optimally implanted as assessed by IVUS. Patients 2 and 3 (BA) showed type B dissections without compromising flow postprocedure. Patients 4 and 5 both received a Multilink 25-mm stent (Advanced Cardiovascular Systems/Guidant), and patient 6 received a NIR 16-mm stent with optimal angiographic results. Stent patients were discharged on aspirin (250 mg/d) and ticlopidine (250 mg BID for 15 days in patients 3 and 4, and for 30 days in cases 5 and 6). BA patients received aspirin alone.

Patient 1 was readmitted with ventricular fibrillation 2 months after the procedure, whereas, patients 2, 5, and 6 sustained inferior myocardial infarctions (MI) between 2.5 and 3 months after the treatment. The irradiated segment was occluded at 6-month angiogram in patients 1 and 2 (Figure 1). Thrombotic occlusion was successfully treated by primary angioplasty in patients 5 and 6. BA patients received aspirin alone.

In patient 3, the 6-month IVUS control revealed a persistent (unhealed) submedial dissection (Figure 2) with no signs of restenosis. Nine months later, this patient sustained a posterolateral MI which was treated with thrombolytics. Two weeks after this treatment, an angiogram performed because of recurrent angina showed the occlusion in the irradiated area. Similarly, the 6-month IVUS control of patient 4 showed no neointimal hyperplasia. Four months after control, she had a stroke and aspirin was replaced by acenocoumarol. Ten days later, she sustained an anterior MI. The thrombotic occlusion in the treated site was confirmed by angiography.

**Discussion**

Progression to total occlusion after BA is a stable process in the general nonirradiated population which occurs in approximately 4% of patients, leading to a MI in <0.5%.12 In contrast, our study shows a high incidence (6.6%) of thrombotic clinical events 2 to 15 months after PTCA and brachytherapy.

Coronary dissection after BA is associated with abrupt closure; however, type B dissections (NHLBI classification), as observed after BA in our patients, have not been related to thrombotic events.13 The normal healing process, present after dissections, may be impaired after intracoro-
nary radiation. Whether unhealed dissections, as demonstrated in patient 3, is related to late thrombosis remains to be elucidated in a larger population. Further, the necessity of stenting mild dissections without compromising flow after radiotherapy should be investigated.

The mean time of subacute thrombosis after stenting is approximately 5 to 6 days. In a recent study, subacute thrombosis occurred within the first 24 hours in 86% of patients treated with aspirin and ticlodipine for 14 days, with no case of thrombotic events occurring after 15 days. Colombo et al reported only 2 cases (0.6%) of thrombosis occurring 2 to 6 months after stenting. In contrast, in our study, 4 patients receiving a stent (8.8%) experienced thrombosis late after radiation.

Experimentally, reendothelialization after injury takes 4 weeks to be completed. However, the clinical presentation of subacute thrombosis infrequently occurs later than 15 days after stenting, when the reendothelialization process may still be incomplete. Delayed reendothelialization as a trigger mechanism of late stent thrombosis after antineoplastic therapy has been hypothesized previously. Farb et al reported incomplete endothelialization 3 months after placement of β-radioactive stent. Nevertheless, the same group showed no differences regarding endothelial cell growth between radioactive and control stents in another experimental model. Further studies should address the timing of stent reendothelialization after brachytherapy to determine its role on the pathogenesis of late thrombosis.

Although multiple stents have been related to subacute thrombosis, the significance of multiple stent implantation (patient 1) on late thrombotic phenomena has not been demonstrated.

The use of intracoronary β-radiation is a common feature in our patients. However, the judgment of whether radiation is the key factor in the pathogenesis of late thrombosis should await the analysis of ongoing trials. Concomitantly, the benefit of prolonged antiplatelet therapy with the combination of aspirin, clopidogrel, or ticlopidine should be considered.

Limitations

Our patients were included in well-controlled β-radiation studies with similar baseline characteristics and inclusion criteria (lesion length <15 mm, treatment of single vessel). However, 2 different systems to deliver β-radiation were used.

In addition, it is not possible to rule out the natural history of coronary disease as a cause of late thrombosis. However, the incidence of total occlusion in the general nonirradiated population is much lower than that observed in our study. In fact, the incidence of late thrombotic events would be even higher if, in the interest of completeness, we waited for 1-year follow-up in the total patient population.

Finally, whether late thrombosis is a generic complication of intracoronary radiotherapy or is restricted to the use of β-sources cannot be extrapolated from our findings.
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Figure 2. Patient 3. A, Postprocedure coronary dissection, demonstrated both on angiography and IVUS, did not compromise flow in treated area (arrowhead). B, This dissection persisted unhealed at 6-month control (arrowhead). C, At 15 months, irradiated segment appeared occluded.