Normalization of Height in Girls with Turner Syndrome after Long-Term Growth Hormone Treatment: Results of a Randomized Dose-Response Trial*
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ABSTRACT

Short stature and ovarian failure are the main features in Turner syndrome (TS). To optimize GH and estrogen treatment, we studied 68 previously untreated girls with TS, age 2–11 yr, who were randomly assigned to one of three GH dosage groups: group A, 4 IU/m²/day (±0.045 mg/kg/day); group B, first yr 4, thereafter 6 IU/m²/day (±0.0675 mg/kg/day); group C, first yr 4, second yr 6, thereafter 8 IU/m²/day (±0.090 mg/kg/day). In the first 4 yr of GH treatment, no estrogens for pubertal induction were given to the girls. Thereafter, girls started with 17β-estradiol (5 μg/kg bw/day, orally) when they had reached the age of 12 yr. Subjects were followed up until attainment of adult height or until cessation of treatment because of satisfaction with the height achieved.

Seven-year data of all girls were evaluated to compare the growth-promoting effects of three GH dosages during childhood. After 7 yr, 85% of the girls had reached a height within the normal range for healthy Dutch girls. The 7-yr increment in height SD-score was significantly higher in groups B and C than in group A. In addition, we evaluated the data of 32 of the 68 girls who had completed the trial after a mean duration of treatment of 7.3 yr (range, 5.0 - 8.75). Mean (SD) height was 158.8 cm (7.1), 161.0 cm (6.8), and 162.3 cm (6.1) in groups A, B, and C, respectively. The mean (SD) difference between predicted adult height before treatment and achieved height was 12.5 cm (2.1), 14.5 cm (4.0), and 16.0 cm (4.1) for groups A, B, and C, respectively, being significantly different between group A and group C. GH treatment was well tolerated in all three GH dosage groups.

In conclusion, GH treatment starting in relatively young girls with TS results in normalization of height during childhood, as well as of adult height, in most of the individuals. With this GH and estrogen treatment regimen, most girls with TS can grow and develop much more in conformity with their healthy peers. (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 84: 4607–4612, 1999)

SHORT STATURE and ovarian failure are the main features in Turner syndrome (TS). The median adult height of North European girls with TS is 146.9 cm, being, on average, approximately 20 cm less than their healthy peers (1, 2). Although these girls are not GH deficient (3), GH administration accelerates growth in a dose-dependent way (4, 5). In many countries, TS is an accepted indication for GH treatment, although the effect of GH on adult height is inconsistent (6–11). In most girls with TS, puberty has to be induced by estrogen therapy. The optimal age to start estrogen therapy is still a point of discussion. It has been suggested to postpone estrogen therapy to delay closure of the epiphysial growth plates and, consequently, to prolong the growth phase (9–12). However, delay of pubertal development may have serious psychosocial consequences.

To optimize GH and estrogen treatment, in 1989 we started a randomized dose-response study in 68 girls with TS. Four-year results were described earlier (5). We now report 7-yr results to compare the long-term growth-promoting effect of GH in childhood between the three dosage groups. In addition, growth data of the girls who had completed the trial before the end of August 1998 have been evaluated.

Patients and Methods

Study subjects

Sixty-eight previously untreated girls with TS were enrolled in a multicenter GH dose-response study. The diagnosis was confirmed by lymphocyte chromosomal analysis. Three girls had a prenatal diagnosis. Inclusion criteria were a chronological age (CA) between 2 and 11 yr,
height below the 50th percentile for healthy Dutch girls (13), and normal thyroid function. Exclusion criteria were: associated endocrine and/or metabolic disorders; growth failure caused by other disorders; emotional deprivation; hydrocephalus; previous use of drugs, which could interfere with GH treatment; and spontaneous puberty (14). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents or custodians, whereas a written assent was obtained in the girls more than 12 yr of age. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of each participating center.

**Study design**

After stratification for CA and height sd-score for CA girls were randomly assigned to one of three GH dosage groups: A, (n = 23) receiving 4 IU/m² body surface day (equivalent to 0.045 mg/kg); B, (n = 23) receiving 4 IU/m²day in the first yr, followed by 6 IU/m²day (~0.0675 mg/kg/day); or C (n = 22) receiving 4 IU/m²day in the first yr, 6 IU/m²day in the second yr, and thereafter 8 IU/m²day (~0.090 mg/kg/day).

Biosynthetic human GH (Norditropin, Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was given sc once daily at bedtime using a pen injection system. Every 3 months, the total GH dose was adjusted to the calculated body surface. According to the study protocol, the GH treatment was stopped when subjects had grown less than 1 cm over 6 months. However, when girls were satisfied with their height achieved, they elected to stop GH treatment before study criteria for the termination of treatment had been reached. In the first 4 yr of GH treatment, no estrogens for pubertal induction were given to the girls. After 4 yr of GH treatment, estrogen therapy was started in the girls who were older than 12.0 yr of age; the younger girls started estrogen therapy at a yearly visit after reaching the age of 12 yr. 17β-Estradiol, 5 μg/kg body weight/day, orally, were given in the first 2 yr, 7.5 μg/kg/day in the third yr, and 10 μg/kg/day thereafter. Cyclic progestagen therapy (Duphaston 5 mg/day in the first 14 days of the month) was added after 2 yr of estrogen therapy. If puberty had developed spontaneously (Tanner breast stage ≥2) during the study period and before start of estrogens, no estrogens were given.

Height was measured at baseline and subsequently every 3 months using a Harpenden stadiometer. Four measurements per visit were made by two trained observers (A.v.T. and, subsequently T. Sas), and the mean was used for the analysis. For the adult height evaluation, adult height was defined as the most recent available height after discontinuation of GH treatment. Height was expressed as sd-score using the references for healthy Dutch girls (13) or the references for North European untreated girls with TS (2). At adult height, the height sd-score for TS was calculated using the reference data of 21 yr of age. Target height was adapted from Dutch reference data with the addition of 3 cm for secular trend: TH = t × (H Finite + H Bottom) − 12 cm + 3 cm (13). Target range was defined as the TH ≤ 8 cm (15). During GH treatment pubertal stages were assessed according to Tanner (14). Bone age was determined by the same two observers according to the Tanner and Whitehouse radius, ulna, short-bones score (16). Bone maturation was expressed as the ratio of the change in BA to the change in CA (ΔBA/ΔCA). Predicted adult height was calculated with the modified projected adult height method, using the equation of Lyon, adapted to North European untreated girls with TS (2, 17, 18). To assess the gain in adult height, the attained adult height was compared with the modified projected adult height before treatment. Blood samples were taken at the start of the study and subsequently every yr for determination of the glycosylated hemoglobin.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed as mean (sd), unless indicated otherwise. Differences between the dosage groups were first tested by a linear trend test. In case of a significant result, this was followed by comparisons with Student’s t tests. Differences between points in time were tested by paired Student’s t tests.

**Results**

**Clinical data and 7-yr results**

The trial started in November 1989. During the first 3 yr, three girls, one in each dosage group, dropped out of the study because of noncompliance and were lost to follow-up. In October 1997, the remaining 65 girls had been followed for 7 yr. Table 1 lists the baseline clinical data of these girls. The three dosage groups had similar initial characteristics.

Fig. 1 shows the individual heights of the 65 girls at the start of GH treatment, as well as after 7 yr. Twelve girls had completed the trial during the 7-yr study period. After 7 yr of GH treatment, 55 of the 65 girls (85%) had a height within the normal range for healthy Dutch girls, whereas only 10 girls (15%) had a height just below the 3rd percentile. Fig. 2 shows the height sd-score of the 65 girls using references of healthy Dutch girls (bottom) and the height sd-score using Turner references (top) during the 7-yr study period. At baseline, the girls in all three groups have a mean height that is normal for untreated North European girls with TS. After 7 yr of GH treatment, the Turner height sd-score in all three groups has increased significantly (P < 0.001). The change in sd-score was significantly higher in groups B and C compared with group A [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.08, 0.95; P = 0.02 and 95% CI: 0.38, 1.27; P = 0.001, respectively]. However, the difference in sd-score between groups B and C was not statistically significant (95% CI: -0.19, 0.81, P = 0.22). The height after 7 yr was 16.5 cm (3.5) in group A, 19.6 cm (4.5) in group B, and 21.2 cm (4.3) in group C, greater than the expected height assuming that these girls would follow their height percentile when they were not treated with GH. Compared with healthy Dutch girls, the mean baseline height of the girls was far below normal (Fig. 2, bottom). After

**TABLE 1. Mean (sd) baseline data for each treatment group. Karyotype (45,X; other) is expressed in numbers (percentage) of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of girls</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline CA (yr)</td>
<td>6.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>6.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>6.5 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline bone age (yr)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.2)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.5)</td>
<td>5.8 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline sd-score for height (references healthy Dutch girls)</td>
<td>-2.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>-2.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>-2.6 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline sd-score for height (references girls with TS)</td>
<td>0.06 (1.03)</td>
<td>0.42 (1.05)</td>
<td>0.18 (1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline modified projected adult height (cm)</td>
<td>146.0 (5.5)</td>
<td>147.9 (5.6)</td>
<td>146.6 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target height (cm)</td>
<td>168.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>170.1 (6.1)</td>
<td>169.5 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyotype: 45,X</td>
<td>18 (82%)</td>
<td>21 (96%)</td>
<td>16 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyotype: other</td>
<td>4 (18%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (24%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 yr, the mean height sd-score in all three groups had increased to values within the normal range for healthy girls.

To compare skeletal maturation during 7 yr of GH treatment between the three GH dosage groups, one can determine the bone maturation of all girls still receiving GH treatment. However, to avoid selection bias, only girls with a CA less than or equal to 14 yr after 7 yr of GH treatment were included in this analysis (groups A, B, and C, n = 12, 11, 12, respectively). The mean ratio bone age (yr) / CA (yr) over 7 yr of GH treatment was 1.17 (0.14), 1.24 (0.16), and 1.20 (0.14) in groups A, B, and C, respectively, being significantly higher than 1 (P < 0.005 for all three GH dosage groups). These data indicate an acceleration of bone maturation compared with healthy children. However, no significant differences in bone maturation were found between the three GH dosage groups.

After 7 yr of GH treatment, 35 girls had started estrogen therapy at a mean (SD) CA of 12.9 yr (0.8) and a bone age of 13.2 yr (0.6). Twelve of the 35 girls started estrogen therapy between the CA of 13 and 15 yr because in the first 4-yr study period no estrogens were given, even if these girls were older than 12 yr of age. The other 23 of the 35 girls started estrogen therapy after reaching the age of 12 yr. The distribution of the 35 girls over the Tanner breast stages was: 11 girls were M2, 14 girls were M3, 6 girls were M4, and 4 girls were M5 after a mean (SD) duration of estrogen therapy of 1.8 yr (0.8). Five girls did not receive estrogens because of starting spontaneous puberty during GH treatment (at the end of the 7-yr study period, one girl had Tanner breast stage M2, two girls had M3, one girl had M4, and one girl had M5).

Adult height results

At the end of August 1998, 32 of the 65 girls (groups A, B, and C, n = 10, 10, 12, respectively) had completed the study after a mean duration of treatment of 7.3 yr (1.1) (range, 5.0 – 8.75). Twenty girls had discontinued GH treatment because of a height velocity less than 1 cm over 6 months, whereas 12 girls stopped GH treatment because they were satisfied with their attained height. Table 2 lists the clinical data of these 32 patients. Except for age, the baseline clinical data of these 32 girls were comparable with the baseline data of the 65 girls. Mean height was 158.8 cm (SD 7.1; range,
148.3–172.4 cm) in group A, 161.0 cm (sd 6.8; range, 152.8–176.2 cm) in group B, and 162.3 cm (sd 6.1; range, 154.3–171.2 cm) in group C, respectively. Except one girl, all girls (31 of 32) had a height of more than 150 cm. More than half the girls (17 of 32) had a height above 160 cm, and five of them exceeded 170 cm. The mean difference between the target height and the attained height was 10.9 cm (5.1) for group A, 9.5 cm (4.1) for group B, and 6.7 cm (4.6) for group C. The target range was reached in 4 of 10 girls in group A, 4 of 10 girls in group B, and 9 of 12 girls in group C. The mean increment in height was 12.5 cm (sd 2.1; range, 7.8–15.7 cm) for group A, 14.5 cm (sd 4.0; range, 8.1–20.3 cm) for group B, and 16.0 cm (sd 4.1; range, 10.2–24.8 cm) for group C, respectively, being significantly different from baseline (P < 0.001). The linear trend test showed a significant positive trend toward a higher gain in height (equal attained height minus baseline modified projected adult height) in the dosage groups receiving a higher GH dose (P = 0.027). The gain in height was significantly higher in group C compared with group A (P = 0.024), but without significant differences between groups A and B and groups B and C, respectively.

Treatment was well tolerated, and no adverse events were detected that were considered to be drug related. During the study period, glycosylated haemoglobin levels remained within the normal range. In addition, none of the girls developed diabetes mellitus.

Discussion

This study shows that in girls with TS treatment with biosynthetic GH, even with the "standard" dose of 4 IU/m²-day (=0.045 mg/kg-day), results in a normalization of height during childhood and a normalization of adult height in most of the girls. The ideal study design to assess the growth-promoting effect of GH is a randomized controlled trial with an untreated study group until adult height. Because at the start of the present study GH treatment in girls with TS was an accepted indication in the Netherlands, such a trial was not possible. Therefore, a randomized dose-response design was chosen to assess the effect of GH treatment on growth. To determine the effect of GH on adult height, the attained adult height was compared with the individually predicted adult height using the modified projected adult height method based on our own references for untreated Dutch girls with TS (2, 18). However, in such a growth analysis, secular trend and errors in adult height prediction have to be taken into account. Remarkably, the growth-promoting effect of GH found in the present study exceeds the effects of secular trend and prediction errors. After 7 yr of GH treatment, most girls had a height within the normal range for healthy Dutch girls. In addition, in the 32 girls who had reached adult height, the mean height in the three dosage groups was approximately 160 cm. Baseline predicted adult height was exceeded in all subjects, and the mean gain in adult height was well above 10 cm, even in the group receiving the standard GH dose of 4 IU/m²-day. More-

### Table 2. Mean (sd) baseline and adult height data in 32 girls who have completed the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of girls</th>
<th>Baseline age (yr)</th>
<th>Baseline SD-score for height (references healthy Dutch girls)</th>
<th>Baseline SD-score for height (references girls with TS)</th>
<th>Baseline modified projected adult height (cm)</th>
<th>Target height (cm)</th>
<th>Duration GH treatment (months)</th>
<th>Age start 17β-estradiol</th>
<th>Last age (yr)</th>
<th>Last height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>-2.81 (1.17)</td>
<td>0.09 (1.42)</td>
<td>146.2 (7.5)</td>
<td>169.7 (4.6)</td>
<td>93.3 (8.5)</td>
<td>12.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>16.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>158.8 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>-2.79 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.85)</td>
<td>146.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>170.5 (5.0)</td>
<td>81.3 (14.5)</td>
<td>13.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>15.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>161.0 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>-2.84 (0.82)</td>
<td>0.10 (1.01)</td>
<td>146.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>169.0 (4.5)</td>
<td>87.0 (14.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>15.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>162.3 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** The most recent height of each subject completing GH treatment relative to each subject's modified projected adult height for groups A (n = 10), B (n = 10), and C (n = 12), respectively. Mean increment in height (in cm) relative to modified projected adult height is indicated.
over, in more than half of the 32 girls, the attained height was within the target range.

As in the study of Rosenfeld et al. (9), some girls discontinued the GH treatment earlier because they were satisfied with their attained height. Although the maximal growth-promoting effect could not be obtained in these girls, such a precocious termination of GH treatment is the consequence of the good growth response of the treatment.

The results of the present study contrast with reports stating that GH treatment in girls with TS results only in modest increments or have no positive effect at all (6, 19, 20). In these studies, the age of start of GH treatment was considerably older than in our study. In the study of Rosenfeld et al. (9), however, girls were started at a younger age (9.1 yr) and showed a mean gain in adult height (8.4 cm) being more comparable with our results. The girls who have reached adult height in our study had a mean age at baseline of 8.2 yr, being even younger than in the study of Rosenfeld et al. (9). Therefore, in our opinion, the most important reason of our better results is the fact that GH treatment was started at a younger age compared to earlier studies. Because the girls of our study who are still receiving GH are even younger than the girls who have already attained their adult height, we expect at least a similar gain in adult height in these younger girls. To start GH treatment at an appropriate age to achieve a normal adult height, early diagnosis of TS is very important. Each physician should consider the diagnosis in every short girl even when Turner stigmata are absent.

In our view, it is very important for the psychosocial well-being of the girls to induce the pubertal development in conformity with their healthy peers. Therefore, in the present study, estrogen therapy was started at a pubertal age. However, when estrogen therapy is started before the end of the growth phase one runs the risk of earlier epiphyseal closure, and consequently a lower gain in adult height. From the results of the present study we can state that low-dose estrogens at a pubertal age does not interfere with the capability of GH treatment to normalize adult height in most of the girls with TS. Thus, a major outcome of our study is that with these GH and estrogen treatment regimens, most girls with TS can grow and develop much more in conformity with their healthy peers.

Seven-year data demonstrated a higher increment in height in the GH dosage groups receiving 6 or 8 IU/m²-day compared with 4 IU/m²-day. In the 32 girls who had attained their adult stature, the gain in adult height over the baseline predicted adult height was higher with a GH dose of 8 compared with 4 IU/m²-day (16.0 vs. 12.5 cm). However, this difference in gain in adult height is quite small in proportion to the difference in the GH dose between these two groups. In contrast, Carel et al. (21) found an increment in adult height that was twice as high in a study group who received an increasing GH dose (dependent on the height velocity, up to 9 IU/m²-day) compared to a fixed GH dose (approximately 3.9 IU/m²-day) group (10.6 vs. 5.2 cm). However, these increments in adult height in both study groups were even lower compared to the results of our dosage group receiving 4 IU/m²-day, probably due to the higher baseline age of the girls in that study compared to our study.

In conformity with the study of Carel et al. (21) in which even higher GH dosages were used than in our study, tolerance to all three GH regimens was good. Our 4-yr results of the effects of GH treatment on lipid metabolism showed no unwanted side effects (22). As described in other studies, GH treatment had no adverse effect on glucose metabolism, but the insulin levels had increased significantly after 4 yr of GH treatment compared to baseline levels (22–24). In the present study, we showed that during 7 yr of treatment glycosylated hemoglobin levels stayed within the normal range; an extensive evaluation of the effects of long-term GH treatment on carbohydrate metabolism is described elsewhere (24a). In a previous paper, we showed that 7 yr of GH treatment does not have adverse effects on left ventricular heart dimensions or blood pressure (25).

If higher GH dosages have proven to be safe on the very long-term and result in a clinically significant higher increment in adult height compared to lower GH dosages, cost-benefit evaluations have to be performed. Furthermore, psychological studies are required to evaluate whether the (early) normalization of height is accompanied by an improvement of the psychosocial functioning in childhood as well as in adulthood.

In conclusion, GH treatment starting in relatively young girls with TS results in normalization of height during childhood and normalization of adult height in most of the girls, even using the “standard” GH dose of 4 IU/m²-day (~0.045 mg/kg-day), and without unwanted side effects. Higher GH doses may be more effective, but the efficacy on adult height and safety in the very long-term have still to be proven. Induction of puberty with low-dose estrogens can be started at normal pubertal age without interference with the capability of GH treatment to normalize adult height in most of the girls with TS.
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Erratum

In the article “Time mode of growth hormone (GH) entry into the bloodstream and steady-state plasma GH concentrations, rather than sex, estradiol, or menstrual cycle stage, primarily determine the GH elimination rate in healthy young women and men” by N. Shah et al. (The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 84:2862–2869), the authors make the following correction to the text.

In Subjects and Methods, the i.v. constant GH infusion dose should read 0.5, 1.5, or 4.5 μg/kg/3 hours. The authors regret the error.