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Stroke is a global and increasing public health problem. In the Netherlands approximately 44,000 people suffer a stroke every year. With the development and improvement of medical and surgical technologies, the mortality rate after stroke has decreased over the years, whereas stroke morbidity has increased. After stroke, approximately 75% of surviving patients will have problems in mobility, fatigue, emotion, and cognition, measured up to five years post stroke. In many patients with stroke these long-term consequences in daily life result in decreased health-related quality of life (HR-QoL). Even after seven years, a large proportion of patients with stroke report a poor HR-QoL. The World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL) Group defines quality of life as “individuals’ perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns”. HR-QoL refers to the health-related aspects of quality of life. To quantify HR-QoL, so called ‘utility scores’ can be used. These scores range along a continuum from 0 (equal to death) to 1 (equal to full health). Utility scores for HR-QoL after stroke are in the range of 0.47 to 0.68, which is substantially lower than those of a healthy reference population (score 0.93). HR-QoL after stroke is predicted by several factors, including functional constraints, age, sex, socioeconomic status, depression and coping strategy.

HR-QoL changes over time after stroke. During post-acute stroke rehabilitation HR-QoL will increase, along with recovery of bodily functions and activities. Discharge from rehabilitation seems a challenging time with respect to HR-QoL. When treatment is completed, patients are confronted with the consequences of stroke in their home environment. Patients need to cope with these consequences without professional support, which can cause psychological distress. Therefore, after discharge from rehabilitation HR-QoL may decrease, with even a further decline in the long-term.

**COPING, PROBLEM SOLVING, DEPRESSION AND HR-QOL AFTER STROKE**

An important psychosocial variable related to HR-QoL is depression, which is commonly present after stroke; the estimated first year prevalence is 33%. The cumulative incidence of depression is shown to be up to 52% within five years after stroke. Depression has a negative effect on HR-QoL after stroke, and appears to be more influential than functional constraints.

Another psychosocial factor related to HR-QoL is coping strategy. Coping is defined as the cognitive and behavioral efforts to deal with stressful situations and the emotions they generate. In literature there are several coping theories;
e.g. problem-solving vs emotion-focused strategies, avoidance-oriented vs active approach-oriented coping, assimilative vs accommodative coping.\textsuperscript{19,20} Regarding coping after stroke, it is known from literature that patients with stroke insufficiently use active, problem-oriented coping strategies, while active coping strategies are associated with better HR-QoL.\textsuperscript{21,22} Furthermore, coping becomes more important in determining HR-QoL after stroke over time, while the importance of general functioning decreases.\textsuperscript{10} This implies that in the long-term, patients could benefit from improved coping. Coping can be influenced by means of intervention.\textsuperscript{23} No studies have investigated the effect of an intervention on HR-QoL through the change of coping strategies in patients with stroke.

A specific coping process is problem solving, which is defined as “the process of finding solutions to specific problems”.\textsuperscript{18} Problem solving cannot be directly compared with or distinguished from other coping activities because it can serve a variety of coping functions. Problem solving has been shown to be related with depression and HR-QoL,\textsuperscript{24,25} and has been shown helpful during the phase of recovery after discharge from stroke rehabilitation, when HR-QoL decreases.\textsuperscript{22}

**PROBLEM SOLVING THERAPY**

Problem Solving Therapy (PST) is a low cost intervention aimed at coping strategies; patients are taught to increase structure in solving problems and flexibility by using different coping strategies in various situations. PST is a widely used intervention and has been investigated in several patient populations; e.g. patients with depression,\textsuperscript{26,27} breast cancer survivors,\textsuperscript{28,29} and patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.\textsuperscript{30} In patients with stroke, PST has been shown successful in reducing symptoms of depression\textsuperscript{31} and in preventing post-stroke depression,\textsuperscript{32} although the latter effect was not significant using more conservative analysis. The effects of PST on coping strategy and HR-QoL after stroke are unknown.

The aim of this thesis is to evaluate whether PST, administered as an add-on module in outpatient stroke rehabilitation, is effective in improving coping strategy and HR-QoL after finishing the rehabilitation program. The PST intervention has been slightly adapted for our study; it is provided in an open group design requiring a continuous flow of patients entering and leaving the group. The intervention consists of eight group sessions of 1.5 hours a week, with homework exercises after each session. The group consists of three to six participants and is provided by a trained neuropsychologist. The problem solving process is structured in four steps: [1] define problem and goal; [2] generate multiple solutions; [3] select a solution; and [4] implement and evaluate.
AIM OF THIS THESIS

The aim of this thesis is to evaluate the effectiveness of PST for patients in the rehabilitation phase after stroke. In order to do so, we aim to [1] investigate the relationships between coping strategy, problem solving skills, depression and HR-QoL after stroke and [2] to evaluate the effect of PST on coping strategy and HR-QoL. Finally, we want to [3] evaluate the effectiveness of other stroke rehabilitation interventions on HR-QoL.

As described, depression and coping both are important psychosocial determinants for HR-QoL, but these variables have been shown to be related to each other as well. Depression is a known effect modifier of the relation between coping strategy and HR-QoL. Therefore, this thesis investigates the relationship between coping, depression and HR-QoL after stroke in different phases of recovery. In Chapter 2, the relative association of coping strategy and depression with HR-QoL in patients in the chronic phase after stroke is investigated. This study included a sample of 213 patients >18 months after stroke. In Chapter 3, we assess whether coping strategy and problem solving skills are related to psychosocial HR-QoL, independent of depression. In addition, we describe whether patients with high and low depression scores after stroke use different coping strategies and problem solving skills. This study included a sample of 166 patients in the rehabilitation phase after stroke.

Chapter 4 presents the study protocol of a pragmatic randomized controlled trial (RCT) we set up to evaluate the effectiveness of PST during outpatient stroke rehabilitation. Both the content of the intervention and the design and methods of the study are described. The results of this RCT, up to 12 months after the intervention, are presented in Chapter 5.

Since we were interested in the beneficial effect of PST on HR-QoL, which is the ultimate outcome measure in rehabilitation, we questioned whether other therapies could also improve HR-QoL after stroke. In Chapter 6, the results of a meta-analysis on the effectiveness of different types of stroke rehabilitation interventions on HR-QoL are described. This meta-analysis aimed to synthesize evidence from the literature about the effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions after stroke, and to evaluate which type of intervention is most effective.

Finally, Chapter 7 presents a general discussion of the results and conclusions of this thesis. Methodological issues are discussed, as well as implications for clinical practice and future perspectives.
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**Objective**
To investigate the relative associations of coping strategy and depression on health-related quality of life in patients in the chronic phase after stroke.

**Design**
Cross-sectional study.

**Subjects**
A total of 213 patients after stroke (>18 months post-onset), mean age of 59 years (standard deviation (SD) 9.86 years), 56% men, mean time post-stroke 53 months (SD 37.8 months).

**Methods**
Coping strategy was measured using the assimilative-accommodative coping scale, depression using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, and quality of life using the World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF. Multivariable regression analyses were performed, adjusted for patient characteristics.

**Results**
Depression score was independently related to all domains of quality of life (Psychological Health ($\beta=-0.924$; $p=0.000$), Physical Health ($\beta=-0.832$; $p=0.000$), Social Relationships ($\beta=-0.917$; $p=0.000$), Environment ($\beta=-0.662$, $p=0.000$)). Accommodative coping ($\beta=0.305$; $p=0.024$) and assimilative coping ($\beta=0.235$; $p=0.070$) were independently related to the domain Psychological Health, adjusted for depression and education level.

**Conclusion**
Coping strategies and depression score were independently associated with Psychological Health in patients in the chronic phase after stroke. Patients who prefer an accommodative coping strategy may show less symptoms of depression. Preferable coping strategies may be trained in order to improve both depression score and health-related quality of life in future research.
INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL) Group defines quality of life as “individuals’ perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns”. Health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) refers to the health-related aspects of quality of life. On average, utility scores of HR-QoL after stroke range from 0.47 to 0.68 (utility score equal to death is 0.0 and full health 1.0), which is lower than the value of a healthy reference population (utility score 0.93). Even after 7 years, a large proportion of patients report a poor HR-QoL. HR-QoL after stroke is predicted by functional constraints, age, gender, and psychosocial factors, such as depression and socioeconomic status. Depression is common after stroke, with an estimated first year prevalence of 30%, and it appears to be more influential than functional constraints. A recent systematic review showed a cumulative incidence of depression of up to 52% within 5 years after stroke. Lower quality of life was an outcome of depression in this study.

Another important psychosocial factor with regard to HR-QoL after stroke is coping style. Coping style is commonly defined as someone’s preferred way of dealing with stressful situations. There are several dominant coping theories in literature; problem-solving vs emotion-focused strategies, avoidance-oriented vs active approach-oriented coping, and dispositional vs situational approaches to coping. Brandstädter & Renner (1990) use different dimensions; they distinguish two general coping strategies: assimilative and accommodative coping. Patients applying the assimilative coping strategy aim to adjust the situation to their personal preferences, trying to continue life as it was before an unpleasant event. This strategy is also called tenacious goal pursuit. Patients applying the accommodative coping strategy aim to adjust their personal preferences to the situation, accepting the consequences of an event. This strategy is also called flexible goal adjustment. According to Brandststädtter & Renner (1990) both coping strategies may operate simultaneously. However, they expect that assimilative coping is dominant in the acute phase after an unpleasant event, whereas accommodative coping gradually increases over time. In patients after stroke, these coping strategies have been shown to be related to quality of life. Smout et al. (2001) shows that accommodative coping is related to a higher quality of life in patients in the chronic phase after stroke, while assimilative coping is related to a lower quality of life. Darlington et al. (2007) shows that, depending on the time post-onset, both assimilation and accommodation are positively related to quality of life from a societal perspective, with patients applying both strategies in different situations being most successful.
Coping strategy and depression have also been shown to be related. The assimilative and accommodative coping strategies are inversely related to symptoms of depression in a population of healthy adults.\textsuperscript{15} In patients after stroke, the use of avoidance coping was a predictor of depression before discharge from rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{16} This is an important finding, because stroke patients make less use of active problem-oriented coping than other brain-damaged patients and thus may be at higher risk of developing depression.\textsuperscript{17}

Depression and coping are important variables that interact and that both may affect HR-QoL after stroke. A few studies investigated the influence of these two psychosocial factors on HR-QoL after stroke. One study showed that depression is related to a decreased HR-QoL in patients who have had an aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH).\textsuperscript{18} These results may not apply to patients with ischemic and haemorrhagic strokes, as patients with SAH differ in age and comorbidity. Furthermore, this study in SAH patients only measured passive coping, which was not significantly associated with HR-QoL.\textsuperscript{18} A literature review described that depression and coping strategies are both determinants of HR-QoL after stroke.\textsuperscript{10} This review did not report the relative contribution of the two psychosocial factors. If coping independently affects HR-QoL after stroke, an intervention aimed at optimizing coping strategies may be useful in stroke rehabilitation programs. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the relative effect of coping strategy and depression on HR-QoL in patients in the chronic phase after stroke. Because we focused on patients in the chronic stage after stroke, we expected that the accommodative coping strategy (flexibility: accepting the consequences of an event) would be more prevalent than the assimilative coping strategy (tenacity: adjusting the situation) in line with Brandstädter & Renner (1990).\textsuperscript{15} Based on the literature we expected that depression is associated with a lower HR-QoL after stroke, and that an accommodative coping strategy is related to a higher HR-QoL. Despite the inverse relationship between coping strategy and depression, we hypothesized that the relationship between coping strategy and HR-QoL is independent of depression.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

Patients in the chronic phase after stroke (at least 18 months post-onset) were invited to participate in the study, from April 2008 to September 2010. The participants were former patients of Rijndam Rehabilitation Center in Rotterdam and Heliomare.
Rehabilitation Center in Wijk aan Zee, both cities in the Netherlands. Inclusion criteria were: “first and only stroke”, a minimum of 18 months post stroke, age between 18 and 80 years, and living independently. Exclusion criteria were: progressive neurological disorders, such as dementia or multiple sclerosis, insufficient understanding of the Dutch language, alcohol or drug abuse, subarachnoid haemorrhage, or subdural haematomas. Eligible patients were approached by their physician and invited to participate in an intervention study to evaluate the effect of a memory training program. Patients were asked whether they subjectively experienced problems in memory functioning as a result of stroke. All patients were included for the baseline measurement, with or without subjective memory problems. The baseline data of this trial were used for this cross-sectional study. Approval was given by the medical ethics committee of the Erasmus MC. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study.

Measurement instruments
Patients were assessed at home by a trained research psychologist. Coping strategy was measured using the assimilative-accommodative coping scale (AACS). The AACS consists of two subscales: tenacious goal pursuit (assimilative coping; adjusting the situation to personal preferences) and flexible goal adjustment (accommodative coping; adjusting personal preferences to the situation). Each subscale contains 15 items measured on a 5-point rating scale, ranging from 0 to 4. A sum score was calculated for both subscales, ranging from 0 to 60. The assimilative and accommodative strategies may operate simultaneously, but people mostly show a preference for one of the two strategies, which may change over time. Higher scores on one of the subscales indicate more use of that coping strategy. The internal consistency and validity of the scales are good.

HR-QoL was measured using the WHOQOL-BREF, which is a generic HR-QoL questionnaire. We used the Dutch version of this questionnaire, which has been shown valid and reliable. The questionnaire consists of 26 questions resulting in four domains of HR-QoL: Physical Health (e.g. pain, sleep, energy, mobility, activities of daily living, dependence on medicinal substances or aids, work capacity), Psychological Health (e.g. feelings, cognition, self-esteem, beliefs), Social Relationships (e.g. personal relationships, social support, sexual activity) and Environment (e.g. freedom, home environment, financial resources, health and social care, transport). The items are measured on a 5-point rating scale, ranging from 1 to 5. The domain scores are calculated as the sum scores of items in the domains and are transformed to a 0-100 scale.
Depression was measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). This questionnaire consists of 20 items concerning depression, ranging from 0 to 3. Higher scores indicate more depressive symptoms, a score of 16 or higher is considered “depressed”.22 The questionnaire has a range from 0 to 60, and shows good internal consistency and validity in the Dutch population.23

The presence and severity of aphasia was measured using the short version of the Token Test.24 The validated scale ranges from 0 to 36, a score of 29 or lower indicates aphasic features.

Level of education was classified in a 7-level system, in which 1 refers to some years of basic primary education and 7 refers to a university degree or higher.25 Demographic and clinical characteristics, such as the side and type of stroke, were obtained from patient records and a structured interview by the research psychologist prior to the measurement.

**Statistical analyses**

Descriptive statistics were used to determine patient characteristics and the responses on the questionnaires. A sample of non-responders available from one of the two participating rehabilitation centers was compared with the study sample for the variables age, gender, type of stroke, side of stroke and time post-onset. The preferred coping strategy of each patient was determined by calculating the ratio between the sum scores of the two coping strategies (accommodation divided by assimilation), a ratio higher than one indicates more use of accommodative coping. Correlation coefficients were calculated to investigate if the variables HR-QoL, coping strategy and depression score were interrelated, and were checked for multi-collinearity. We also studied the correlation of these variables with the following potentially confounding variables: age, gender, living without a partner, level of education (dichotomized into high school or more (≥5) vs lower than high school (<5)), time post-onset, side of stroke, type of stroke, and aphasia.

Multivariable linear regression analyses were performed to investigate the relative contribution of the variables that were significantly correlated with at least one of the domains of HR-QoL (p<0.10). We assumed that HR-QoL, as measured with the four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF (Psychological Health, Physical Health, Social Relationships, Environment), may depend on the depression score and coping strategy. Variables were entered into the model using a blockwise procedure. In the first block both coping strategies were forced into the model. In the second block depression score was entered into the model, to estimate if the contribution of coping on HR-QoL changed. In the third block the potentially confounding variables
were forced in the model, to adjust for possible confounders. The variance explained for each block (R^2 change) and its significance were estimated. P-values of 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Model assumptions of linearity, normality and homoscedasticity were checked. Analyses were performed in SPSS version 19.0.

RESULTS

Inclusion

A total of 1,121 patients were approached for participation in this study, of which 220 signed an informed consent form. Reasons for not participating in the study were non-response (n=628), negative response (n=265), and not meeting the inclusion criteria (n=8). Seven patients were excluded after the baseline measurement due to lack of comprehension of the questionnaires and inadequate yes/no responses; we could not analyze the data from these patients due to the high level of missing data as a result of cognitive impairments and aphasia. Therefore, data of 213 patients were analyzed. The WHOQOL-BREF and CES-D were completed by all patients, there was one patient missing on the AACS. Of all patients, 73.7% reported subjective memory complaints. The sample of non-responders (n=434) was not significantly different compared with the study participants except for the variables age and time post stroke; non-participants were older (mean 60.9 years, SD 11.9, p=0.041) and the time post-stroke was longer (mean 76.5 months, SD 38.2, p<0.001) than study participants.

Table 1 shows patient characteristics and mean outcome scores of the study participants. The HR-QoL mean domain scores are lower than those of a Dutch norm population (Table 1). In 73.1% of patients the accommodative coping strategy was more prominent than the assimilative coping strategy.
### Table 1. Descriptive variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level (high)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner (no)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of stroke (left)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of stroke (ischemic)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.97</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time post stroke (months)</td>
<td>52.95</td>
<td>37.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphasia severity</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodative coping</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilative coping</td>
<td>33.25</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Health</td>
<td>63.27</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Health</td>
<td>64.51</td>
<td>18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Relationships</td>
<td>65.33</td>
<td>18.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>69.66</td>
<td>14.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aMeasured using the Token Test
*bMeasured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale
*cMeasured using the assimilative-accommodative coping scale
*dMeasured using the WHOQOL-BREF; norm scores: Psychological Health: 78.75; Physical Health: 89.38; Social Relationships: 73.75; Environment: 74.38.

### Correlation coefficients

Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients are shown in Table 2. There were no indications for multi-collinearity. The correlation coefficients showed that both depression and accommodative coping are strongly related to all four domains of HR-QoL (Table 2). Patients with a higher depression score had a lower HR-QoL and patients making more use of accommodative coping had a higher HR-QoL. The variables depression and the accommodative coping strategy were inversely related. Assimilative coping was only significantly related to the domains Psychological Health and Environment, patients making more use of assimilative coping had a higher HR-QoL in these domains. Living without a partner was negatively related
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Health</th>
<th>Physical Health</th>
<th>Social Relationships</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Depression score</th>
<th>Accommodative coping</th>
<th>Assimilative coping</th>
<th>Gender (male)</th>
<th>Absence of partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.600**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.542**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.456**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.112</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.689**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.464**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.153**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.100</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.167**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.347</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.377</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.274**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.214**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.081</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.044**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.067</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.136**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.151**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.347</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.347</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.412**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.073</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.554**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.377**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.142**</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=-0.100</td>
<td><strong>r</strong>=0.100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p-value ≤0.01 (2-tailed)**

*p-value ≤0.05 (2-tailed)

‡Spearman's rho correlation coefficients
to HR-QoL in all domains. Patients with a higher age or higher educational level had a higher HR-QoL in the domain Environment. Being a male was negatively related to the domain Physical Health. Patients who performed better on the test that measured aphasia had a higher HR-QoL in the domains Psychological health and Environment. Time post-onset, type of stroke and side of stroke were not related to any of the domains of HR-QoL, and were therefore not entered in the multivariable regression analysis.

**Multivariable analysis**

The significant variables were analysed further using multivariable regression analyses for the four domains of HR-QoL as dependent variables (Table 3). In the first block, accommodative coping was significantly related to all domains of HR-QoL. Assimilative coping was significantly related to Psychological Health. In the second block, both accommodative and assimilative coping were significantly related to Psychological Health, adjusted for depression score. Depression score was independently significantly related to all domains of HR-QoL. In the third block, depression score remained significantly related to all domains of HR-QoL (Psychological Health ($B=-0.942$; $p=0.000$), Physical Health ($B=-0.832$; $p=0.000$), Social Relationships ($B=-0.917$; $p=0.000$), Environment ($B=-0.62$, $p=0.000$)). Adjusted for depression and educational level, accommodative coping was significantly related to the domain Psychological Health ($B=0.305$; $p=0.024$). Assimilative coping ($B=0.235$; $p=0.070$) was borderline significant in the final model. In the other three domains of HR-QoL both accommodative coping and assimilative coping were no longer related with HR-QoL if depression was also included in the regression model. Living without a partner remained negatively related to the domains Social Relationships and Environment. Higher education also remained positively related to the domains Psychological Health and Environment. A better performance on the aphasia test was positively related to the domain Environment, independently of depression and the other independent variables.
Table 3. Multivariable linear regression analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Health</th>
<th>Physical Health</th>
<th>Social Relationships</th>
<th>Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodative coping</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilative coping</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Block 2              |                 |                      |             |
| B                    | p-value         | B                    | p-value     |
|                      |                 |                      |             |
| Accommodative coping | 0.267           | 0.045                | 0.093        |
|                      | -0.014          | 0.943                |             |
| Assimilative coping  | 0.290           | 0.027                | 0.070        |
|                      | 0.070           | 0.709                |             |

| Block 3              |                 |                      |             |
| B                    | p-value         | B                    | p-value     |
|                      |                 |                      |             |
| Accommodative coping | 0.305           | 0.024                | 0.036        |
|                      | 0.036           | 0.854                |             |
| Assimilative coping  | 0.235           | 0.070                | 0.051        |
|                      | 0.051           | 0.789                |             |

Model performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% R² change block 1</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>% R² change block 2</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>% R² change block 3</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>% R² total</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aphasia severity</th>
<th>Emotional level (≥5 vs &lt;5)</th>
<th>Absence of partner</th>
<th>Gender (male)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Accommodative coping</th>
<th>Assimilative coping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COPING, DEPRESSION AND HR-QOL IN THE CHRONIC PHASE AFTER STROKE
DISCUSSION

This study confirmed our hypotheses that depression and accommodative coping (flexibility) are both independently related to Psychological Health in the chronic phase after stroke. Patients after stroke with a higher depression score had a lower HR-QoL, as expected based on earlier studies. Accommodative coping was an independent contributor to Psychological Health, adjusted for depression and level of education. This finding indicates that, independent of the presence of depressive symptoms, accommodative coping positively influences Psychological Health. Psychological Health incorporates facets such as feelings, cognition, self-esteem, and beliefs. Patients with an assimilative coping strategy (tenacity) also had a higher HR-QoL in this domain. It appears that the ability to use both coping strategies, flexibility and tenacity, positively influences Psychological Health, which is in line with the findings of Darlington et al. (2007).

In all the other domains of HR-QoL, the accommodative coping strategy is also strongly related to HR-QoL, but not independent of depression. This may be caused by the inverse relationship between coping strategy and depression: patients who prefer an accommodative coping strategy show fewer symptoms of depression. Results of Schmitz et al. (1996) confirm this inverse relationship in a population of patients with chronic pain. From this analysis we cannot conclude whether maladaptive coping strategies may lead to depression or vice versa. The domains Physical Health, Social Relationships and Environment appear to differ from the domain Psychological Health because these domains depend more on external factors. Other variables, such as severity of aphasia, living without a partner and higher education were more important than coping strategies in these domains.

This study suggests that coping strategy independently contributes to Psychological Health after stroke. Therefore, it might be beneficial to target patients’ coping strategies in post-stroke rehabilitation programs. Backhaus et al. (2010) shows that an intervention was beneficial in changing maladaptive coping strategies in traumatic brain-injured patients. In patients after stroke, Darlington et al. (2007) shows that with the passing of time, the importance of general functioning in determining HR-QoL diminishes, whereas coping becomes more important. Coping strategies at discharge of rehabilitation were predictive of QoL after one year. Depression is an important factor influencing HR-QoL after stroke, and should not be neglected. However, the current study suggests that coping strategy may be an important target in post-stroke rehabilitation, and might provide an entry to improve both HR-QoL and to decrease depressive symptoms.
The current study had some limitations that should be considered. A multivariable linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the predictive value of depression and coping strategy on HR-QoL, which assumes a causal relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Based on the literature, we assumed that HR-QoL depends on the psychosocial variables depression and coping strategy. However, as we used a cross-sectional study design, it is uncertain whether this assumption holds. To confirm our results, this relationship should be investigated further in a longitudinal study design in future studies. In addition, we used the assimilative-accommodative coping scale to measure coping strategy. Recently, results of a validation study on this questionnaire showed some evidence that the subscales may not clearly distinguish between the two strategies of coping in relatively healthy women. However, there is no consensus about which instrument should be used to measure coping after stroke. Another limitation of the current study is that a selection bias may have occurred, since only 20% of the potential study participants agreed to participate in the study. The participating patients were younger and had a more recent stroke. Furthermore, most of the patients had memory complaints and were willing to participate in a memory training program. Only 10-15% of the stroke population in the Netherlands is referred to rehabilitation clinics. In particular, the elderly part of the stroke population is not represented in this study, because these patients often move to nursing homes. We only included patients in the chronic phase after stroke. Therefore, our results should not be generalized to patients in the acute phase after stroke.

This acute phase might be particularly interesting, because coping strategies may change during rehabilitation. Our study population preferred the accommodative strategy of coping, which is in line with results of Brandstädter & Renner (1990), who shows that assimilative coping is dominant in the acute phase, whereas accommodative coping gradually increases in the chronic phase. What will be the best time to start an intervention aimed at improving coping strategy is not yet known. We suggest that it may be best to train effective coping strategies in the acute phase after stroke, because there is more to gain in this phase: more assimilative coping is used, while the use of accommodative coping or the combination of both strategies of coping should be used to positively influence Psychological Health. Thus, training programs aimed at an effective coping strategy early in the rehabilitation phase after stroke may enhance the process of accepting the consequences of stroke, which may help to optimize HR-QoL after stroke. Future intervention studies in stroke patients should investigate whether altering maladaptive coping strategies improves both depressive symptoms and HR-QoL.
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Objective
To investigate whether patients with high and low depression scores after stroke use different coping strategies and problem-solving skills and whether these variables are related to psychosocial health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) independent of depression.

Design
Cross-sectional study.

Setting
Two rehabilitation centers.

Participants
Patients participating in outpatient stroke rehabilitation (N=166; mean age, 53.06±10.19 years; 53% men; median time poststroke, 7.29 months.

Interventions
Not applicable.

Main outcome measures
Coping strategy was measured using the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations; problem-solving skills were measured using the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised: Short Form; depression was assessed with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; and HR-QoL was measured using the five-level EuroQol five-dimensional questionnaire and the Stroke-Specific Quality of Life Scale. Independent samples t tests and multivariable regression analysis, adjusted for patient characteristics, were performed.

Results
Compared with patients with low depression scores, patients with high depression scores used less positive problem orientation (p=.002) and emotion-oriented coping (p<.001) and more negative problem orientation (p<.001) and avoidance style (p<.001). Depression score was related to all domains of both general HR-QoL (visual analog scale: $\beta=-.679; p<.001$; utility: $\beta=-.009; p<.001$), and stroke-specific HR-QoL (physical HR-QoL: $\beta=-.020; p=.001$; psychosocial HR-QoL: $\beta=-.054, p<.001$; total HR-QoL: $\beta=-.037; p<.001$). PPO was independently related to psychosocial HR-QoL ($\beta=.086; p=.018$) and total HR-QoL ($\beta=.058; p=.031$).

Conclusions
Patients with high depression scores use different coping strategies and problem-solving skills than do patients with low depression scores. Independent of depression, positive problem-solving skills appear to be most significantly related to better HR-QoL.
INTRODUCTION

Living with the consequences of stroke can enormously impact daily life, resulting in diminished health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) in most patients. Utility scores, which range from 0 (death) to 1 (full health), are frequently used to assign value to the level of HR-QoL and are in the range of 0.47 to 0.68 after stroke.1-2 HR-QoL after stroke is predicted by several factors including functional constraints, age, sex, socioeconomic status, depression, and coping strategies.2-6 Particularly, problem-oriented coping strategies are positively associated with HR-QoL.7,8 However, patients with stroke may use fewer active, problem-oriented coping strategies.9

Depression after stroke has an estimated prevalence of 33%.10 Depression is also related to other factors such as age, functional limitations, stroke severity, family support, and socioeconomic status.11,12 An inverse relation between depression and HR-QoL has been reported.13-15 Furthermore, depression is a known effect modifier of the relation between coping strategy and HR-QoL.16 In a previous study, coping strategy and depression were independently associated with psychological health in patients in the chronic phase after stroke.17 However, coping strategies may change during rehabilitation.18,19 Therefore, we wondered whether the relations between depression, coping, and HR-QoL are also present in patients receiving outpatient rehabilitation treatment.18,19

HR-QoL may also change over time after stroke.14,20 Discharge from rehabilitation is a particularly challenging time with respect to HR-QoL. Treatment is completed, and patients are faced with the consequences of stroke in their home environment. This can cause psychological distress and reduced HR-QoL. The ability to use active behavioral coping strategies, such as problem solving, is often helpful during this phase of recovery.8

Problem solving and coping are different concepts. Coping is defined as the cognitive and behavioral efforts used to manage specific stressful situations and the emotions they generate,21,22 whereas problem solving is defined as “the process of finding solutions to specific problems”.22(p410) Problem solving is a coping process, but not all coping processes can be considered problem solving. Problem solving cannot be directly compared with or distinguished from other coping activities because it can serve a variety of coping functions.22 Problem solving is also related to depression and HR-QoL in both population with a disease and healthy population.23,24

Whether coping strategy, problem-solving skills, and depression are independently related to HR-QoL in patients receiving outpatient rehabilitation treatment is unknown. The present study investigated these relations in patients participating in an outpatient stroke rehabilitation program. On the basis of the
findings in a population with chronic stroke,\textsuperscript{17} we expected that patients with high depression scores use different coping strategies and problem-solving skills than those with low depression scores and that coping strategies and problem-solving skills are independently related to psychosocial HR-QoL.

**METHODS**

**Study population**

Between March 2011 and August 2013, patients in outpatient stroke rehabilitation treatment at Rijndam Rehabilitation Center (the Netherlands) and in Ghent University Hospital (Belgium) were asked to participate. Patients were included if they had been diagnosed with stroke (including subarachnoid hemorrhage) and were aged 18 to 75 years, receiving outpatient rehabilitation treatment for stroke, and able to participate in group therapy. Patients were excluded if they had progressive neurological disorders, life expectancy of ≤1 year, insufficient understanding of the Dutch language, subdural hematomas, or moderate or severe aphasia (i.e., score ≤20 on the short version of the Token Test\textsuperscript{25}), or partook in excessive drinking or drug abuse. Eligible patients were approached by their rehabilitation physician and invited to participate in an intervention study to evaluate the effectiveness of group training for patients with stroke in addition to the outpatient rehabilitation treatment.\textsuperscript{26}

This was a cross-sectional study of the baseline measurement of a randomized controlled trial examining the effect of problem-solving therapy, in addition to standard treatment, in patients receiving outpatient stroke rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{26} Outpatient stroke rehabilitation is provided during the postacute phase after stroke for most patients, but it may also be provided for delayed or recurrent stroke effects. The latter group was included in this study because these patients experience comparable problems and may benefit from a problem-solving intervention.

The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Erasmus University Medical Center and the ethics committee of Ghent University Hospital. Before the study, written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Measurement instruments**

Patients were assessed by trained research psychologists at the rehabilitation center or at home. HR-QoL was measured using the EuroQol five-dimensional questionnaire (EQ-5D-5L) and the Stroke-Specific Quality of Life Scale (SS-QoL-12). The EQ-5D-5L is a generic questionnaire consisting of 5 questions measured on a 5-point rating scale, which can be combined into 1 utility scale representing the societal perspective of the general public.\textsuperscript{27} The EQ-5D-5L also includes a visual analog scale in which
patients rate their health on a scale from 0 to 100. The SS-QoL-12 is a disease-specific questionnaire. The short version of the questionnaire, which has been validated, consists of 12 questions and provides a total score and two subscores: physical and psychosocial HR-QoL. The total and subscores are calculated as the mean scores of the items in the scale (score range, 1-5).

Coping strategy was measured with the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS), which consists of 48 questions and provides 3 scales, each including 16 items; task-oriented, emotion-oriented, and avoidant coping. Avoidant coping consists of 2 subscales; distraction (8 items) and social diversion (5 items); the remaining 3 items are not used in the subscales. Items are measured on a 5-point rating scale (range, 1-5). The scales and subscales are calculated as the sum of the items belonging to each (sub)scale. Higher scores indicate more use of the coping strategy. The questionnaire has been validated in the Dutch population.

Problem-solving skills were measured using the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised: Short Form (SPSI-R:SF). The SPSI-R:SF consists of 10 questions on problem-solving skills in daily situations and contains 5 domains; positive problem orientation (PPO), rational problem solving, negative problem orientation (NPO), impulsivity/carelessness style, and avoidance style. Items are measured on a 5-point rating scale (range, 0-4). Domain scores are calculated as the sum score of the items. The total score is the sum of the items in the positive domains (PPO and rational problem solving) and the reverse score of the items in the negative domains (NPO, impulsivity/carelessness style, avoidance style). Higher domain scores indicate more use of that problem-solving skill, and a higher total score indicates better problem-solving skills in general. The short version is considered reliable and valid.

Depression was measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), which consists of 20 items (score range, 0-3). A higher total score indicates more depressive symptoms, and a score of ≥16 is considered the cutoff value of the high depression score. The score ranges from 0 to 60 and is internally consistent and valid in the Dutch population.

The short version of the Token Test was used to measure the presence and severity of aphasia. The score ranges from 0 to 36 and has been validated; a higher score indicates better performance. A score of ≤28 indicates mild aphasic features and ≤20 indicates the presence of moderate aphasia; a score ≤20 was used as an exclusion criterion for participation in the present study.

The modified Rankin Scale was used to measure the level of independence and as a substitute measure of stroke severity. The scale ranges from 0 (complete independence) to 5 (complete dependence) and is valid in the Dutch population.

Demographic and clinical information (e.g. side and type of stroke) was obtained from patient records and structured interviews by the research psychologist before
the measurement. Education level was classified in a 7-level system: 1 refers to some years of basic primary education and 7 refers to a university degree or higher.\textsuperscript{37}

**Statistical analysis**

The sample size required for the randomized controlled trial to detect treatment effects in HR-QoL was 132 patients.\textsuperscript{26} For this cross-sectional analysis, we used the rule of thumb that at least 10 patients are needed for each determinant in regression models.\textsuperscript{38,39}

Patient characteristics and responses to the questionnaires were evaluated using descriptive statistics. Potential differences between patients with high and low depression scores with regard to patient characteristics, HR-QoL, coping strategies, and problem-solving skills were analyzed using independent samples t tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables.

To assess whether the variables measuring HR-QoL, coping strategy, problem-solving skills, and depression were interrelated, correlation coefficients were calculated and checked for multi-collinearity. Relations between these variables and the following potentially confounding variables was also checked: age, sex, living without a partner, education level (dichotomized into high school or more vs less than high school), time poststroke (dichotomized into ≤1 year vs >1 year), side (left vs right), type of stroke (ischemic vs hemorrhagic), aphasia severity, and level of independence (dichotomized into independency [≤2] vs dependency [>2]).

To investigate the relative contribution of variables significantly related to at least 1 of the HR-QoL domains (p<.01), multivariable regression analyses were performed for each HR-QoL domain. We assumed that HR-QoL could depend on depression score, coping strategy, and problem-solving skills. Variables were entered into the regression model using a blockwise procedure. In block 1, depression score was entered into the model. In block 2, coping was added to the model to estimate its additional value and whether the contribution of depression score on HR-QoL changed. In block 3, problem-solving variables were added to the model. In block 4, potentially confounding variables were added to the model. For each block, the variance explained (R\textsuperscript{2} change) and its significance were estimated. P values <.05 (2-sided) were considered statistically significant. The model assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity were checked.

The analyses were repeated in the patient subgroup within the first year of stroke, excluding patients with delayed stroke effects, to assess whether this altered the results.

Analyses were performed with SPSS version 21.0.
RESULTS

Study population

Of 293 eligible patients approached, 166 provided informed consent. Reasons for nonparticipation were lack of time, logistical problems, or lack of interest. The EQ-5D-5L, SS-QoL-12, SPSI-R:SF, CES-D, and Token Test were completed by all patients, but 1 CISS score was missing. No patient was excluded based on the results of the Token Test.

Table 1 lists the patient characteristics and mean outcome scores. The time poststroke varied (median, 7.29 months; interquartile range, 4.90-10.61 months), but 83.7% were within the first year of stroke. Based on the CES-D cutoff criteria, 39.2% of the study population reported high depression scores. Time poststroke was not related to depression (Table 2).

Patients with and without depression

Several significant differences were found comparing patients with high and low depression scores (see Table 2). Those with high depression scores were younger and more frequently women, living without a partner, and had ischemic stroke. Patients with high depression scores assigned a lower value to all HR-QoL domains, used more emotion-oriented coping strategies, NPO and avoidance style, and used PPO and total positive problem-solving skills less frequently.

Multivariable analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients showed no indication of multi-collinearity. Depression score, emotion-oriented coping, PPO, NPO, avoidance style, age, time poststroke, and modified Rankin Scale score were significantly related to at least 1 HR-QoL domain. These variables were further analyzed using multivariable regression analyses in which the 5 outcomes of generic and disease-specific HR-QoL were entered as dependent variables (Table 3). Sex, living without a partner, education level, side and type of stroke, and severity of aphasia were not related to any HR-QoL domains and were not entered into the regression model.

Regression models were built from 4 blocks of variables. Block 1 showed that the depression score was significantly related to all HR-QoL domains. In block 2, the value of coping strategy was studied in addition to the depression score. Emotion-oriented coping was not independently related to HR-QoL. In block 3, the value of problem-solving skills was analyzed in addition to coping strategy and depression score. PPO was significantly related to psychosocial HR-QoL and total HR-QoL, independent of...
Table 1. Descriptive variables at baseline (N=166)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level (≥high school)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner (no)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of stroke (left)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of stroke (ischemic)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time post-stroke (≤1 year)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS (dependent)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.06</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphasia severity (0-36)</td>
<td>33.11</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score (0-60)</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HR-QoL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subvariable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual analog scale (0-100)</td>
<td>65.67</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility (0-1)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical (1-5)</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial (1-5)</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (1-5)</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Coping strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subvariable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task-oriented (16-80)</td>
<td>51.98</td>
<td>10.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented (16-80)</td>
<td>37.70</td>
<td>11.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant (16-80)</td>
<td>38.55</td>
<td>10.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Diversion (5-25)</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distraction (8-40)</td>
<td>16.81</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Problem-solving skills**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subvariable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPO (0-8)</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS (0-8)</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO (0-8)</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS (0-8)</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS (0-8)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (0-40)</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AS, avoidance style; ICS, impulsivity/carelessness style; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NPO, negative problem orientation; PPO, positive problem orientation; RPS, rational problem solving.

* Measured using the Token Test.

† Measured using the CES-D.

‡ Measured using the EQ-SD-5L.

§ Measured using the SS-QoL-12.

‖ Measured using the CISS; norm scores: Task-oriented coping: 60.31 (SD 8.65); Emotion-oriented coping: 38.29 (SD 10.52); Avoidant coping: 45.16 (SD 10.11); Social Diversion: 16.16 (SD 4.25); Distraction: 20.39 (SD 5.98).

¶ Measured using the SPSI-R:SF.
Table 2. Differences between patients with high and low depression scores measured using the CES-D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Depression Score &lt;16 (N=101)</th>
<th>Depression Score ≥16 (N=65)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>63 (62.4%)</td>
<td>25 (38.5%)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level (≥ high school)</td>
<td>41 (40.6%)</td>
<td>17 (26.2%)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner (no)</td>
<td>21 (20.8%)</td>
<td>24 (36.9%)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of stroke (left)</td>
<td>44 (47.8%)</td>
<td>20 (37.0%)</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of stroke (ischemic)</td>
<td>66 (67.3%)</td>
<td>53 (82.8%)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time poststroke (≤1 year)</td>
<td>89 (88.1%)</td>
<td>50 (76.9%)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS (dependent)*</td>
<td>24 (23.8%)</td>
<td>22 (33.8%)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>54.72 ± 10.77</td>
<td>50.48 ± 8.70</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphasia severity*</td>
<td>33.13 ± 2.65</td>
<td>33.08 ± 2.68</td>
<td>0.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-QoL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analog scale†</td>
<td>69.37 ± 16.69</td>
<td>59.98 ± 16.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility†</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical§</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological§</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.80</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total§</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.58</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-oriented</td>
<td>52.60 ± 11.62</td>
<td>51.00 ± 9.80</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented</td>
<td>32.26 ± 8.77</td>
<td>46.48 ± 10.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant</td>
<td>38.76 ± 11.25</td>
<td>38.22 ± 10.36</td>
<td>0.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Diversion</td>
<td>14.56 ± 5.05</td>
<td>14.14 ± 5.00</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>16.63 ± 5.90</td>
<td>17.09 ± 5.79</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving skills¶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
<td>5.65 ± 1.44</td>
<td>4.82 ± 1.84</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS</td>
<td>5.03 ± 1.51</td>
<td>4.80 ± 1.56</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO</td>
<td>3.08 ± 1.81</td>
<td>5.14 ± 2.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>3.87 ± 1.64</td>
<td>4.29 ± 2.17</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>2.97 ± 1.76</td>
<td>4.26 ± 1.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24.76 ± 4.77</td>
<td>19.92 ± 5.74</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AS, avoidance style; ICS, impulsivity/carelessness style; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NPO, negative problem orientation; PPO, positive problem orientation; RPS, rational problem solving.

* Measured using the mRS. † Measured using the Token Test. ‡ Measured using the EQ-5D-5L. § Measured using the SS-QoL-12. || Measured using the CISS. ¶ Measured using the SPSI-R:SF.
the depression score and coping strategy. In block 4, all relationships were adjusted for age, time poststroke, and modified Rankin Scale score. These variables did not alter the relationships between depression score, problem-solving skills, and HR-QoL (see Table 3).

The same significant relationships were found in the subgroup of patients within the first year of stroke, except that age and modified Rankin Scale score were not significantly related to the visual analog scale and physical HR-QoL, respectively.
### Table 3. Depression, coping and problem solving in relation to HR-QoL: outcomes of the multivariable regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Depression, coping and problem solving in relation to HR-QoL: outcomes of the multivariable regression analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented coping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time poststroke (≤1 year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS (dependent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented coping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented coping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented coping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measured using the EQ-5D-3L. ‡ Measured using the CES-D. § Measured using the CISS. || Measured using the SF-36-PF. ¶ Measured using the mRS.*
DISCUSSION

This study supported the hypothesis that patients with high and low depression scores receiving outpatient stroke rehabilitation use different coping strategies and problem-solving skills. In line with other reports, 39.2% of the patients had high depression scores after stroke. A meta-analysis reported a 30 to 36% prevalence of depression after stroke in general and a prevalence of 36% and 34% for rehabilitation-based studies mainly assessing depression in the mid- and long-term phases after stroke, respectively.\( ^{10} \) In line with other studies, our results show that patients with high depression scores poststroke are younger, more often women, living without a partner, and diagnosed with ischemic stroke.\( ^{40,41} \) In the present study, patients with high depression scores after stroke assigned lower values to all HR-QoL domains. They also used more emotion-oriented coping, NPO, and avoidance style, and less PPO and total positive problem-solving skills.

Furthermore, coping strategy, problem-solving skills, and depression were related to psychosocial and total HR-QoL based on the SS-QoL-12. The regression models explained 53.2% and 45.6% of the variance for the domains psychosocial and total HR-QoL, respectively. We conclude that these domains are well explained by psychosocial variables.

Depression score had the strongest relationship with HR-QoL. In addition to the depression score, PPO was an independent contributor to psychosocial and total HR-QoL. This indicates that patients with high and low depression scores who are skilled with a PPO, which is a coping process, may experience a better HR-QoL. This implies that training in PPO skills may be useful for all patients with stroke. The inverse relationship between emotion-oriented coping and psychosocial HR-QoL did not reach significance. This coping strategy and the problem-solving skills NPO and avoidance style, were only indirectly related to HR-QoL; this may suggest that patients benefitting most from improving these coping and problem-solving strategies are those with high depression scores after stroke. This is in line with data from a large randomized controlled trial showing that problem-solving therapy had a positive effect on depression.\( ^{42} \) Future research should further elucidate the effects of improving problem-solving skills in patients with depression and to improve HR-QoL.

With respect to general HR-QoL (measured using the EQ-5D-5L) and the domain of physical HR-QoL (measured using the SS-QoL-12), neither coping strategy nor problem-solving skills made a significant contribution to HR-QoL. This is in agreement with our expectations based on the results of a previous study in patients in the chronic phase after stroke.\( ^{17} \) Compared with the SS-QoL-12, the EQ-5D-5L utilities are
largely based on physical parameters. The utility scores found in our population were relatively high compared with other studies.\textsuperscript{1,2} This difference might be attributed to the fact that our study population was selected from patients actively participating in an outpatient rehabilitation program for stroke, which excluded patients with more severe stroke still being treated in the inpatient rehabilitation clinic.

**Study limitations**

Some study limitations should be addressed. Most patients in our study population had a right hemisphere stroke. This might be attributed to the fact that patients with language comprehension problems (mostly left hemisphere strokes) were not eligible for the present study. In patients with left hemisphere stroke, the problems of depression may be more pronounced.\textsuperscript{11} Also, the time poststroke varied. Most patients received postacute outpatient rehabilitation for stroke. In addition, a few patients were referred for outpatient rehabilitation for delayed effects of stroke, explaining the range of times poststroke. Excluding the latter subgroup did not alter the results. This finding underlines the need for early recognition of potential problems in daily life that may occur a considerable time after rehabilitation treatment.

Although we did not measure stroke severity, we did measure level of independence, that is, a functional outcome that may be even more relevant. To assess the predictive value of depression, coping strategy, and problem solving on HR-QoL, a multivariable linear regression analysis was used, which assumed a causal relation between the independent and dependent variables. On the basis of the literature, we assumed that HR-QoL depends on psychosocial variables.\textsuperscript{15} However, since we used a cross-sectional study design, it is uncertain whether this assumption holds. This needs to be confirmed in a prospective follow-up study (which is currently in progress). Another limitation is that selection bias may have occurred since 43\% of eligible patients declined to participate. Furthermore, only part of the stroke population was referred to rehabilitation centers. Elderly patients with stroke are often discharged to nursing homes (25\%)\textsuperscript{43} and are not represented in this study. Therefore, our results can only be generalized to patients who are treated in an outpatient rehabilitation center after stroke.
CONCLUSIONS

This study supports the hypothesized relationship between coping strategy, depression, and HR-QoL in patients receiving outpatient rehabilitation treatment of stroke, as found earlier in patients in the chronic phase after stroke. Moreover, problem-solving skills had additional value for maintaining better HR-QoL. The domains PPO, NPO, avoidance style, total problem solving, and emotion-oriented coping are strongly associated with depression. Of these, PPO appears to be independently related to HR-QoL. These results suggest that training patients in effective problem-solving skills while participating in outpatient rehabilitation programs after stroke could be beneficial in improving both HR-QoL and symptoms of depression.
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The effectiveness of Problem Solving Therapy for stroke patients: study protocol for a pragmatic randomized controlled trial

Marieke M. Visser, Majanka H. Heijenbrok-Kal, Adriaan van ‘t Spijker, Gerard M. Ribbers, Jan J.V. Busschbach

Background
Coping style is one of the determinants of health-related quality of life after stroke. Stroke patients make less use of active problem-oriented coping styles than other brain damaged patients. Coping styles can be influenced by means of intervention. The primary aim of this study is to investigate if Problem Solving Therapy is an effective group intervention for improving coping style and health-related quality of life in stroke patients. The secondary aim is to determine the effect of Problem Solving Therapy on depression, social participation, health care consumption, and to determine the cost-effectiveness of the intervention.

Methods/Design
We strive to include 200 stroke patients in the outpatient phase of rehabilitation treatment, using a multicenter pragmatic randomized controlled trial with one year follow-up. Patients in the intervention group will receive Problem Solving Therapy in addition to the standard rehabilitation program. The intervention will be provided in an open group design, with a continuous flow of patients. Primary outcome measures are coping style and health-related quality of life. Secondary outcome measures are depression, social participation, health care consumption, and the cost-effectiveness of the intervention.

Discussion
We designed our study as close to the implementation in practice as possible, using a pragmatic randomized trial and open group design, to represent a realistic estimate of the effectiveness of the intervention. If effective, Problem Solving Therapy is an inexpensive, deliverable and sustainable group intervention for stroke rehabilitation programs.

Trial registration
Nederlands Trial Register, NTR2509
INTRODUCTION

Stroke is an increasing public health problem in the Netherlands: every year, 41,000 people suffer from stroke and over 3% of the total health care costs are related to the treatment of stroke and its consequences. The mortality rate after stroke is 30% and is likely to decrease, which will cause an increase in morbidity. Almost 50% of stroke survivors experience consequences in daily life that result in a lowered health-related quality of life (HR-QoL). The World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL) Group defines quality of life as “individuals’ perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns”. HR-QoL refers to the health-related aspects of quality of life. On average, utility scores of HR-QoL after stroke range from 0.47 to 0.68 (a utility score equal to death is 0.0 and full health 1.0), which is substantially lower than the value of a healthy reference population (utility score of 0.93). HR-QoL after stroke is predicted by functional constraints, age, sex, and psychosocial factors, like socioeconomic status, depression, and coping style. Functional constraints, age, sex, and socioeconomic status cannot or are difficult to change, but coping style could be targeted. The question then becomes if HR-QoL after stroke could be improved through a coping style intervention. If this is possible, a secondary question would be how such improvement relates to depression, health care consumption, and costs.

A common definition of coping style is someone’s preferred way of dealing with different situations. Several coping styles can be distinguished, such as active, passive, and avoidant coping. Wolters et al. (2010) shows that in traumatic brain-injured (TBI) patients, higher HR-QoL in the long term is predicted by an increase in active problem-focused coping style and a decrease in passive emotion-focused coping style. Unfortunately, in this population of TBI patients the active coping decreases over time, while passive coping increases. This suggests that if the decrease of active coping can be stopped, there is room for improvement in HR-QoL. Stroke patients make even less use of active, problem-oriented coping styles compared to other brain damaged patients. Furthermore, Darlington et al. (2007) shows that in stroke patients, coping becomes more important in determining HR-QoL over time, while the importance of general functioning decreases. This would mean that long term HR-QoL could benefit from improved coping.

Coping styles can be influenced by several interventions. Backhaus et al. (2010) shows that an intervention aimed at changing maladaptive coping styles positively influenced psychosocial functioning of TBI patients. However, HR-QoL was not measured in this study. No research is found that investigated an intervention aimed
at improving HR-QoL through the change of maladaptive coping styles in stroke patients. We therefore set out to investigate whether Problem Solving Therapy (PST), which aims at active problem-focused coping, might improve HR-QoL in stroke patients. PST has been proved effective in other patient populations.\textsuperscript{13,14} In stroke patients, PST has been shown successful for the prevention of post stroke depression.\textsuperscript{15} Effects on coping style and HR-QoL have not been investigated yet.

**Objectives**

The primary aim of this study is to investigate whether PST is an effective group intervention for improving active problem-focused coping style and HR-QoL in stroke patients. The secondary aim is to determine the effect of PST on depression, social participation, health care consumption, and the cost-effectiveness of the intervention. The effectiveness of the therapy will be investigated in an open group design, which has not been used in PST research before. PST will be added to the standard care just before the end of the rehabilitation program, as at this moment a relapse in HR-QoL is frequently observed, when patients cannot rely on their therapists anymore.\textsuperscript{16} By teaching patients to actively cope with stressful situations, through adapting and realizing their goals, we expect that patients will use more effective coping styles, which consequently may prevent the relapse in HR-QoL, and possibly increase HR-QoL in the long term. With regard to the secondary aims of this study, we expect the incidence of depression to decrease, social participation to improve, and health care consumption to decrease, resulting in a favorable cost-effectiveness ratio for the intervention.

**METHODS/DESIGN**

**Study design and procedure**

The effectiveness of PST for stroke patients will be evaluated in a multicenter pragmatic randomized controlled trial (RCT) with one year follow-up, with the intervention performed in the daily practice of a sub-acute outpatient stroke rehabilitation program. As such, the potential effects of the intervention have a good external validity, which allows us to calculate the cost-effectiveness of the therapy compared with standard care.

The study will be performed in Rijndam Rehabilitation Center in collaboration with Erasmus MC, both in the Netherlands, and in Ghent University Hospital in Belgium. Patients are invited by their rehabilitation physician to participate in the study. Before the start of the study, patients need to sign the informed consent form.
Data will be collected at four time points by one of three research psychologists. T0 is the baseline measurement, performed within three weeks before the start of the intervention phase. T1 will be performed within ten days after the intervention phase, T2 six months and T3 twelve months after the intervention phase (Figure 1).

**Referral of patients, inclusion criteria:**
- Stroke (including subarachnoid hemorrhage)
- Between 18 and 75 years of age
- Being treated in the outpatient rehabilitation phase
- Able to follow the group therapy

**Inclusion of patients:**
- Rehabilitation physician provides information to patient
- Signed informed consent

**Baseline measurement**

**Randomization**

**Intervention group** (standard care + PST)

**T1 measurement** (8 weeks after T0)

**T2 measurement** (6 months after T1)

**T3 measurement** (12 months after T1)

**Control group** (standard care)

**T1 measurement** (8 weeks after T0)

**T2 measurement** (6 months after T1)

**T3 measurement** (12 months after T1)

**Figure 1.** Design of the randomized controlled trial.
The measurements will be performed in the rehabilitation center or at the patients’ home in a face-to-face interview. The study has been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Erasmus MC University Medical Center and the Ethics Committee of Ghent University Hospital.

**Study population**

We strive to include 200 stroke patients. Inclusion criteria are: stroke (including subarachnoid hemorrhage), age between 18 and 75 years, being treated in the outpatient rehabilitation phase, and being able to participate in group therapy. Exclusion criteria are: progressive neurological disorders, life expectancy less than one year, insufficient understanding of the Dutch language, excessive drinking or drug abuse, subdural hematomas, moderate and severe aphasia. The same criteria would apply to the implementation of PST in practice, which stresses the pragmatic character of the trial. The inclusion of patients started March 2011 and will end August 2013. The one-year follow-up of all patients will be finished by September 2014.

**Randomization**

Patients are randomized to the intervention- or control condition using a stratified block randomization procedure with a block size of four. To ensure comparability between the two groups, patients are stratified per rehabilitation center. A member of the research group, who is not involved in the collection of the data, prospectively allocates the patients to the intervention- or control condition in a one-to-one ratio using an online random-number generator. To allow blinded randomization, the allocation information will be put in separate sealed envelopes which are consecutively numbered. At the end of the baseline measurement, the investigator opens the numbered envelop and informs the patient about the condition he or she is assigned to. The research psychologists who perform the baseline and follow-up measurements are blinded for treatment condition. The therapists who provide the intervention are not involved in the collection of the data. The investigator who will analyze the data is not involved in the collection of the follow-up measurements.

**Intervention: Problem Solving Therapy**

Patients who are assigned to the intervention condition will receive PST in addition to the standard rehabilitation program, which will start during the last eight weeks of outpatient treatment. PST is a widely used and practical intervention method, based on a general model of coping with stress. The model states that having a chronic disease causes stressful daily problems, which increase the chance of experiencing...
psychological stress and depressive feelings. Therefore, the aim of PST is to improve the skills to cope with the stressful daily problems in life after stroke.

The intervention will be provided in an open group design, with a continuous flow of patients, which means that patients can enter the group every week and leave the group after eight sessions (Figure 2). The reason for this design is that it studies group therapy in its most feasible form, where patients start and end their programs at different time-points. If we had chosen to study the effect in closed groups, many patients in the similar stage of their programs are necessary. This would only be possible if patients are admitted to large scale rehabilitation centers, which is not the rehabilitation practice in The Netherlands, or patients would have to wait for a long time before entering the group. The open group design has some disadvantages. Patients may feel unsafe when they enter an already existing group. Furthermore, a continuous flow of patients is required to keep a balanced number of patients in the group. Therefore, interventions aimed at rare diseases cannot be studied with an open group design. However, for our population of stroke patients we do expect the design to be suitable and beneficial, because these patients are frequently seen in rehabilitation treatment. An open group design has several benefits as well. Advantages for the patients are that they do not have to wait until they can start with the intervention, they can share their experiences with other ‘experienced’ stroke patients, and there is room for interaction with many fellow patients. Other advantages are that the intervention is relatively easy to organize and implement in the daily practice of the rehabilitation center. This open group design has not been investigated in PST research yet.

The intervention in this study consists of eight group sessions of 1.5 hours a week, with homework exercises after each session. The group consists of a minimum of three and a maximum of six participants. PST is provided by one to three trained neuropsychologists per rehabilitation center. Solving problems will be structured, by dividing the problem solving process in four steps:

1. Define problem and goal;
2. Generating multiple solutions;
3. Considering the possible consequences of the solutions systematically and select the best solution;
4. Implement the solution and evaluate.

Each session starts with the sharing of experiences from the past week. Then, the model of problem solving will be repeated and explained. If there are some participants who are in the group for a couple of weeks already, they will be asked to explain the model to other new participants. Subsequently, one step of the model
will be highlighted every week. With emphasis on this specific step, the model will be applied to one or more examples from the participants. Finally, the participants will be asked to practice the specific step at home by making a homework assignment. During the sessions, inadequate and irrational thoughts will be challenged by common cognitive interventions. A unique aspect of the intervention is the focus on the definition of the problem in the first step of the model. A clear definition of the problem will lead to a better understanding and more solutions to it.

![Patient flow in an open group therapy.](image)

**Figure 2.** Patient flow in an open group therapy.

**Control condition: standard care**

Patients who are assigned to the control condition will receive the standard rehabilitation program, in order to be able to study the additional effect of the intervention to the standard rehabilitation program. This standard rehabilitation program consists of individualized amounts of treatment by a physical therapist, occupational therapist, speech therapist, psychologist, social worker, and rehabilitation physician, depending on the severity of stroke. On average, stroke patients in outpatient rehabilitation receive twelve hours of treatment a week during a nine week rehabilitation program.

**Outcomes**

Primary outcome measures are changes in task-oriented coping and psychosocial HR-QoL in patients in the intervention group in comparison with the control group. Coping style is measured using the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS) and the short version of the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R:SF). The CISS questionnaire consists of 48 questions and contains three subscales; Task-oriented coping, Emotion-oriented coping, and Avoidant coping. The subscale Avoidant coping consists of two subscales; Distraction and Social Diversion.\(^{18,19}\) Because the PST aims at tasks, ‘Task-oriented coping’ is chosen as a primary endpoint; the other two subscales are used as secondary endpoints. The SPSI-R:SF questionnaire consists of ten questions about problem solving skills regarding
daily situations. There are five subscales: Positive Problem Orientation, Rational Problem Solving, Negative Problem Orientation, Impulsivity/Carelessness Style, and Avoidance Style, and all are used as secondary endpoints in this trial.

HR-QoL is measured using the EuroQol (EQ-5D-5L) and the Stroke Specific Quality of Life Scale (SS-QoL-12). The EQ-5D is a generic questionnaire, and consists of five questions regarding mobility, self-care, daily activities, pain/complaints, mood, and a VAS scale. The five dimensions can be combined to one utility scale, representing the societal perspective of the general public. The SS-QoL-12 is specifically developed for the population of stroke patients. We will use the abbreviated version containing twelve items, which has been shown valid. The questionnaire provides a total score and two sub scores: physical and psychosocial, of which the psychosocial subscore is defined as the primary endpoint. The other HR-QoL scores are used as secondary endpoints.

Other secondary outcome measures are differences in depression, social participation, and health care consumption between patients in the intervention and control group. Additionally, the influence of cognitive functioning, personality characteristics, aphasia, type of stroke, side of stroke, level of functioning, and demographic characteristics on the outcomes will be assessed. Finally, the cost-effectiveness of the intervention will be calculated compared with standard care.

Depression is measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). This questionnaire consists of twenty items concerning depression, higher scores indicate more depressive symptoms.

Social participation is measured using the Impact on Participation and Autonomy (IPA). The questionnaire consists of five dimensions; Autonomy indoors, Family role, Autonomy outdoors, Social life and relationships, Work and education.

Health care consumption is measured using the Trimbos Questionnaire for Costs association with Psychiatric Illness (TiC-P). The questionnaire was developed for economic evaluation in mental health care, and measures health care consumption and productivity losses.

Sample size calculation

To determine the sample size for measuring differences between the intervention and control group in coping style and HR-QoL, we searched for comparable effect sizes in the literature. With regard to coping style, there was no data available for this calculation. With regard to HR-QoL, Studenski et al. (2005) measured an increase in HR-QoL after a physical therapy for stroke patients, with a long term effect size ranging from 0.06 to 0.18. Because of the lack of more comparable data, we used
this data and carefully estimated the effect size $f$ to be 0.08. Considering the design of two groups and four repeated measurements, an expected correlation of 0.70, an alpha of 0.05, and a power of 0.80, we calculated a total required sample size of 132 patients based on the F-test. Because potential drop out is estimated at 0.30, we will strive to include 200 patients.

**Statistical analyses**

Demographic variables will be analyzed with an independent sample T-test for continuous variables, the Mann-Whitney U test for ordinal variables, and the chi-square test for categorical variables. Linear Mixed Models will be used to compare the repeated measurements between treatment groups, taking into account the correlation within and between subjects. We will create models for all the primary and secondary outcome variables, with time, group condition (intervention or control), and the interaction between these variables as predictors. Furthermore, we will control for variables that are accidentally not equally distributed between the two group conditions.

The cost-effectiveness of the intervention will be calculated by counting all the medical and non-medical costs, like productivity losses. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio will be calculated by dividing the difference in total costs by the difference in quality-adjusted life years (QALYs). These QALYs will be calculated based on the EQ-5D questionnaire. The economic evaluation will be conducted according to the Dutch guidelines and includes multivariate probabilistic sensitivity analyses. In the base case scenario the time horizon will be one year. If the effect is still present at one year follow up, a Markov model will be made to model a longer time horizon.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigates the effect of PST on coping style and HR-QoL in stroke patients. In addition, the effect on depression, social participation, and health care consumption will be investigated, as well as the cost-effectiveness of the intervention. We will study the effectiveness of PST as close to the implementation in practice as possible, using a pragmatic trial design and an open group therapy. Any pragmatic trial has limitations; MacPherson et al. (2004) argued that a pragmatic trial design cannot be used to determine the specific components of a treatment that caused an effect. It may be possible that patients in the intervention group show improvement caused by the extra attention they receive and not so much by the
assumed effective elements of the therapy; this attention effect may be considered a placebo effect. If we would like to distinguish between this ‘placebo effect’ and the effect of the specific treatment elements, the control group should have received a ‘sham therapy’. Such sham therapy would hinder the estimation of the effect of PST in practice, as in practice such additional effort would not take place. Therefore, one of the advantages of the pragmatic study design is that the external validity is better than using a sham-controlled design; the results will be generalizable to the normal rehabilitation setting.\textsuperscript{30} The study population represents the normal stroke population in the outpatient phase of rehabilitation treatment, and the psychologists will provide the intervention to the patients just as they would do in practice. The results of a pragmatic trial are directly applicable to the usual care setting.\textsuperscript{31} Moreover, if the intervention will prove effective, it will be easy to implement the intervention in the standard rehabilitation program, since it is already in use and the psychologists will already be trained. Other rehabilitation centers can use the therapy manual we developed.

We expect that patients who received PST will use more effective coping styles and experience a higher HR-QoL. Furthermore, we expect that patients after PST will show a decrease in depression score, an increase in social participation, and a decrease in health care consumption, which would lead to a reduction in the health care costs. We expect the intervention to be cost-effective, since the costs of the intervention are relatively low; one psychologist can train three to six patients at the same time. Darlington et al.\textsuperscript{32} (2009) estimated the cost-effectiveness of an intervention aimed at coping strategies in stroke patients: the maximum costs for a single patient were 2500 euros, which will be lower if the therapy is provided in a group.\textsuperscript{32} If PST will be proved effective for stroke patients in outpatient rehabilitation, the intervention will be an inexpensive, deliverable and sustainable group intervention that could be added to usual stroke rehabilitation programs.
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Problem Solving Therapy during outpatient stroke rehabilitation improves coping and HR-QoL: a randomized controlled trial

Marieke M. Visser, Majanka H. Heijenbrok-Kal, Adriaan van ’t Spijker, Engelien Lannoo, Jan J.V. Busschbach, Gerard M. Ribbers

Background and Purpose
This study investigated whether Problem Solving Therapy (PST) is an effective group intervention for improving coping strategy and health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) in patients with stroke.

Methods
In this multicenter randomized controlled trial, the intervention group received PST as add-on to standard outpatient rehabilitation, the control group received outpatient rehabilitation only. Measurements were performed at baseline, directly after the intervention, and 6 and 12 months later. Data were analyzed using linear-mixed models. Primary outcomes were task-oriented coping as measured by the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS) and psychosocial HR-QoL as measured by the Stroke-Specific Quality of Life Scale. Secondary outcomes were the EuroQol EQ-5D-5L utility score, emotion-oriented and avoidant coping as measured by the CISS, problem-solving skills as measured by the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised, and depression as measured by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale.

Results
Included were 166 patients with stroke, mean age 53.06 years (SD, 10.19), 53% men, median time poststroke 7.29 months (interquartile range, 4.90-10.61 months). Six months post-intervention, the PST group showed significant improvement when compared with the control group in task-oriented coping (p=0.008), but not stroke-specific psychosocial HR-QoL. Furthermore, avoidant coping (p=0.039) and the utility value for general HR-QoL (p=0.034) improved more in the PST group than in the control after 6 months.

Conclusions
PST seems to improve task-oriented coping but not disease-specific psychosocial HR-QoL after stroke >6-month follow-up. Furthermore, we found indications that PST may improve generic HR-QoL recovery and avoidant coping.

Clinical Trial Registration–URL
INTRODUCTION

After stroke approximately 75% of surviving patients will have problems in mobility, fatigue, emotion, and cognition, measured ≤5 years post stroke. Many patients with stroke will also experience reduced health-related quality of life (HR-QoL). Known predictors of HR-QoL are functional constraints, age, sex, socioeconomic status, depression, and coping strategy. Patients with stroke use insufficient active, problem-oriented coping strategies, whereas active coping strategies are associated with better HR-QoL. During postacute rehabilitation, HR-QoL will increase, along with recovery of bodily functions and activities. After discharge from rehabilitation, a decrease in HR-QoL has been observed, with a further decline in the long term. The current study was set up to evaluate whether an add-on module on problem-solving skills in postacute stroke rehabilitation would result in better coping strategies and better HR-QoL.

Problem-solving and coping are different concepts. Coping is defined as the cognitive and behavioral efforts to deal with stressful situations and the emotions they generate, whereas problem-solving refers to “the process of finding solutions to specific problems”. Problem Solving Therapy (PST) is an intervention in which patients are taught to increase structure in solving problems and flexibility by using different coping strategies in various situations. In patients with stroke, PST has been shown successful in reducing symptoms of depression and in preventing poststroke depression although the latter effect was not significant using more conservative analysis. The effects of PST on coping strategy and HR-QoL after stroke are unknown.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether PST, administered as an add-on module in outpatient stroke rehabilitation, is effective in improving coping strategy and HR-QoL after finishing the rehabilitation program. Second, the effect of PST on depression was assessed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design and Participants

In this multicenter, randomized controlled trial, patients were randomly allocated to the PST or control group. Patients were assessed at the rehabilitation center or at home at 4 time points; within 3 weeks before the intervention (T0), within 10 days post intervention (T1), and 6 (T2) and 12 months post intervention (T3).

Patients at 2 departments of Rijndam Rehabilitation Center (Rotterdam and Dordrecht, the Netherlands) and at Ghent University Hospital (Belgium) were
approached between March 2011 and August 2013. Patients were included if they 
[1] had suffered a stroke (including subarachnoid hemorrhage), [2] were aged 18 to 75 years, [3] received outpatient stroke rehabilitation treatment, and [4] were able to participate in group therapy. Patients were excluded if they had progressive neurological disorder, life expectancy ≤1 year, insufficient understanding of the Dutch language, subdural hematomas, moderate or severe aphasia, or partook in excessive drinking or drug abuse.

The study has been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus University Medical Center and of Ghent University Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Procedure

Patients were approached by their physiatrist. Patients willing to participate were invited for the baseline measurement. All assessments and data-entry were performed by trained research psychologists who were blinded for group allocation. All tests were administered in a fixed order during all measurements.

The randomization procedure was performed by an independent investigator using an online random number generator; a random block design (block size of 4) was used stratified for the 3 locations. The allocations were kept in sealed opaque envelopes until the baseline measurement was performed. To start the intervention groups, the first 3 patients per location were directly assigned to the PST group. Thereafter, all patients were randomly assigned to the PST or control group. The flow of new patients with stroke was sufficient to continue the group sessions for most of the time. Eleven times, the PST group was complemented with a patient with traumatic brain injury to secure the minimum number of participants per group (n=3). These patients with traumatic brain injury were invited for the PST group only, not for participation in the study.

Intervention: Problem Solving Therapy

Patients assigned to the intervention group received PST as add-on module to standard rehabilitation, during the last 8 weeks of outpatient treatment. PST is a widely used intervention and was slightly adapted for our study; it was provided in an open group design with a continuous flow of patients entering and leaving the group. The PST intervention consisted of 8 group sessions of 1.5 hours a week, with additional homework exercises. Each group consisted of 3 to 6 participants and was provided by a trained neuropsychologist. Solving problems was structured by dividing the problem-solving process in 4 steps: ([1.] Define problem and goal;
The PST model also focuses on problem orientation, which precedes these steps. In our group therapy, positive problem orientations were encouraged during the interactive problem definition step. A more detailed description of the intervention can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{16}

The control group received standard outpatient rehabilitation only. Standard rehabilitation consisted of pre-defined modules including physiotherapy, occupational therapy, psychology, speech therapy, and social work, which were tailored to individual needs.

### Outcome Measures

Coping strategy was measured using the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS).\textsuperscript{17} This questionnaire consists of 3 scales, each including 16 items, task-oriented, emotion-oriented, and avoidant coping. The items are measured on a 5-point rating scale (range, 1-5). Sum scores are calculated per scale, with higher scores indicating more use of that coping strategy. The questionnaire has been validated in the Netherlands.\textsuperscript{18} Task-oriented coping was chosen as primary outcome measure because PST is mainly focused on this coping strategy. Good internal consistency was found (task-oriented: Cronbach’s $\alpha>.88$, emotion-oriented: $\alpha>.87$, and avoidant: $\alpha>.82$).

Problem-solving skills were measured with the short version of the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R:SF). This questionnaire consists of 10 questions and contains 5 domains: positive problem orientation (PPO), rational problem solving (RPS), negative problem orientation (NPO), impulsivity/carelessness style (ICS), and avoidance style (AS). The items are measured on a 5-point rating scale (range, 0-4). Domain scores are calculated as sum scores. Higher scores indicate more use of a problem-solving skill. The total score is the sum of the positive domains (PPO and RPS) and the reverse scores of the negative domains (NPO, ICS, AS), a higher total score indicating better general problem-solving skills. The short version is considered reliable and valid.\textsuperscript{19,20} Internal consistency was questionable at T0 ($\alpha=.68$) and adequate at follow-up ($\alpha>.71$).

HR-QoL was measured using the Stroke-Specific Quality-of-Life Scale (SS-QoL-12) and the EuroQol EQ-5D-5L. The SS-QoL-12 is a disease-specific questionnaire, specifically measuring problems related to stroke.\textsuperscript{21} The short version contains 12 questions and has been validated.\textsuperscript{22} It provides 2 subscores; Physical and Psychosocial HR-QoL, calculated as the mean scores of the scale items (score range, 1-5). Psychosocial HR-QoL was chosen as primary outcome measure because PST is
a cognitive rehabilitation intervention. Internal consistency of this scale was good (α>.80). The EQ-5D-5L is a generic questionnaire, allowing comparison of HR-QoL between different populations, consisting of 5 items with 5 response categories. These items can be combined into $5^5=3125$ health states. For each of these health states, utility scores that range from 0 (death) to 1 (full health) have been established in society. Utilities represent the value of the general public towards the quality of life of different health states.\cite{25,24} In this way, the societal value of HR-QoL is measured.\cite{25} The questionnaire showed adequate internal consistency (α>.71).

Depression was measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). It consists of 20 items (score range, 0-3). A higher total score (range, 0-60) indicates more depressive symptoms, a score of ≥16 is the cutoff value for probable depression.\cite{26} The CES-D has been validated in the Netherlands.\cite{27} Internal consistency was good (α>.88).

Other measures
Personality traits were assessed with the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Brief Version (EPQ-BV), measuring neuroticism and extraversion. It has been shown internally consistent, reliable and valid.\cite{28} Presence and severity of aphasia was measured using the short version of the Token Test.\cite{29} Level of independence was measured with the modified Rankin Scale.\cite{30} Comorbidity was assessed using the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS).\cite{31} All 4 questionnaires have been validated in the Netherlands.

Clinical and demographic characteristics were obtained from patient records and a structured interview at baseline. Education level was classified using a 7-level system; 1 indicates some years of primary education and 7 a university degree or higher.\cite{32}

Sample size calculation
For this trial with 4 repeated measurements, expected correlation of 0.70, α of 0.05, and power of 0.80, we calculated a total required sample size of 132 patients based on the $F$-test, to detect a significant difference in HR-QoL between the 2 groups.\cite{16} We strived to include 200 patients, taking into account potential dropout during the intervention and 1-year follow-up period.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 for Microsoft Windows. Potential differences between the PST and control group at baseline were analyzed using independent samples $t$ tests for interval variables and chi-square
tests for categorical variables. Differences in changes over time between the groups were analyzed using linear-mixed models, taking into account the correlation within and between subjects. We performed these repeated measurement analyses for each outcome separately. Unstructured covariance structures were used. The outcomes of the 4 measurement times were entered as dependent variables in each model. Fixed factors in the models were group (PST or control) and time point (T0, T1, T2, and T3), and the interaction between these variables. Each model provided interaction coefficients that represent the mean difference between the groups per time point, using the baseline measurement of the control group as reference. The models were corrected for sex and comorbidity (baseline CIRS score), because these variables accidently differed between the groups at baseline. \( P<0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. Cohen \( d \) effect sizes (difference in effect/pooled SD) were calculated.

**RESULTS**

**Study population**

Of 293 eligible patients approached, 166 provided informed consent. Reasons for nonparticipation were no time, logistical problems, or lack of interest. The flow of patients through the study is presented in Figure 1. During the intervention period, 4 patients dropped out of the PST group (4.5%). During the 1-year follow-up, another 4 patients dropped out of the PST group and 7 were lost in the control group, resulting in equal dropout rates over the total study time in both groups (9.1% and 9.9%, respectively). The average number of PST-sessions completed was 6.5.

The mean age of the total sample was 53.06 years (SD, 10.19) and 53% were men. The median time poststroke was 7.29 months (interquartile range, 4.90-10.61 months). Left-sided stroke was observed in 40.0% and ischemic stroke in 74.2%. Tables 1 and 2 show the patient characteristics and baseline scores of the outcome variables for the PST and control group.
Figure 1. Flowchart of patient inclusion and follow-up

* 9 patients were directly assigned to the PST group, 157 were randomized.
† Analyzed as randomized according to ‘intention-to-treat’ principle.
Table 1. Patient characteristics of the PST and control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PST (n=88)</th>
<th>Control (n=78)</th>
<th>Test Statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>52.17 (9.67)</td>
<td>54.07 (10.73)</td>
<td>T(164)=1.203</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male, n (%)</td>
<td>55 (62.5)</td>
<td>33 (42.3)</td>
<td>X²(1)=6.768</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, high, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (36.4)</td>
<td>26 (33.3)</td>
<td>X²(1)=0.167</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner, no, n (%)</td>
<td>29 (33.0)</td>
<td>16 (20.5)</td>
<td>X²(1)=3.239</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of stroke, left, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (40.7)</td>
<td>29 (39.2)</td>
<td>X²(1)=0.117</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of stroke, ischemic, n (%)</td>
<td>68 (77.3)</td>
<td>53 (70.7)</td>
<td>X²(1)=1.819</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time post stroke, ≤1 year, n (%)</td>
<td>77 (87.5)</td>
<td>62 (79.5)</td>
<td>X²(1)=1.949</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Rankin Scale score, dependent, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (29.5)</td>
<td>20 (25.6)</td>
<td>X²(1)=0.315</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Rankin Scale score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.11 (.73)</td>
<td>2.00 (.77)</td>
<td>T(164)=0.971</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality (EPQ-BV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion, mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.03 (3.19)</td>
<td>6.23 (2.60)</td>
<td>T(164)=1.764</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.09 (3.41)</td>
<td>4.09 (3.30)</td>
<td>T(164)=0.002</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIRS score, comorbidity, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.73 (2.67)</td>
<td>6.77 (3.54)</td>
<td>T(164)=2.152</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphasia severity, mean (SD)</td>
<td>33.06 (2.76)</td>
<td>33.21 (2.53)</td>
<td>T(164)=0.359</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently taking antidepressant medication, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (17.0)</td>
<td>17 (21.8)</td>
<td>X²(1)=0.599</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIRS indicates Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, EPQ-BV, Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Brief Version; and PST, problem-solving therapy.
Table 2. Baseline scores of the outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (Scale Range), Mean (SD)</th>
<th>PST (n=88)</th>
<th>Control (n=78)</th>
<th>Test Statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coping strategy (CISS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-oriented (16-80)</td>
<td>51.13 (11.70)</td>
<td>52.92 (10.01)</td>
<td>T(162)=1.042</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented (16-80)</td>
<td>37.95 (12.08)</td>
<td>37.42 (11.07)</td>
<td>T(160)=-0.291</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant (16-80)</td>
<td>38.82 (11.68)</td>
<td>38.23 (9.95)</td>
<td>T(163)=-0.343</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving skills (SPSI-R:SF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive problem orientation (0-8)</td>
<td>5.34 (1.63)</td>
<td>5.31 (1.69)</td>
<td>T(164)=-0.129</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rational problem solving (0-8)</td>
<td>5.11 (1.59)</td>
<td>4.74 (1.45)</td>
<td>T(164)=-1.564</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative problem orientation (0-8)</td>
<td>4.03 (2.27)</td>
<td>3.73 (2.03)</td>
<td>T(163)=-0.902</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsivity/carelessness style (0-8)</td>
<td>4.13 (1.97)</td>
<td>3.94 (1.77)</td>
<td>T(163)=-0.651</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance style (0-8)</td>
<td>3.58 (1.95)</td>
<td>3.36 (1.95)</td>
<td>T(164)=-0.727</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score (0-40)</td>
<td>22.70 (5.77)</td>
<td>23.03 (5.59)</td>
<td>T(163)=0.366</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-QoL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-QoL: psychosocial (1-5)</td>
<td>3.43 (1.00)</td>
<td>3.34 (0.98)</td>
<td>T(164)=-0.586</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D-5L: utility (0-1)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.18)</td>
<td>T(164)=1.087</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score (0-60)</td>
<td>14.92 (9.41)</td>
<td>15.64 (10.40)</td>
<td>T(164)=0.47</td>
<td>0.640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIRS indicates Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations; HR-QoL, health-related quality of life; SPSI-R:SF, Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised; and SS-QoL, Stroke-Specific Quality-of-Life Scale.

Effectiveness of PST compared with standard care

Coping strategy

Figure 2A shows the trajectory of task-oriented coping over the intervention and follow-up time: the primary outcome measure of coping. At 6 months post intervention (T2), significant differences between the groups were found; the PST group improved significantly more >6-month follow-up than the control group (p=0.008; Table 3). The Cohen’s d effect size was 0.43. Figure 2A illustrates that the PST group increased in task-oriented coping, whereas the control group slightly decreased. The improvement in task-oriented coping remained stable ≤12 months after PST although the difference with standard care only showed a trend towards significance after a year (p=0.060). Figure 2B shows a significant difference in the secondary outcome avoidant coping between the PST and control group (effect size, 0.33). The gain in the PST group was not sustained over the 1-year follow-up (p=0.581). Emotion-oriented coping decreased over time in both groups (p=0.004), but the groups did not differ significantly over time (p=0.895).

Looking specifically at problem-solving skills, we found no changes over time and no differences between the groups over time (data not shown).
**Figure 2.** Effects of PST compared with control group, including standard errors. All analyses were adjusted for sex and baseline comorbidity (CIRS) score. *Significant interaction effect indicating higher scores after PST than control.
Table 3. Effects of PST over time; results of the linear mixed model analyses (N=166)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>T0-T1</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>T0-T2</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>T0-T3</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean* (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean* (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean* (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-oriented</td>
<td>2.783 (1.765)</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>4.337 (0.622)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3.095 (1.636)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-oriented</td>
<td>-0.195 (1.469)</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.692 (1.375)</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.883 (1.383)</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant</td>
<td>1.666 (1.600)</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>3.178 (1.524)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.808 (1.462)</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-QoL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-QoL: Psychosocial</td>
<td>0.075 (0.134)</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.063 (0.130)</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>-0.047 (0.136)</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D-5L: Utility</td>
<td>0.020 (0.026)</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.052 (0.024)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.028 (0.024)</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.568 (1.328)</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>-0.938 (1.332)</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>1.033 (1.340)</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR-QoL indicates health-related quality of life; and SS-QoL, Stroke-Specific Quality-of-Life Scale.
*Mean difference, reference group: control.

Health-Related Quality of Life

The primary outcome psychosocial HR-QoL did not change over the intervention period in any group. Figure 2C shows the course of the secondary outcome utility value of HR-QoL. Over the intervention period, both groups improved equally in general HR-QoL, after which the curves diverged; the PST group continued to increase, whereas the control group leveled off. Six months post intervention (at T2), the increase in utility score significantly differed between the PST and control group (p=0.034). The effect size was 0.34. Between 6 and 12 months post intervention, the utility score in the PST group remained stable, whereas the control group caught up, resulting in equal HR-QoL after 12 months (p=0.245).

Depression

In both groups, the depression score, a secondary outcome in this study, significantly decreased over the total follow-up time (p=0.028). Depression score did not differ significantly between the groups over time (p=0.577). The proportion of patients with probable depression decreased from 39.2% at baseline to 32.5% at 1-year follow-up.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first randomized controlled trial assessing the effectiveness of PST, provided as a group intervention during outpatient stroke rehabilitation, on coping strategy and HR-QoL. The results suggest that PST positively affects task-oriented coping skills. The results in terms of HR-QoL recovery are mixed: the primary disease-
specific outcome did not show an effect, whereas the secondary generic outcome did. The expected stagnation in HR-QoL recovery after rehabilitation discharge was observed in the control group, whereas the PST group showed continued improvement of the utility value of HR-QoL until 6 months after PST, suggesting that adding PST to outpatient stroke rehabilitation succeeded in optimizing HR-QoL recovery after discharge.

The finding that stroke-specific psychosocial HR-QoL recovery did not improve while the secondary generic HR-QoL outcome did is remarkable, as one would expect that a disease-specific outcome is more sensitive than a generic. An explanation can be that PST does more than just improving stroke-related HR-QoL problems; newly learned problem-solving skills can be applied in all aspects of life. Another explanation might be a possible measurement issue; we measured psychosocial HR-QoL with the short version of the questionnaire. This version has been validated, but may not be sensitive enough to detect small but significant changes.

The effects of PST differed over time; no significant group differences were present directly after the intervention. This may be explained by the fact that both groups received outpatient rehabilitation treatment and were both improving because of the multiple interventions in this standard program. In addition, it may take a while for learning-based interventions to impact psychosocial variables such as coping strategy and HR-QoL. Accordingly, after 6 months, PST resulted in increased use of task-oriented and avoidant coping strategies, indicating an improved flexibility in applying different coping strategies, accompanied by improved utility scores. In the literature, avoidant coping often has been associated with negative outcomes like depression and anxiety. However, a recent study on the psychometric properties of the CISS in patients with acquired brain injury showed that avoidant coping was not related with depression and anxiety, whereas emotion-oriented coping was. We reported the same relationship in our study population in a previous article; avoidant coping was not different between patients with high and low depression scores. The result that avoidant coping increased after PST might be explained by the fact that this scale includes many items on social diversion such as seeking company and talking to others, which are encouraged in a group therapy. Being able to apply both task-oriented and avoidant coping strategies in different situations indicates flexibility, which we consider a positive outcome. The effect sizes on coping and utility were rather small and no longer significant after 12 months. This raises the question whether the intervention should be adapted to increase and prolong the effects found. It may be useful to invite participants for more sessions or a refreshment session 6 months post intervention. Future research should assess the effect of such adaptations.
We did not find extra effects of PST on depression, whereas earlier PST studies primarily investigated this outcome. Studies in adults with depression presented mixed results; a systematic review and a meta-analysis both found mixed evidence on effects of PST on depression. In patients with stroke, PST resulted in a lower incidence of depression >12-month follow-up, which disappeared using more conservative analysis. Another study showed a reduction in depressive symptoms ≤12 months. The different results of PST on depression might be because of differences in design, diagnosis, type of PST, type of analysis, or type of control group. The studies reporting effects of PST on poststroke depression differed on the study population and used the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression as outcome measure, which might explain the differences.

This study did show effects on HR-QoL and coping strategy. PST effects on HR-QoL have been investigated in other patient populations. In breast cancer survivors, HR-QoL improved at 12-month follow-up although the PST group was not significantly better than the control group. A pilot study investigating telephone-delivered PST (6-week follow-up) found effects in HR-QoL and in active coping, but no control group was included. A study investigating a nurse-led telephone-based PST in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease found no differences on problem-oriented coping between the intervention and control group. These results do not support effects of PST on coping or HR-QoL. In patients with stroke, PST has not been investigated about HR-QoL and coping before. However, other interventions aimed at coping in patients with stroke did find effects. Similar to our intervention, the intervention described by Backhaus et al. (2010) was provided by psychologists, whereas the studies described above without effects on coping were provided by nurses or students. This might point at the importance of a psychologist providing the intervention in order to affect coping.

Unexpectedly, problem-solving skills did not improve after PST, whereas the intervention was specifically aimed at these skills. Maybe PST improves the use of coping strategy in its broad sense, not just problem-solving, supported by the finding that 2 of the 3 coping strategies improved 6 months post intervention. Another explanation is that a measurement issue might have occurred; the questionnaire measuring problem-solving skills might not be sensitive enough because only 2 questions per subscale are used in the short version. The total score of the short version has been validated, but the longer version is more suitable to detect changes in the domain scores. A drawback of the long version might be difficulty in understanding time-consuming questionnaires for stroke patients, especially as part of an extensive test battery.
The intervention was provided in an open group design, which is new in the field of PST research; in earlier PST studies, the groups were closed or PST was provided individually by telephone. Our results show that an open group design is feasible and effective in outpatient stroke rehabilitation. The low dropout rate in our study supports this conclusion, as well as the positive feedback we received from patients in the PST group.

A limitation of the study might have been the absence of an active control group intervention. We designed this trial in a pragmatic way because it enables us to observe the added value of PST to standard care. The observed effects cannot be simply explained as an effect of additional attention received by the PST group. The effects were found >6 months post intervention, whereas an effect of additional attention would have been present on the short term only. Furthermore, coping skills are also implicitly trained in standard outpatient rehabilitation, which may decrease the contrast between the intervention and the control group. Another limitation might be the generalizability of the results. Because the intervention has been investigated within the outpatient rehabilitation program, we should be careful in generalizing the results to other settings after stroke. Finally, our population reported a relatively high utility score compared with other stroke populations. The finding that this utility score improved after PST suggests that in populations in which there is more to gain (with lower baseline utility scores), the intervention could even be more beneficial.

**SUMMARY**

PST seems a useful intervention for patients with stroke, which may have added value to standard outpatient rehabilitation. PST seems to improve task-oriented coping, but not disease-specific psychosocial HR-QoL >6-month follow-up. Furthermore, we found indication that PST may improve generic HR-QoL recovery after stroke and avoidant coping.
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Objective
Rehabilitation treatment after stroke aims to restore functioning and participation to regain optimal health-related quality of life (HR-QoL). This study synthesized evidence about the effectiveness of stroke rehabilitation interventions, and evaluated which type of intervention is most effective.

Methods
A systematic literature search and meta-analysis included studies if they met the following inclusion criteria: 1) stroke patients; 2) interventions clearly described; 3) SF-36 outcomes reported; 4) controlled/pre-post design; 5) in- or outpatient rehabilitation/community setting. Primary outcomes were the Mental Component Score (MCS) and Physical Component Score (PCS) of the SF-36 at first follow-up. A random-effects meta-analysis was performed on all pre-post studies, and on the subset of controlled studies. Interventions were grouped into multidisciplinary, physical, psycho-social, electrical stimulation/device, and other. PRISMA guidelines and GRADE quality assessment were followed.

Results
32 articles were included, containing 34 intervention and 20 control conditions. In total, 1,744 patients were included, of which 1,072 in the intervention and 672 in the control groups. MCS and PCS significantly improved after intervention (effect size (ES)=0.3, p<.001). In the controlled studies MCS improved significantly (ES=0.2, p=.001), but PCS did not (ES=0.1, p=.058). Little differences were found in effect of different intervention types.

Conclusions
Rehabilitation interventions after stroke are effective in improving HR-QoL on the short-term, most prominently in MCS. There is little evidence to distinguish between intervention types.
INTRODUCTION

Studies describing the effect of stroke rehabilitation interventions on health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) use different designs and show mixed results. For example, several studies investigating physical interventions for stroke, such as exercise of strengthening, with or without a control group report significant improvement in HR-QoL after the intervention,1-5 whereas other studies do not report an effect.6,7 Therefore, the aim of this study was to synthesize evidence from the literature in order to assess whether stroke rehabilitation interventions improve HR-QoL, and to specify which type of intervention (e.g. multidisciplinary, physical, psycho-social) is most effective. We performed a systematic literature review and meta-analysis to answer these questions.

Most rehabilitation interventions after stroke are goal directed, provided for a relatively short time period and targeted to specific functions or activities. The ultimate goal of rehabilitation is to regain optimal HR-QoL. A generic outcome like HR-QoL is less likely to be sensitive for differences in effectiveness of different rehabilitation interventions than more specific intermediate outcomes like physical functioning. This raises the question whether HR-QoL as a global outcome measure is likely to reflect the effects of rehabilitation interventions targeted to specific goals. Nevertheless, HR-QoL is the ultimate outcome and its generic characteristics allow comparisons between rehabilitation interventions aimed at different intermediate outcomes. For instance, when choosing between psycho-social interventions, electrical stimulation or multidisciplinary rehabilitation, one cannot compare the intermediate outcomes that belong to those interventions: psycho-social functioning, physical function and the combination of both. Comparisons between rehabilitation interventions become possible by choosing HR-QoL as outcome, as HR-QoL is the ultimate goal of rehabilitation.

There are a few meta-analyses about rehabilitation interventions after stroke.8-12 These meta-analyses differ regarding both the content of the interventions (e.g. support services, transcranial direct current stimulation, cognitive rehabilitation) as well as the outcomes assessed (social participation, upper extremity impairment, cognitive function, functional recovery). These meta-analyses do not provide results regarding HR-QoL, what prohibits comparison of these different interventions. In the present meta-analysis, we compared the effectiveness of several rehabilitation interventions after stroke, and investigated whether different types of intervention also yield different results.
METHODS

Study selection

Before the start of the review, a review protocol was written, but not published. A systematic literature search was performed to obtain all published articles reporting on the effect of a rehabilitation intervention on HR-QoL in stroke patients. PubMed and Web of Science were searched up to September 2014, using the following search terms: “stroke AND (intervention OR therapy OR training OR treatment OR rehab*) AND (EQ-5D OR EQ5D OR SF-36 OR SF36 OR RAND-36 OR RAND36 OR SF-12 OR SF12 OR SS-QoL OR SSQoL)”.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Articles were included in the meta-analysis if they met the following inclusion criteria: 1) patients diagnosed with stroke (total group or identifiable subgroup); 2) clearly described intervention; 3) EuroQol EQ-5D, Short Form (36) Health Survey (SF-36), SF-12, RAND 36-item Health Survey (RAND-36), or Stroke-Specific Quality-of-Life Scale (SS-QoL) reported (scores available or deductible) before and after the intervention; 4) controlled or pre-post design; 5) in- or outpatient rehabilitation or community setting. Articles were excluded if they reported in another language than English, Dutch, German, or had a sample size smaller than 10.

Two evaluators (MV and LP) independently selected the articles based on title and abstract. The reasons for exclusion were documented. If the two evaluators disagreed on the selection of an article, a third evaluator was consulted, who made the final decision. Full text articles were scrutinized by both evaluators independently. Subsequently, both evaluators independently extracted information from the final set of articles using a standardized data extraction form, based on the CONSORT, STROBE and PRISMA guidelines. Characteristics of the publication, study design, study population, intervention, and outcomes were extracted. If the outcome data was not quantified in the article, the authors were contacted by e-mail and asked for additional data.

Regarding the study design, either experimental or quasi experimental study designs were included, and no restrictions were made on studies without a control group or on type of control group (active control intervention or usual care). With regard to the intervention characteristics no restrictions were made either. Concerning the outcome measures, the SF-36, SF-12, EQ-5D, and SS-QoL were initially included. Most of the included articles used the SF-36 as outcome measure of HR-QoL, while only a few articles used the SS-QoL, EQ-5D, or the SF-12. Because these questionnaires do not provide the same domains, we decided to leave these out of
the meta-analysis and to focus on the outcomes of the SF-36. The questionnaires had to be completed by patients themselves and not by proxies. If HR-QoL was reported for more than one time-point post intervention, the first available follow-up measurement (in most studies performed directly after the intervention) was used.

**Types of intervention**

The interventions were divided in five subgroups, to estimate the effectiveness of the different types of intervention in improving HR-QoL. The interventions were labeled ‘multidisciplinary’ (in case of multidisciplinary rehabilitation treatment), ‘physical’ (only physical intervention), ‘psycho-social’ (only psycho-social support), ‘electrical stimulation/device’ (only electrical stimulation or medical device), and ‘other’ (interventions that do not fit the other categories, like medication). Two evaluators (MV and MH) independently labeled the interventions.

**Statistical analysis**

The eight domain scores and the mental and physical component scores (MCS and PCS respectively) of the SF-36 were extracted. If these scores were provided in the article (pre-post or pre- and change-scores) these data were used. If only the scores of the eight domains were provided, the MCS and PCS scores were estimated from these domain scores. This was done in three steps: first, the Z scores of the eight subscales were calculated based on the norms for the general U.S. population (total sample). Next, aggregated scores for the physical and mental scores were computed. Finally, these summary scores were transformed into norm-based T-scores (norm: mean 50, SD 10).

In the first meta-analysis, we started with the data of all intervention groups, assessing the differences between pre- and post-treatment scores for the MCS and PCS. Next, we performed subgroup analyses on these scores for the different types of intervention.

In the second meta-analysis, the data from studies that included a control group was analyzed, by assessing the difference in change scores (pre-post treatment) between the intervention and control groups. Next, the difference in change scores was assessed per type of intervention. Finally, for the controlled studies we also evaluated the effect of type of intervention for each of the eight domains of the SF-36 (physical function (PF), role physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social function (SF), role emotional (RE), and mental health (MH)).

In each meta-analysis, the effects were assessed by pooling the means and SD’s of the MCS and PCS (or eight domains) of the separate studies weighted by the
inverse of the sum of the within-study variance for a study plus the between-studies variance, which is standard in a random effects model. We used a random effects model to account for heterogeneity between studies. As a point estimate we used the effect size (ES) Cohen’s d; an ES of .20 can be considered small, .50 medium and .80 large. An alpha level of .05 was used for statistical significance.

**Evaluation of biases and heterogeneity**

The quality of the studies included was assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) guidelines, which contain five factors of quality: risk of bias, inconsistency of results, indirectness of evidence, imprecision, and publication bias. Two reviewers (MV and MH) independently rated the confidence in estimates of effect (quality of evidence: high, moderate, low, or very low).

The I-squared statistic was used to test heterogeneity. This statistic provides the percentage of the total variability across studies that is due to between study heterogeneity rather than chance.

We checked for the influence of possible confounders on the effect sizes, like sample size, time post stroke, age, sex, duration of intervention, setting, study design. A jackknife analysis was performed, excluding each study one by one from the meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of single studies on the effect sizes and standard errors. Publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of funnel plots and the Egger test. All analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta Analysis 2.0 (Biostat, Inc.).

**RESULTS**

**Study selection**

A total of 387 articles were found in Web of Science and 418 articles were found in PubMed. After removing the duplicate articles, 581 articles were identified (Figure 1). These were evaluated based on title and abstract by two evaluators. For 15 articles a third evaluator was consulted; all 15 articles were excluded.

After evaluation based on title and abstract, 77 articles were reviewed based on their full-text. Of these articles 22 studies were excluded. For six studies additional information was needed to decide whether to in- or exclude these in the meta-analysis. The authors of these articles were contacted by e-mail, a second e-mail was sent if they did not respond to the first request. Four authors did not react or could not be reached, and two authors could not provide the data requested. The 49
remaining articles included four duplicate studies, with follow-up data of the same study population (the first follow-up moment was included).

The remaining 45 articles assessed different types of interventions and contained different HR-QoL questionnaires. 70% of the included articles used the SF-36 as outcome measure of HR-QoL. Only five articles used the SS-QoL, six articles solely used the EQ-5D, and two articles the SF-12. As described in the methods, these 13 articles were left out of the meta-analysis. Finally, 32 articles reporting the outcomes of the SF-36 were included in the meta-analysis. Sixteen studies were published in Europe, six in North America, two in South America, six in Asia, and two in New Zealand.

Figure 1. Overview of literature research
**Table 1. Descriptive information for studies included in the systematic review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
<th>First author (year)</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age, mean (SD)</th>
<th>N male</th>
<th>Time post stroke in days, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Content of intervention (I) and control (C) group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary (n=9)</td>
<td>Kirk (2014)19</td>
<td>12 (I) 12(C)</td>
<td>67.5 (11.4) 66.8 (7.3)</td>
<td>9 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(I) Cardiac rehabilitation program (C) Standard care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lund (2012)20</td>
<td>39 (I) 47 (C)</td>
<td>75 (7.2) 79 (6.5)</td>
<td>22 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(I) Lifestyle course + physical activity (C) Physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Markle-Reid (2011)21</td>
<td>52 (I) 49 (C)</td>
<td>75.8 (12.4) 70.6 (14.5)</td>
<td>21 24</td>
<td>N=30&lt;6 months N=27&lt;6 months</td>
<td>(I) Specialized interprofessional team approach (C) Usual home care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lee (2012)22</td>
<td>30 (I) 22 (C)</td>
<td>61.27 (13.8) 63.56 (14.9)</td>
<td>14 12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(I) Additional inpatient rehabilitation (C) Home based care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madden (2006)23</td>
<td>116 (I)</td>
<td>71.4 (10.4)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52.1 (22.6)</td>
<td>(I) Inpatient stroke rehabilitation (C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O’Connor (2005)24</td>
<td>50 (I)</td>
<td>55 (47.56)†</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>112 (64-183)†</td>
<td>(I) Multidisciplinary rehabilitation (C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bolsche (2002)25</td>
<td>16 (I1) 18 (I2a) 16 (I2b)</td>
<td>59.1 (9.26) 57.9 (10.04) 59.1 (10.61)</td>
<td>8 5 10</td>
<td>37.13 (24.86) 48.00 (44.57) 31.00 (29.28)</td>
<td>(I1) Outpatient rehabilitation (I2 a/b) Inpatient rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aprile (2008)26</td>
<td>66 (I) 66 (I2) 66 (I3)</td>
<td>66 (10) 66 (10) 66 (10)</td>
<td>42 42 42</td>
<td>467 (304) 467 (304) 467 (304)</td>
<td>(I) Multidisciplinary rehabilitation (C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lai (2004)27</td>
<td>21 (I)</td>
<td>69.5 (6.1)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1080 (720)</td>
<td>(I) Videoconference intervention (C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical (n=11)</td>
<td>Beinotti (2013)28</td>
<td>12 (I) 12 (C)</td>
<td>59 52</td>
<td>8 6</td>
<td>2370 1860</td>
<td>(I) Physiotherapy + horseback riding therapy (C) Conventional physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gordon (2013)29</td>
<td>64 (I) 64 (C)</td>
<td>63.4 (9.4) 64.9 (11.1)</td>
<td>29 29</td>
<td>384 (108) 354 (108)</td>
<td>(I) Aerobic (walking) training (C) Massage affected side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of intervention</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Moment of measurement</td>
<td>Summary of SF36 results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>Single-blind RCT</td>
<td>1 months post-stroke, 6 months post-stroke</td>
<td>Intervention group improved on PF and MH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours, 1x/week for 9 months</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>Improvements in both groups, but no difference between groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>Intervention group improved clinically important (but not significant) more on PF and SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Controlled, pre-post</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>All 8 domains improved in the treatment group, only RP and SF improved in the control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean length of stay 48.9 days</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis on 2 combined databases</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>PF, VT, SF, MH and PCS improved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>All 8 domains improved, with BP, GH, RE, MH returning to normal levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.26 days</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment, 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>RP and PF improved in both conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.49 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.49 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 minutes, 6x/week for 2 months</td>
<td>Observational, prospective</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment, 2 months follow-up</td>
<td>Improvement in PF and SF at discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 hours, 1x/week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>Pre-post</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>Improvements on all subscales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>Single-blind RCT</td>
<td>Pre-and post treatment</td>
<td>More improvement in the experimental group in the total score and PF, RP, and MH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes, 3x/week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre treatment, 6 weeks, post treatment</td>
<td>Trend toward greater improvement for PCS in intervention group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
<th>First author (year)</th>
<th>Sample size (I) Intervention (C) Control</th>
<th>Age, mean (SD)</th>
<th>N male</th>
<th>Time post stroke in days, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Content of intervention (I) and control (C) group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holmgren (2010)²⁹</td>
<td>15 (I)</td>
<td>77.7 (7.6)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>139.7 (37.3)</td>
<td>(I) High-intensive exercise program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 (C)</td>
<td>79.2 (7.5)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>126.8 (28.2)</td>
<td>(C) Group discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olney (2006)²</td>
<td>37 (I)</td>
<td>63.5 (12.0)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>1476 (1584)</td>
<td>(I) Supervised exercise program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35 (C)</td>
<td>65.8 (11.6)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1224 (1404)</td>
<td>(C) Unsupervised home program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studenski (2005)²²</td>
<td>44 (I)</td>
<td>68.5 (9.0)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.5 (28.7)</td>
<td>(I) Therapeutic exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49 (C)</td>
<td>70.4 (11.3)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.1 (27.2)</td>
<td>(C) Usual care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibaek (2004)²⁰</td>
<td>12 (I)</td>
<td>59 (56-72)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>360 (60-600)</td>
<td>(I) Pelvic floor muscle training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 (C)</td>
<td>62 (52-75)†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>390 (60-1500)†</td>
<td>(C) Standard rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timmermans (2014)²¹</td>
<td>11 (I)</td>
<td>61.8 (6.8)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1022 (1058.5)</td>
<td>(I) Robot-assisted arm-hand training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 (C)</td>
<td>56.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1350 (1095)</td>
<td>(C) Non-robotic arm-hand training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim (2001)⁴</td>
<td>10 (I)</td>
<td>60.4 (9.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1764 (1188)</td>
<td>(I) Maximal isokinetic strengthening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 (C)</td>
<td>61.9 (7.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1152 (432)</td>
<td>(C) Passive range of motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill (2012)⁷</td>
<td>10 (I)</td>
<td>46.3 (22-61)†</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2664 (288-7560)†</td>
<td>(I) Maximal intensity strength training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi (2013)⁴</td>
<td>10 (I)</td>
<td>48.8 (9.98)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>297 (51.6)</td>
<td>(I) Wheelchair-based rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padua (2003)⁵</td>
<td>34 (I)</td>
<td>66 (11.6)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>1424 (1241)</td>
<td>(I) physical rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(C) NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psycho-social (n=3)</td>
<td>Clarke (2012)²²</td>
<td>9 (I)</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>263.4</td>
<td>(I) Fatigue management group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (C)</td>
<td>76.51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>325.8</td>
<td>(C) General stroke education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Claiborne (2006)³³</td>
<td>16 (I)</td>
<td>70 (13.97)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(I) Care coordination model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (C)</td>
<td>65 (11.99)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(C) Usual care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of intervention</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Moment of measurement</td>
<td>Summary of SF36 results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 sessions in 3 days/week training + 1 hour/week discussion</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 3 and 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>Benefits in the whole group, no difference between groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 hours, 3x/week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 6 and 12 months follow-up</td>
<td>PCS increased in the supervised group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-session, 12-weeks</td>
<td>Single-blind RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post intervention, 3 months follow-up</td>
<td>Intervention group improved more than usual care on SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>No significant differences between the groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2×30 min, 4x/week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>Improvement in PCS in the control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 minutes, 3x/week for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Double-blind controlled pilot study</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>No change in either group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 weeks</td>
<td>Pre-post</td>
<td>Baseline, pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>No change after training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minutes, 5x/week for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Pre-post</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>Improvements in PF, PR, BP, SR, ER, MH and GH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minutes, 1x/week for 6 weeks</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 3 months follow-up</td>
<td>SF improved, but no difference between groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Randomized controlled group</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>MCS improved in the intervention group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
<th>First author (year)</th>
<th>Sample size (I) Intervention Age, mean (SD)</th>
<th>N male</th>
<th>Time post stroke in days, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Content of intervention (I) and control (C) group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brittle (2008)</td>
<td>34 (I) 58.8 (53.0-68.3)†</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>720 (360-1080)†</td>
<td>(I) Conductive education (C) NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical stimulation/device (n=4)</td>
<td>Chou (2009)35 17 (I) 76.29 (9.7) 8</td>
<td>735 (211.8)</td>
<td>(I) Electro-acupuncture (C) Continued rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 (C) 76.63 (6.5) 8</td>
<td>699 (241.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kottink (2010)36 14 (I) 55.2 (11.36) 10</td>
<td>3265.2 (3344.4)</td>
<td>(I) Implantable nerve stimulator (C) Conventional walking device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 (C) 52.87 (9.87) 10</td>
<td>2041.2 (1670.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fernandes (2006)37 50 (I) - - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(I) Functional electric orthosis (C) NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renzenbrink (2004)38 15 (I) 57.1 (10.4) 8</td>
<td>990 (240-2640)†</td>
<td>(I) neuromuscular electrical stimulation (C) NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (n=5)</td>
<td>Choi-Kwon (2008)39 76 (I) 58.41 (8.92) 57</td>
<td>327.9 (330.6)</td>
<td>(I) Fluoxetine (C) Placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76 (C) 58.18 (8.85) 60</td>
<td>431.1 (249.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hohmann (2009)40 90 (I) 68.2 (9.7) 55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(I) Intensified pharmaceutical care (C) Standard care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165 (C) 68.1 (10.8) 107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lindvall (2014)41 24 (I) 62.1 (11.4) 12</td>
<td>1496.5 (1387)</td>
<td>(I) Body awareness therapy (C) Continued daily activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 (C) 65.6 (9.2) 15</td>
<td>1533 (1642.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shinohara (2012)42 19 (I) 72.4 (8.7) 9</td>
<td>414 (267)</td>
<td>(I) MOHO-based occupational therapy (C) Occupational therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 (C) 75.1 (11.4) 6</td>
<td>651 (234)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duncan (2011)43 13 (I) 64 (43-82)† 3</td>
<td>1980 (460-5040)†</td>
<td>(I) Bowen therapy (C) NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MCS, Mental Component Score; PCS, Physical Component Score; PF, physical function; RP, role physical; BP, bodily pain; GH, general health; VT, vitality; SF, social function; RE, role emotional; MH, mental health

*This study contained 3 intervention groups, included as 3 intervention groups in the analyses.

**This study only measured 3 of the 8 domains of the SF-36 and could therefore not be included in the analyses on the MCS/PCS.

†Median (interquartile range).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of intervention</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Moment of measurement</th>
<th>Summary of SF36 results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 group sessions, scheduled daily</td>
<td>Observational, pre-post</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>Improvements in MH at p&lt;.01 level; RP, RE, SF, VT at p&lt;.05 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 minutes, 2x/week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, single-blind RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and 8 weeks post treatment</td>
<td>RP, VT, SF, RE, MH, MCS improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 sessions</td>
<td>Pre-post</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>All domains improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours/day for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Prospective, open label</td>
<td>Implant, explant, 12 and 24 weeks follow-up</td>
<td>All domains, in particular BP, showed improvement in the short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20mg/day for 3 months</td>
<td>Secondary study of double-blind placebo-controlled trial</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 6 and 12 months follow-up</td>
<td>MH score was higher in the fluoxetine group at 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Controlled, pre-post</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>No change in intervention group, 7/8 domains, MCS and PCS decreased in control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minutes, 1x/week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>Pilot RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment, 6 week follow-up</td>
<td>No differences in change scores over time between or within the groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum 20-30 minutes, 2x/week for 3 months</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>The experimental group improved on PF, RP, BP, GH, SF compared to the control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-60 minutes, 1x/week for 3 months</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Pre- and post treatment</td>
<td>RP, PCS and total score showed improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data extraction

Of these 32 studies, nine studies reported multidisciplinary rehabilitation treatment (four including a control condition), 11 studies reported a physical intervention (eight including a control condition), three studies reported a psycho-social intervention (two including a control condition), four studies reported electrical stimulation or a medical device (two including a control condition), and five studies reported various interventions (e.g. medication, Bowen therapy), which were grouped in the category ‘other’ (four including a control condition). The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1.

In one of the extracted articles (Bolsche, 2002) three intervention groups were compared. In that case we included the three intervention groups as separate interventions in the analysis. In another study (Studenski, 2005) only three domains of HR-QoL were included, so that the MCS and PCS could not be calculated. This study was only included in the analysis of the eight subdomains. In total, 33 intervention and 19 control groups were included in the primary analyses of MCS and PCS, and 14 studies were evaluated in the subdomain analysis. The total number of patients was 1,744, of which 1,072 participated in the intervention groups and 672 in the control groups.

Data synthesis

Pre-post effect of interventions on MCS and PCS

The meta-analysis investigating the pre-post effects of all interventions showed that both MCS and PCS significantly improved over the intervention period. The pooled effect size (ES) for MCS was .292 (n=33, p<.001), the pooled ES for PCS was .265 (n=33, p<.001).

Pre-post effect by type of intervention

The pre-post effects of the different types of intervention (multidisciplinary, physical, psycho-social, electrical stimulation/device, and other) on MCS and PCS are shown in Figure 2A and 2B respectively. For MCS, all types of intervention resulted in significant improvements (‘multidisciplinary’ ES=.267 (n=11, p=.001); ‘physical’ ES=.169 (n=10, p=.020); ‘psycho-social’ ES=.563 (n=3, p=.050); ‘electrical stimulation/device’ ES=.494 (n=4, p=.007); ‘other’ ES=.246 (n=5, p=.037)). PCS significantly improved after ‘multidisciplinary’ (ES=.345, n=11, p=.001) and ‘physical’ (ES=.323, n=10, p=.001) interventions, ‘electrical stimulation/device’ showed a trend towards significance (ES=.337, n=4, p=.053). ‘Psycho-social’ (ES=.198, n=3, p=.134) and ‘other’ (ES=.168, n=5, p=.105) interventions did not improve PCS significantly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention Type</th>
<th>Study 1</th>
<th>Study 2</th>
<th>Study 3</th>
<th>Study 4</th>
<th>Study 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Intervention</td>
<td>-0.233</td>
<td>-0.234</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td>-0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psycho-social Intervention</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidisciplinary Rehab</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Stimulation/Device</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidisciplinary Rehab</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Pre-post scores for MCS and PCS, including pooled ES for different types of intervention.
Figure 2. Continued

Group by Intervention
Study name | Statistics for each study | Std diff in means and 95% CI

Overall

Psycho-social intervention Clarke, 2012
-0.747 0.563 -0.275 0.783

Physical intervention Timmermans, 2014
0.000 0.302 0.091 -0.591 0.591 0.000 1.00

Lindvall, 2014
-0.097 0.205 0.042 -0.498 0.304 -0.473 0.636

Duncan, 2011
0.594 0.301 0.090 0.004 1.184 1.975 0.04

Shinohara, 2012
0.567 0.311 0.097 -0.043 1.177 1.823 0.864

Markle-Reid, 2011
0.283 0.141 0 0 0.424 0.191 0.036 0.050 0.798 2.225 0.026

Mai, 2016
1.166 1.225 0.282 0.087 0.961 1.783 0.075

Electrical stimulation/Device Fernandes, 2006
0.874 0.167 -0.018 0.535 1.835 0.067 0.607 0.199 0.039 0.218 0.996

Hill, 2012
2.089 1.681 0.037

Beinotti, 2013
0.463 0.304 0.092 -0.246 0.118 0.014 0.015 0.476 2.087 0.037

Hill, 2012
1.448 1.508 0.037

Tibaek, 2004
-0.313 0.296 0.087 -0.892 0.266 -1.059 0.290

Other

Duncan, 2011
0.227 0.281 0.079 -0.324 0.778 0.808 0.419

Shinohara, 2012
0.567 0.311 0.097 -0.043 1.177 1.823 0.864

Lund, 2012
0.610 0.174 0.030 0.268 0.952 3.498 0.000

Lindvall, 2014
-0.097 0.205 0.042 -0.498 0.304 -0.473 0.636

Duncan, 2011
0.227 0.281 0.079 -0.324 0.778 0.808 0.419

Other

Shinohara, 2012
0.567 0.311 0.097 -0.043 1.177 1.823 0.864

Lund, 2012
0.610 0.174 0.030 0.268 0.952 3.498 0.000

Lindvall, 2014
-0.097 0.205 0.042 -0.498 0.304 -0.473 0.636

Duncan, 2011
0.227 0.281 0.079 -0.324 0.778 0.808 0.419

Other

Shinohara, 2012
0.567 0.311 0.097 -0.043 1.177 1.823 0.864

Lund, 2012
0.610 0.174 0.030 0.268 0.952 3.498 0.000

Lindvall, 2014
-0.097 0.205 0.042 -0.498 0.304 -0.473 0.636

Duncan, 2011
0.227 0.281 0.079 -0.324 0.778 0.808 0.419
Controlled effect of interventions on MCS and PCS

The meta-analysis assessing the effect of interventions adjusted for the effect of the control conditions showed that this controlled effect of interventions on MCS was significant with a pooled ES of .242 (n=19, p=.001). The controlled effect of the interventions on PCS was not statistically significant, with a pooled ES of .143 (n=19, p=.058) (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect type of intervention on MCS and PCS (intervention vs control group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type intervention</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Pooled ES</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Pooled ES</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.266</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.799</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.453</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.397</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.457</td>
<td>.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.363</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.242</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controlled effect by type of intervention

Analyzing the controlled effect by different types of intervention showed that MCS significantly improved by ‘other’ interventions (Table 2). PCS did not significantly change through any type of intervention.

Controlled effects per subdomain of SF-36

Finally, the effect of intervention for each of the 8 subdomains of the SF-36 was evaluated. Table 3 shows that the domains PF, RP, SF, RE, and MH improved significantly more in the intervention groups, compared to the control groups. Differentiating by type of intervention, ‘multidisciplinary’ interventions significantly improved PF and RE, ‘electrical stimulation/device’ improved SF and MH, and ‘other’ improved RP, VT, SF, and MH.
Table 3. Effect of type of intervention on the 8 domains of the SF-36 (intervention vs control)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36 domain</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Pooled ES</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Type intervention</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Pooled ES</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Function</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.270</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.298</td>
<td>.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.499</td>
<td>.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.839</td>
<td>.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.598</td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.206</td>
<td>.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role Physical</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.287</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.221</td>
<td>.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.368</td>
<td>.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.732</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily Pain</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>.211</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-.044</td>
<td>.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-.027</td>
<td>.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.172</td>
<td>.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Health</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>.051</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.160</td>
<td>.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.192</td>
<td>.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.287</td>
<td>.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.221</td>
<td>.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.254</td>
<td>.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.187</td>
<td>.117</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-.097</td>
<td>.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.621</td>
<td>.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.915</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.244</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Function</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.354</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.310</td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.406</td>
<td>.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.759</td>
<td>.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.585</td>
<td>.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.400</td>
<td>.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role Emotional</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.295</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.310</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-.069</td>
<td>.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.370</td>
<td>.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.360</td>
<td>.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.461</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.322</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.338</td>
<td>.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.316</td>
<td>.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-social</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.117</td>
<td>.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical/device</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.549</td>
<td>.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.342</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Controlling for/evaluating potential bias

The results of the GRADE quality assessment are shown in Table 4. In the pre-post meta-analyses the risk of bias was serious because no control groups were included. In the meta-analysis of the controlled studies risk of bias was also present because of the lack of blinding of outcome assessors in half of the studies.

Heterogeneity was present in the pre-post analyses (MCS: p<.001; PCS: p=.001), but not in the controlled analyses (MCS: p=.236; PCS: p=.135). Random effects analyses were used in all outcomes to deal with potential heterogeneity. The potential confounders sample size, time post stroke, age, sex, duration of intervention, setting, or study design had no effect on the results. The jackknife analysis showed that none of the single studies affected the effect sizes and standard errors found in the meta-analyses.

The shape of the funnel plots shows no indication for publication bias (Figure 3). The Egger test confirmed that publication bias was not present (MCS: p=0.312; PCS: p=0.891).

The quality of evidence was rated very low for the pre-post studies, based on the absence of control groups and heterogeneity between studies. The evidence based on the controlled studies was rated as moderate quality.
Figure 3. Funnel plots for MCS and PCS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE evidence profile: rehabilitation treatment for patients with stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 4</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary of findings</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental Component Score (MCS)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RCT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Component Score (PCS)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RCT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; MCS, Mental Component Score; PCS, Physical Component Score; RCT, randomized controlled trials; (I), intervention; (C), control; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

- *lack of control group
- #lack of blinding of participants
- ^significant heterogeneity
DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis showed that stroke rehabilitation interventions are effective in increasing HR-QoL. The pre-post effects on MCS and PCS are comparable (ES=0.3) and can be considered small but significant.\textsuperscript{17}

We were interested in which type of intervention was related to the largest pre-post effects on HR-QoL after stroke. MCS significantly improved by all types of intervention, although the magnitude of the effect size varied. The largest pre-post effect was observed for psycho-social interventions, followed by electrical, multidisciplinary, physical and other interventions. PCS only significantly improved by multidisciplinary and physical interventions. As could be expected, psycho-social interventions affected the mental component of HR-QoL more, while physical interventions affected the physical component more. Psycho-social interventions did not improve the physical component score, while physical interventions did improve the mental component score. Multidisciplinary interventions improved both components of HR-QoL.

After controlling for potential placebo effects or spontaneous recovery, the pooled interventions still showed a significant effect on MCS, whereas the effect on PCS was no longer significant. The effect size of interventions on MCS remained quite stable (ES=0.2) compared to the non-controlled analysis, while the number of studies in the meta-analysis decreased (33 to 19). Despite this decrease in power and the comparison with a control group, the stable effect on MCS strengthens our hypothesis that rehabilitation interventions may improve the mental component of HR-QoL. However, we could no longer demonstrate the effectiveness by type of intervention. This is probably due to the small subgroups after removal of uncontrolled studies.

The effect size of the pooled interventions on PCS decreased to 0.1 after adjustment for control groups. We found that most control interventions included some kind of physical therapy (physical activity,\textsuperscript{20} physiotherapy,\textsuperscript{1} massage,\textsuperscript{28} exercise,\textsuperscript{2} arm-hand training,\textsuperscript{31} range of motion,\textsuperscript{6} walking device,\textsuperscript{36} or standard care.\textsuperscript{5, 19,21,22,30,33,35,40,41,44} Standard care may also have included some kind of physical activity. The lack of contrast between the intervention and control groups regarding physical activity may explain why the effect of rehabilitation interventions on the physical component of HR-QoL diminished after adjustment.

Subgroup analyses on the eight domains of HR-QoL showed that PF, RP, SF, RE, and MH significantly improved after the combined interventions, with varying effect sizes. A remarkable result was observed for MH; psychosocial interventions did not improve this score, while electrical stimulation/device did. However, stratification by
type of intervention and domains of HR-QoL might have resulted in small subgroups which may have precluded finding significant effects on specific domains.

The effects of different clinical interventions on HR-QoL have been reviewed in other patient populations. For example, in a population of patients with multiple sclerosis, a meta-analysis showed that HR-QoL can be improved, and that the effect size varied by type of intervention, in accordance with our results. The multiple sclerosis meta-analysis included different HR-QoL instruments for which a single index was available, and included only parallel randomized controlled trials. They identified six major types of intervention, and showed the largest effect for ‘psychological interventions for mood’ (ES=0.7), followed by ‘cognitive training’ and ‘exercise’ (ES=0.4), ‘medication’ (ES=0.3), and ‘self-management’ and ‘complementary and alternative medicine’ (ES=0.2), on a single value for HR-QoL. The effect sizes are mostly comparable to those found in our study.

Another example is a meta-analysis in a population of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. This systematic review assessed the impact of pulmonary rehabilitation on HR-QoL. They included randomized controlled trials and assessed different interventions and different measures of HR-QoL, not all studies included a measure of HR-QoL. The results of this meta-analysis showed that pulmonary rehabilitation is effective in improving different domains of HR-QoL.

These review studies support our finding that HR-QoL can be improved by various interventions, and that different types of interventions can affect the mental and physical components of HR-QoL differentially.

Limitations

The SF-36 is the most widely used instrument for the measurement of HR-QoL in stroke rehabilitation intervention studies, although other questionnaires are available to measure HR-QoL after stroke. The number of studies using other instruments was relatively small and therefore these studies were not analyzed. Overall, we found an increase in the summary scores of the SF-36, specifically in the mental score. Our results show that the SF-36 is suitable to detect relevant changes after stroke rehabilitation interventions. This questionnaire evaluates HR-QoL from the perspective of the patient, which is important when evaluating interventions.

Heterogeneity was present in this meta-analysis in the pre-post studies. We used random effects analyses to deal with this and we assessed the effects of potential sources of heterogeneity.

We included different study designs; not all studies contained a control group, and the studies assessed different interventions (regarding content, duration,
intensity, etc.). Because of the heterogeneity between interventions, we grouped the interventions into categories and stratified the analyses by type of intervention. Furthermore, we studied the combined effect of interventions with and without control groups. Without a control group, placebo effects on HR-QoL cannot be ruled out. However including a control condition, which often aims at improved physical functioning, may result in lack of contrast which may diminish effects on specific domains of HR-QoL, notably the physical domains. Therefore, it is important to perform meta-analyses including and excluding studies without control groups.

The time post stroke of the populations in the studies in this meta-analysis varied widely (range: 31 to 3265 days). The studies in the sub-acute phase (mean days post stroke <1 year) are mainly observed in the multidisciplinary intervention group, which seems logical since multidisciplinary rehabilitation treatment is often offered to patients in this phase after stroke. In the chronic phase therapies will be more specifically aimed at the remaining consequences of stroke. We assessed the influence of time post stroke, and found no effect. Regardless of the varying time post stroke, the interventions were successful in improving HR-QoL.

Finally, we only assessed the short-term effects of interventions on HR-QoL. Part of the studies in the analysis provided follow-up scores, but the moments of follow-up differed too much to allow a fair comparison. The effects seemed to remain present in the long term, but future meta-analyses should assess the long-lasting effects of rehabilitation interventions on HR-QoL.

The results of a meta-analysis can be biased by publication bias, which may be present if large studies with large effects are published more often than small studies with small or no effects. We evaluated the presence of publication bias and found no indication in this meta-analysis.

**Quality of evidence**

In the GRADE approach, randomized controlled trials start as high-quality evidence and observational studies as low-quality evidence. The quality of the studies was adequate regarding most of the quality criteria. Only the blinding of participants was not performed in most studies. This is inherent to the design of non-pharmacological clinical intervention studies, in which blinding is practically impossible. Non-blinding may have resulted in larger effects in the intervention compared to the control groups. Therefore, the quality of evidence was rated down from high to moderate for the controlled studies and from low to very low for the pre-post studies, indicating that we are quite confident in the effect sizes of the controlled studies, but that more research is necessary to confirm our results.
CONCLUSION

Rehabilitation interventions targeted to stroke patients are effective in improving HR-QoL on the short-term. All types of intervention improve the mental component of HR-QoL. Effects on the physical component are found using physical and multidisciplinary interventions, which disappear by the use of active control therapies.
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CHAPTER 7

General Discussion
Most patients with stroke experience problems in daily life, resulting in a decreased health-related quality of life (HR-QoL).\textsuperscript{1,2} HR-QoL after stroke is predicted by several factors, including functional constraints and psychosocial variables such as depression and coping strategy.\textsuperscript{3,4} Over time, the effect of coping on HR-QoL increases, while the effect of functional constraints decreases.\textsuperscript{4} Immediately after stroke HR-QoL is usually decreased, which increases during post-acute rehabilitation. However, a decline in HR-QoL may re-occur after discharge from rehabilitation, when patients have to deal with the consequences of stroke at home without professional support.\textsuperscript{5} During this phase of recovery, problem solving, a specific coping process, is reported to be helpful by patients with stroke.\textsuperscript{6}

Problem Solving Therapy (PST) is an intervention in which patients are taught to increase their skills in flexibly solving problems in various situations. PST has been shown effective in several patient populations.\textsuperscript{7} In patients with stroke, PST has been shown to improve or prevent depression.\textsuperscript{8,9} The effects of PST on coping strategy and HR-QoL after stroke have not been investigated yet. Therefore, the aim of this thesis was \textsuperscript{[1]} to study the relations between coping strategy, problem solving skills, depression and HR-QoL after stroke and \textsuperscript{[2]} to evaluate the effects of PST on coping strategy and HR-QoL in patients with stroke. Finally, we aimed \textsuperscript{[3]} to evaluate the effect of other stroke rehabilitation interventions on HR-QoL. We addressed these aims by conducting two cross-sectional studies, a randomized controlled trial and a meta-analysis.

\textbf{Concepts of coping and HR-QoL}

Coping is commonly defined as the cognitive and behavioral efforts to deal with stressful situations and the emotions they generate.\textsuperscript{10} In literature there are several coping theories describing different dimensions of coping. In this thesis we used different coping scales measuring various dimensions of coping: accommodative vs assimilative coping with the Assimilative Accommodative Coping Scale (AACS),\textsuperscript{11} and task-oriented, emotion-oriented and avoidant coping with the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS).\textsuperscript{12} Accommodative coping refers to the adjustment of personal preferences to the situation, while assimilative coping refers to the adjustment of the situation to personal preferences. Task-oriented coping refers to (task-oriented) attempts to solve the problem, cognitive restructuring the problem or changing the situation. Emotion-oriented coping refers to emotional reactions to reduce stress, such as self-blame, becoming angry, becoming tense, or imagining how the situation will develop. Avoidant coping refers to activities to avoid stressful situations by seeking distraction or company. These different dimensions of coping are difficult to compare. In a population of patients with acquired brain
injury, both assimilative and accommodative coping showed positive correlations with task-oriented coping and negative correlations with emotion-oriented coping. In addition, we measured five dimensions of problem solving: positive problem orientation (PPO), rational problem solving (RPS), negative problem orientation (NPO), impulsivity/careless style (ICS), and avoidance style (AS), measured with the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R:SF). PPO and RPS are considered constructive and adaptive dimensions; PPO refers to the tendency to view problems positively and being optimistic regarding solutions, RPS refers to the systematic use of effective problem-solving techniques. The other three dimensions are considered dysfunctional; NPO refers to a cognitive-emotional set preventing effective problem solving, ICS to the tendency to implement skills impulsively and incomplete, and AS to putting the problem off and waiting for solutions. Problem solving can be considered a coping process, but it cannot be directly compared with or distinguished from other coping dimensions because it can serve a variety of coping functions, depending on the goals and solutions belonging to the problem (e.g. action vs relaxation).

HR-QoL is a broad multidimensional construct, including dimensions such as physical functioning, psychological well-being, level of independence, and social well-being. HR-QoL can be measured using generic and disease-specific questionnaires. Generic HR-QoL questionnaires mentioned in this thesis are the Short Form-36 (SF-36) and Euroqol-EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). These questionnaires describe health states and allow to focus on subscales but can be used as an index score in economic evaluations as well. This index score is a utility score, representing the societal perspective of the general public. Further, generic questionnaires enable comparison of health states between different patient populations. However, these questionnaires may not cover all aspects of HR-QoL typically affected after stroke, such as physical constraints, cognitive impairment or reduced mood. The Stroke-Specific Quality of Life scale (SS-QoL-12) is specifically developed to assess HR-QoL after stroke. It is recommended to assess HR-QoL using both a generic and disease-specific questionnaire.

**MAIN FINDINGS**

**Coping, problem solving, depression and HR-QoL after stroke**

We investigated the relation between coping, depression and HR-QoL after stroke in two cross-sectional studies in the post-acute (Chapter 3) and in the chronic phase after stroke (Chapter 2). Chapter 2 describes the relative associations of coping strategy and depression on HR-QoL in patients >18 months after stroke. Coping
strategy and depression score were found to be independently associated with the psychological health dimension of HR-QoL. Patients who prefer an accommodative coping strategy (adjusting personal preferences to the situation) over assimilative coping (adjusting the situation to personal preferences) showed less symptoms of depression and reported higher levels of HR-QoL. Training coping strategies might therefore improve both depression and HR-QoL. If a similar relationship between coping, depression, and HR-QoL exists during the post-acute phase after stroke, this phase may be the best moment to train coping strategies, as in this phase the patient is often participating in a stroke rehabilitation program. Moreover, during post-acute rehabilitation, patients with stroke have been found to use more assimilative coping,\(^4\) whereas previous studies suggested that accommodative coping or the combination of both strategies is related to better psychological health.\(^4,22\) Therefore, we assessed the relation between coping, depression and HR-QoL during post-acute rehabilitation after stroke (median time post stroke 7 months) in Chapter 3. In addition, we investigated whether patients with high and low depression scores use different coping strategies and problem solving skills. This study showed that patients with high depression scores used less PPO (a problem solving skill) and emotion-oriented coping and more NPO and AS of problem solving. Independent of depression, positive problem solving skills appear to be most significantly related to better psychosocial and total HR-QoL. These results support a relation between coping strategy, depression and HR-QoL in patients in post-acute outpatient stroke rehabilitation that is similar as in patients in the chronic phase after stroke. We therefore conclude that training coping skills in a post-acute stroke rehabilitation program has the potential to improve both mood and HR-QoL.

**Effectiveness of Problem Solving Therapy**

We designed a pragmatic randomized controlled trial (RCT) to assess the effectiveness of PST for improving coping strategy, HR-QoL, and depression in patients during outpatient stroke rehabilitation in which we evaluated the effect of PST as an add-on to standard care. This study design allowed for obtaining a realistic estimate of the additional value of PST compared to standard care only. Chapter 4 describes the details of the design of the RCT and the content of the intervention. Task-oriented coping and stroke-specific psychosocial HR-QoL were chosen as primary outcome measures and depression as secondary outcome measure. The results of the study are presented in Chapter 5. PST during post-acute stroke rehabilitation improved task-oriented coping but not disease-specific psychosocial HR-QoL after stroke over six months follow-up. We found indications that PST also improves generic HR-QoL recovery and avoidant coping, but not depression. This suggests that adding PST to
outpatient stroke rehabilitation is effective in optimizing coping skills and HR-QoL recovery after discharge. So, PST as an add-on to a post-acute stroke rehabilitation program prevents a drop in HR-QoL after rehabilitation discharge, which was the primary aim of the study. It is promising that PST, as a relatively short and low cost add-on therapy, shows effect on coping strategy and general HR-QoL at six months follow-up. However, the effects were no longer significant after 12 months, raising the question whether the intervention should be adapted to increase and prolong the effects found.

Which components of the intervention can explain the effects found? The working components of psychotherapeutic interventions are distinguished in specific and common factors. Specific factors refer to the techniques and skills used; in our study the specific ingredients of PST combined with general psychological techniques such as the Socratic dialogue and cognitive behavioral techniques. An important specific aspect of the intervention might be repeating the four steps in the problem solving process multiple times. Common factors include therapeutic alliance, empathy, expectations, therapist effects, and collaboration. Therapeutic alliance is one the most important and well described common factors. Although PST is highly manualized, the therapeutic alliance is important as well. The psychologist has important value in creating a therapeutic alliance, both with herself as a therapist and between members of the group (group cohesion), and in optimizing the group dynamics by observing, supporting, and intervening in these dynamics. The interactive group dynamics should be mentioned as a strong component of the therapy. Modeling, recognition, sharing and peer support are all very strong and positive aspects of group therapy.

The therapist is an important common factor too. Studies investigating the effects of PST on coping and HR-QoL, in which PST was provided by nurses and students, did not show effects. The intervention described in this thesis was provided by psychologists who were specifically trained for providing the PST. However, their basic levels of qualification differed; health-care psychologists and neuropsychologist, both with a master’s degree, and psychological assistants with a bachelor degree.

**Effectiveness of stroke rehabilitation interventions on HR-QoL**
The results of our RCT show that the intervention does affect generic HR-QoL, but not disease-specific HR-QoL, as we hypothesized. This led us to reconsider and discuss the concept of HR-QoL, which is a widely used outcome measure in rehabilitation research. Rehabilitation treatment after stroke often is aimed at specific goals in physical and cognitive functioning to improve social participation and regain
optimal HR-QoL. Many constructs underlie HR-QoL that may or may not be related to the treatment goals of stroke rehabilitation programs. It could be questioned whether HR-QoL is a valid outcome measure for goal specific treatment programs. Studies on efficacy of stroke rehabilitation show mixed results on HR-QoL. Therefore, in Chapter 6 we performed a systematic literature review and meta-analysis to synthesize evidence to assess whether stroke rehabilitation interventions improve HR-QoL, and to specify which type of intervention is most effective. We aimed to include both disease-specific and generic HR-QoL measures to relate the results to those described in Chapter 5. As too little studies in our meta-analysis used disease-specific questionnaires we decided to focus on the SF-36, a generic questionnaire. The results of the meta-analysis show that stroke rehabilitation interventions are effective in improving HR-QoL on the short-term, most prominently in the mental component score. We found little evidence to establish which type of intervention is most effective.

Both the meta-analysis and our RCT show that rehabilitation interventions can improve generic HR-QoL. In our RCT, stroke-specific HR-QoL did not improve. How to explain this result? Patients may apply the newly learned problem solving skills probably in a broader context than just in stroke-related problems. Further, because of time limitations, we used the short version of the stroke-specific HR-QoL questionnaire, which hinders a detailed analysis of the effects on underlying constructs.

SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION OF PST

In our study PST was designed as an open group intervention, meaning that patients could enter and leave the group at different moments in time; ‘experienced’ patients participated in the group together with new members. This is the first study in which PST was offered as an open group therapy. Advantages of this design are that patients do not have to wait until they can start with the intervention, patients can share their experiences with several other patients with stroke, and the group can be implemented in practice relatively easy. Disadvantages may be an unsafe environment within the group, and the need for a continuous flow of patients. The intervention consisted of eight weekly sessions of 1.5 hour provided by a trained psychologist, with three to six participants. The problem solving process was divided in four steps, so that patients would discuss every step in the model twice. The steps in the model are: [1] define problem and goal; [2] generate multiple solutions; [3] select a solution; and [4] implement and evaluate.
We asked patients who participated in the PST group to evaluate the intervention, for which we designed a questionnaire. In this questionnaire patients were asked to grade the intervention, and to answer some multiple choice and open questions. The questions concerned the content of the intervention, the quality of the therapist during the sessions, the group dynamics, the homework assignment forms, and the influence of the intervention on daily life. The questionnaire was filled out by 61% of patients. They evaluated the therapy with a mean ‘school grade’ of 7.4 on a scale from 0 to 10 (SD=1.0). This Dutch ‘school grade’ of 7.4 equals an A/A- in the United States. Regarding the content of the intervention, 79.5% of the patients rated the therapy as useful, 77.3% as informative, and 70.5% enjoyed going to the meetings. 93.2% evaluated the quality of the therapist during the sessions positively and 88.6% evaluated the explanations as clear. Regarding the group in which patients participated, 81.8% liked participating in a group, 81.8% evaluated sharing with other patients as helpful, and 84% evaluated the group sessions as pleasant. Regarding the homework assignment forms, 65.1% evaluated the forms as easy to use and 65.9% as useful, 70.4% evaluated the assignments well explained, and 54.6% thought they would use these forms in the future. Regarding the use of the intervention in daily life, 61.4% reported being better at solving problems in daily life, 56.8% reported using the trained skills in daily life, and 43.2% felt more self-confident after the therapy. The duration of the intervention (90 minutes) was rated well by 75%, and 95.5% would recommend the therapy to other patients with stroke.

Regarding the open questions, the answers were quite diverse. Some patients would have wanted more participants in the groups, while other patients evaluated small groups as a positive aspect. Several patients would like more time for sharing experiences and more examples to work with during the sessions. Patients liked recognizing experiences of other patients with stroke, and explicitly liked learning skills to solve problems by themselves.

In summary, the intervention was positively evaluated by the patients who participated in the intervention group. These evaluations are obviously subjective, but the objective low dropout rate in our study supports this conclusion. It confirms that PST would be of additional value to outpatient stroke rehabilitation. The lowest evaluations were given to the homework assignment forms; we might want to adapt these when implementing the therapy in practice. The open group design, which is new in the field of PST research, was evaluated positively, indicating that this design is feasible from the patient perspective. Furthermore, the open group design is easy to implement in practice and the costs are relatively low since the group can be extended to the maximum number of participants any time. When implementing the intervention in practice, one should consider whether the flow of patients is
sufficient and continuous in order to have a sufficient number of participants in this group therapy. If the flow of patients with stroke is insufficient, it is possible to invite patients with other diagnoses, such as traumatic brain injury, to warrant the interactive group dynamics.

**METHODODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

**Study design**

The first two chapters describe cross-sectional studies. In these studies, a multivariable linear regression analysis and linear mixed model analysis were used. These analyses assume a causal relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Based on the literature, we assumed these relationships are plausible. In order to study the effect of intervention on these relations, we performed the RCT with follow-up measurements over time. Given the positive outcome of our RCT, this causal relationship was supported with empirical evidence.

The randomized controlled trial investigating the effectiveness of PST was designed in a pragmatic way. PST was an add-on therapy and compared to a regular post-acute stroke rehabilitation program without active control therapy. This allows to measure the added value of PST to standard care, which is important for clinical practice. A critical note could be the possible effect of extra attention in the intervention group, but this effect would have been strongest in the short term only, while we found effects six months after the intervention. Further, if we would like to distinguish between the effect of attention and the effect of the specific treatment elements, the control group should have received a control therapy with the same number and duration of sessions, but without psychological intervention. This could decrease the contrast between the intervention and control group. One of the advantages of the pragmatic study design is that the external validity is better than using a sham-controlled design; the results will be generalizable to the normal rehabilitation setting.

Sampling bias might have been present in the studies described in this thesis. Only patients with stroke in a rehabilitation setting were studied in different phases after stroke. The results of Chapter 2 were obtained from patients in the chronic phase after stroke rehabilitation. However, in Chapter 3 similar relationships are shown in a population of patients in the post-acute rehabilitation phase after stroke, indicating that the results can be applied to patients both in the post-acute and chronic phase after stroke.
Outcome measures

Different outcome measures are used in this thesis. For the measurement of coping strategy the AACS\textsuperscript{11} and the CISS\textsuperscript{12} are used. In Chapter 2, we used the AACS to measure coping strategy while we used the CISS in Chapter 3 and 4. The AACS was already assessed in the first study at the moment we decided to use the CISS for the second study. The reason we chose for the CISS questionnaire was the specific domain structure, which is more in line with the PST intervention compared to the AACS. As a result, we cannot compare the results regarding coping in these two cross-sectional studies directly.

For measuring HR-QoL, the World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF),\textsuperscript{31} SS-QoL-12,\textsuperscript{21} EQ-5D-5L,\textsuperscript{19} and the SF-36\textsuperscript{18} are used in this thesis. In the study in patients with chronic stroke the WHOQOL-BREF was used. When choosing the measures for the study in patients in outpatient rehabilitation, we wanted to include both a disease-specific and generic HR-QoL questionnaire that also could be used for cost-effectiveness analysis. Since we had to be selective when composing the total test battery because of time limitations, we chose for the short questionnaires SS-QoL-12 and EQ-5D-5L. The meta-analysis described in Chapter 6 was set up to study multiple HR-QoL questionnaires. However, the SF-36 was exclusively used in the majority of studies, which was the reason why we excluded studies using other questionnaires. It would have been interesting using the SF-36 in our RCT, in order to compare the effects of the PST study in this thesis with the stroke rehabilitation interventions studied in the meta-analysis in Chapter 6. This comparison can be estimated using the Cohen’s d effect sizes (ES).\textsuperscript{32} The effect of PST on generic HR-QoL in this thesis shows a significant ES of 0.3. The controlled effects of rehabilitation interventions on the mental and physical component scores of the SF-36 in the meta-analysis are 0.2 and 0.1 respectively. The effects observed in the meta-analysis seem smaller compared to the effect found in our thesis, but the results cannot be compared directly since the EQ-5D does not distinguish between mental and physical components of HR-QoL. Furthermore, most control groups in the meta-analysis consisted of active interventions which might result in smaller differences in effects between the groups.

We chose for short versions of the SPSI-R:SF\textsuperscript{15} and the SS-QoL-12,\textsuperscript{21} which appear not to be sensitive enough to detect small changes, described in Chapter 5. However, in Chapter 3 relations between subscales of the SPSI-R:SF and the SS-QoL-12 with HR-QoL are found. The short versions of the questionnaires have been validated\textsuperscript{14,33} and distinguish between subscales in our cross-sectional study. However, these questionnaires may not be able to detect changes over time. Probably the longer
versions would have been more sensitive, but the test battery needed a strict selection because of time limitations.

Depression is an important variable regarding HR-QoL after stroke. In this thesis, we did not find an effect of PST on depression. Earlier PST studies in patients with stroke showed beneficial effects of PST on depression. Robinson et al. (2008) showed that PST resulted in a lower incidence of depression up to 12 months follow-up, which disappeared using more conservative analysis. Mitchell et al. (2009) showed a reduction in depressive symptoms up to 12 months. The different results of PST on depression might be due to differences in design, diagnosis, type of PST, type of analysis, or type of control group. The studies reporting PST on post-stroke depression described above differed in the selection criteria for the study population and used the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression as outcome measure, which might explain the results.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE**

PST applied during post-acute outpatient stroke rehabilitation improves coping skills and enhances the recovery of HR-QoL. We aimed to prevent the drop in HR-QoL which is often observed after discharge from stroke rehabilitation; PST succeeded in optimizing HR-QoL recovery after discharge. PST helps patients to cope with problems in daily life, which may result in a reduction of health-care consumption and costs. Therefore, we argue to implement the intervention in clinical practice. The addition of PST in outpatient stroke rehabilitation might replace follow-up treatment after discharge.

When implementing the therapy, the open group design might not always be feasible since a sufficient and continuous number of patients entering the group is needed. In a clinical setting more patients will be available for the group therapy than in a research setting, in which half of the patients are randomized to standard treatment only. In our study, incidentally we allowed patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) to enter the group if not enough participants with stroke were available. We did not ask these patients to fill in the questionnaires, but observation showed that these patients do experience problems comparable to patients with stroke and may benefit from the intervention. This is supported by the study of Backhaus et al. (2010), describing the effectiveness of an intervention aimed at improving coping skills in a population of patients with brain injury. Allowing patients with TBI to join the group might be a solution if implementation of the open group design is hindered by low numbers of eligible stroke patients.
FUTURE PERSPECTIVES
The RCT was designed to study the effectiveness of the PST intervention and to study its cost-effectiveness in the setting of outpatient stroke rehabilitation. This is a relevant question, since more than 3% of the total health-care costs are related to the treatment of stroke and its consequences. Economic evaluations are relevant when considering the reimbursement and implementation of new therapies for patients with stroke. The evaluation should be ideally based on a societal perspective, taking into account all relevant costs (direct and indirect costs). Although PST has been evaluated regarding the cost-effectiveness of the intervention in other patient populations,\textsuperscript{36,37} it has not been assessed in the population of patients with stroke. Therefore, we have planned to assess the cost-effectiveness of additional PST compared with standard outpatient stroke rehabilitation as the next step. We collected data regarding costs of health-care and productivity losses, which can be compared to the gain in HR-QoL described in this thesis. This gain is small but significant. PST enhances the recovery of HR-QoL, which may have resulted in improved social participation and decreased health-care use. The costs of the intervention are relatively low since it is provided as a group intervention. Therefore, we expect the intervention to be cost-effective. We will investigate this in detail in the near future.

GENERAL CONCLUSION
This thesis confirmed the importance of coping strategy for HR-QoL during stroke rehabilitation. Coping strategy and depression are independently related to HR-QoL in patients in both the chronic and rehabilitation phase after stroke. Moreover, problem solving skills appear to have additional value for maintaining better HR-QoL. We have adapted the PST intervention for patients receiving outpatient stroke rehabilitation treatment, and showed that PST improves task-oriented coping and enhances general HR-QoL recovery. In addition, PST seems to improve avoidant coping, indicating an increased flexibility in using different coping strategies. This intervention is a promising addition for outpatient stroke rehabilitation. The meta-analysis studying the effects of various stroke rehabilitation interventions confirms that rehabilitation interventions can improve HR-QoL, mostly regarding the mental component score. Stroke rehabilitation treatment should aim for improving HR-QoL. This thesis shows that this can be achieved using the relatively low cost intervention Problem Solving Therapy.
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Summary
In the introductory Chapter 1 the impact of stroke is discussed, focusing on the psychosocial variables coping strategy, problem solving skills, depression and health-related quality of life (HR-QoL). Problem Solving Therapy (PST) is introduced and the aims of this thesis are mentioned. These are [1] to study relations between coping strategy, problem solving skills, depression and HR-QoL after stroke and [2] to evaluate the effects of PST on coping and HR-QoL for patients with stroke. Finally, we wanted [3] to evaluate the effect of other stroke rehabilitation interventions on HR-QoL.

The first aim of this thesis is covered in Chapters 2 and 3. In Chapter 2 the relative association of coping strategy and depression with HR-QoL in patients in the chronic phase after stroke is investigated. This cross-sectional study included 213 patients >18 months after stroke. Coping strategy was measured using the Assimilative Accommodative Coping Scale, depression using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), and HR-QoL using the World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF. The results showed that depression was independently related to all domains of HR-QoL (psychological health, physical health, social relationships, and environment). Accommodative coping and assimilative coping were independently related to the domain psychological health, adjusted for depression and education level. The results indicate that patients who prefer an accommodative coping strategy may show less symptoms of depression. In future studies, preferable coping strategies may be trained in order to improve both depression score and HR-QoL.

In Chapter 3 the relationship between coping, depression and HR-QoL is studied in the rehabilitation phase after stroke. This cross-sectional study aimed to investigate whether patients with high and low depression scores after stroke use different coping strategies and problem solving skills, and whether these variables are related to psychosocial HR-QoL, independent of depression. Coping strategy was measured using the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS), problem-solving skills using the Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R:SF), depression using the CES-D, and HR-QoL using the EuroQol-EQ-5D and the Stroke-Specific Quality of Life Scale (SS-QoL-12). A total of 166 patients participating in outpatient stroke rehabilitation were included. The results showed that patients with high depression scores used less positive problem orientation and emotion-oriented coping and more negative problem orientation and avoidance style compared with patients with low depression scores. Depression score was related to all domains of both general HR-QoL and stroke-specific HR-QoL. Positive problem orientation was
independently related to psychosocial and total HR-QoL. The results in Chapter 3 suggest that training patients in effective problem solving skills while participating in outpatient rehabilitation programs after stroke could be beneficial in improving both HR-QoL and symptoms of depression.

The second aim of this thesis is covered in Chapters 4 and 5. We designed a randomized controlled trial (RCT) to study the effect of Problem Solving Therapy on coping strategy and HR-QoL during outpatient stroke rehabilitation. In Chapter 4 the study protocol of this pragmatic RCT is presented. The study primarily aims to investigate whether PST is an effective group intervention for improving coping strategy and HR-QoL in patients with stroke. The secondary aim is to determine the effect of PST on depression, social participation and health-care consumption, and to determine the cost-effectiveness of the intervention. The methods and design of the study are described in detail, as well as the rationale and content of the intervention. The intervention group receives PST as add-on to standard outpatient rehabilitation, the control group receives outpatient rehabilitation only. The PST intervention consists of eight group sessions of 1.5 hours a week, with homework exercises after each session. The group sessions include three to six participants, and is provided by a trained neuropsychologist. The problem solving process is structured in four steps: [1] define problem and goal; [2] generate multiple solutions; [3] select a solution; and [4] implement and evaluate. Measurements, including both questionnaires and neuropsychological tests, are performed at baseline, directly after the intervention, and six and twelve months later.

The results of the RCT are described in Chapter 5. Coping strategy was measured using the CISS, problem-solving skills using the SPSI-R:SF, depression using the CES-D, and HR-QoL using the EQ-5D and the SS-QoL-12. Patients with stroke were approached at outpatient departments of Rijndam Rehabilitation Center in the Netherlands and Ghent University Hospital in Belgium. In total, 166 patients were included in the study. The results show that six months after the intervention, the PST group showed significant improvement when compared with the control group in task-oriented coping, but not stroke-specific psychosocial HR-QoL. Furthermore, avoidant coping and the utility value for general HR-QoL improved more in the PST group than in the control after six months. The results suggest that PST seems a useful intervention for patients with stroke, which may have additional value to standard outpatient rehabilitation. PST seems to improve task-oriented coping, and indications are found that PST may improve generic HR-QoL recovery and avoidant coping.

The third aim of this thesis is covered in Chapter 6. Since we were interested in
optimizing HR-QoL, which is the ultimate outcome measure in rehabilitation, we wondered whether other therapies were able to improve this outcome. We performed a systematic literature review and a meta-analysis to synthesize evidence from literature about the effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions after stroke and to evaluate which type of intervention is most effective in improving HR-QoL. A variety of rehabilitation interventions that were studied in RCT’s or pre-post designs was included. Primary outcome measures were the Mental Component Score (MCS) and Physical Component Score (PCS) of the Short Form-36. The literature search resulted in 581 articles. Finally, 32 articles were included. 1,728 patients were included in the pre-post designs and 1,259 in the RCTs. Both MCS and PCS significantly improved over the intervention period. In the RCT studies MCS significantly improved more than the control condition, but PCS did not. Little differences were found in the effects of the different types of intervention. The results suggest that rehabilitation interventions targeted to patients with stroke are effective in improving HR-QoL on the short-term, most prominently in the MCS. There is little evidence to distinguish which type of intervention is most effective.

In Chapter 7 the main findings of this thesis are discussed. The content of the PST intervention has been evaluated by the patients who participated in the PST group. The positive results of this subjective evaluation are presented and discussed. Finally, methodological considerations, implications for clinical practice and future perspectives are discussed. We conclude that coping strategy and depression are independently related to HR-QoL in patients in both the chronic and rehabilitation phase after stroke. In patients receiving outpatient stroke rehabilitation treatment, PST improves task-oriented coping and enhances general HR-QoL recovery. In addition, PST seems to improve avoidant coping. This intervention seems a promising addition for outpatient stroke rehabilitation. Rehabilitation interventions can improve HR-QoL, which should be aimed for in stroke rehabilitation treatment. This thesis shows that this can be achieved using the relatively low cost intervention Problem Solving Therapy.

De eerste doelstelling wordt in Hoofdstuk 2 en 3 van dit proefschrift beschreven. In Hoofdstuk 2 wordt de relatieve associatie van coping strategie en depressie met HR-QoL bij patiënten in de chronische fase na een CVA onderzocht. Deze cross-sectionele studie omvatte 213 patiënten >18 maanden na een CVA. Coping strategie werd gemeten met de ‘Assimilatieve Accommodatieve Coping Schaal’ (AACS), depressie met de ‘Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale’ (CES-D), en HR-QoL met de ‘World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF’. De resultaten lieten zien dat depressie onafhankelijk was gerelateerd aan alle domeinen van HR-QoL (psychische gezondheid, lichamelijke gezondheid, sociale relaties, en omgeving). Accommodatieve coping en assimilatieve coping waren onafhankelijk gerelateerd aan het domein psychische gezondheid, gecorrigeerd voor depressie en opleidingsniveau. De resultaten laten zien dat patiënten met een accommodatieve coping strategie mogelijk minder symptomen van depressie vertonen. Mogelijk kunnen coping strategieën worden getraind om zowel depressie score als HR-QoL te verbeteren.

In Hoofdstuk 3 wordt de relatie tussen coping, depressie en HR-QoL bestudeerd in de revalidatie fase na een CVA. Deze cross-sectionele studie had ten doel te onderzoeken of patiënten met hoge en lage depressie scores na een CVA verschillende coping strategieën en probleemoplossende vaardigheden gebruiken, en of deze variabelen gerelateerd zijn aan psychosociale HR-QoL, onafhankelijk van depressie. Coping strategie werd gemeten met de ‘Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations’ (CISS), probleemoplossende vaardigheden met de ‘Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised’ (SPSI-R:SF), depressie met de CES-D, en HR-QoL met EuroQol-EQ-5D en de ‘Stroke-Specific Quality of Life Scale’ (SS-QoL-12). In totaal werden 166 patiënten in poliklinische revalidatie na een CVA geïncludeerd in deze studie. De resultaten lieten zien dat patiënten met hoge depressie scores minder positieve probleemoriëntatie en emotiegerichte coping gebruiken en meer negatieve probleemoriëntatie en vermijdende stijl van probleemoplossen vergeleken met de patiënten met lage depressie scores. Depressie score was
gerelateerd aan alle domeinen van zowel generieke als CVA-specifieke HR-QoL. Positieve probleemoriëntatie was onafhankelijk gerelateerd aan psychosociale en totale HR-QoL. De resultaten in Hoofdstuk 3 suggereren dat het trainen van effectieve probleemoplossende vaardigheden in patiënten tijdens het poliklinische revalidatie programma na een CVA kan helpen bij het verbeteren van zowel HR-QoL als symptomen van depressie.


De resultaten van de RCT worden beschreven in Hoofdstuk 5. Coping strategie werd gemeten met de CISS, probleemoplossende vaardigheden met de SPSI-R:SF, depressie met de CES-D, en HR-QoL met de EQ-5D en de SS-QoL-12. CVA patiënten werden benaderd op poliklinische behandelafdelingen van Rijndam Revalidatiecentrum in Nederland en het Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent in België. In totaal werden 166 patiënten geïncludeerd in de studie. De resultaten laten zien dat de PST groep zes maanden na de interventie een significante verbetering toonde in vergelijking met de controlegroep in taakgerichte coping, maar niet in CVA-specifieke psychosociale HR-QoL. Daarnaast verbeterde vermijdende coping en de utiliteitscore voor generieke HR-QoL na zes maanden meer in de PST groep dan in de controlegroep. De resultaten suggereren dat PST een waardevolle interventie is voor CVA patiënten, die van aanvullende waarde kan zijn op de standaard poliklinische
De derde doelstelling van dit proefschrift wordt beschreven in Hoofdstuk 6. Omdat wij geïnteresseerd waren in het verbeteren van HR-QoL, de ultieme uitkomstmaat op het gebied van revalidatie, vroegen we ons af of andere therapieën deze uitkomstmaat konden verbeteren. We voerden een systematische literatuurstudie en een meta-analyse uit om bewijsmateriaal uit de literatuur over de effectiviteit van revalidatie interventies na CVA samen te voegen en om te evalueren welke type interventie het meest effectief is. Een variëteit aan revalidatie interventies, bestudeerd middels RCT’s of pre-post studies, werden geïncludeerd. Primaire uitkomstmaten waren de ‘Mental Component Score’ (MCS) en ‘Physical Component Score’ (PCS) van de Short Form-36. Het literatuuronderzoek resulteerde in 581 artikelen. Uiteindelijk werden 32 artikelen opgenomen in de meta-analyse. In de pre-post designs werden 1728 patiënten geïncludeerd, in de RCT’s 1259. Zowel MCS als PCS verbeterden significant gedurende de interventieperiode. In de RCT studies verbeterde MCS significant in vergelijking tot de controle conditie, maar PCS niet. Er werden weinig verschillen gevonden in de effecten van de verschillende soorten interventies. De resultaten suggereren dat revalidatie interventies gericht op patiënten met een CVA effectief zijn in het verbeteren van HR-QoL op de korte termijn, het meest opvallend in de MCS. Er is weinig bewijs om te onderscheiden welke type interventie het meest effectief is.

In Hoofdstuk 7 worden de belangrijkste bevindingen van dit proefschrift besproken. De inhoud van de PST interventie is geëvalueerd door de patiënten die deelnamen aan de PST groep. De resultaten van deze evaluatie worden gepresenteerd en bediscussieerd. Tot slot worden methodologische overwegingen, implicaties voor de klinische praktijk en toekomstperspectieven besproken. We concluderen dat coping strategie en depressie onafhankelijk zijn gerelateerd aan HR-QoL bij patiënten in zowel de chronische als de revalidatiefase na een CVA. Bij patiënten in poliklinische revalidatiebehandeling na een CVA verbetert PST taakgerichte coping en herstel van HR-QoL. Daarnaast lijkt PST vermijdende coping te verbeteren. Deze interventie lijkt een veelbelovende aanvulling op de poliklinische revalidatie na een CVA. Revalidatie interventies kunnen HR-QoL verbeteren, wat ook moet worden nagestreefd in een revalidatie behandeling na CVA. Dit proefschrift laat zien dat dit kan worden bereikt met behulp van de relatieve goedkope interventie Problem Solving Therapy.

revalidatie. PST lijkt taakgerichte coping te verbeteren en er zijn aanwijzingen dat PST het herstel van generieke HR-QoL en vermijdende coping kan verbeteren.
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