

## Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

---

Chapter Title	'Generationing' Development: An Introduction	
Copyright Year	2017	
Copyright Holder	The Author(s)	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Huijsmans</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Roy</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization/University	Editorial Board
	Address	Rotterdam, The Netherlands
	Email	r.b.huijsmans@gmail.com
Abstract	This introduction chapter sets out the overall framework informing the volume and surveys the relevant literature. It lays out a relational approach to studying children, youth and development with age and generation as key concepts. This chapter introduces and develops these central ideas, and their various interpretations, and links them to the contributing chapters.	

---

---

## ‘Generationing’ Development: An Introduction

Roy Huijsmans

### PRESENTING THE CASE

A growing body of research on childhood and youth in the context of development has brought lots, yet still too little. The ‘new sociology of childhood’ that gained shape in the 1990s transformed the field (Tisdall and Punch 2012). Its key premises, appreciating childhood as a social construct and children as social actors, countered the socialisation approaches and development psychology perspectives that long dominated knowledge production about children (Ansell 2009: 190). In this new wave of research, qualitative, participatory, ethnographic, and especially so-called child-centred methods were typically favoured over standardised questionnaires (Christensen and James 2000). This generated a wealth of knowledge about children in their current condition *as children*, privileging their own perspectives and experiences, and challenging any singular understanding of childhood leading some to speak about ‘multiple’ childhoods (Balagopalan 2014: 11–14).

The story about youth is different.<sup>1</sup> Their agency was never in question, albeit seldom studied in relation to young women, and mostly seen as a problem or a particularity. Unlike childhood studies, qualitative research

---

R. Huijsmans (✉)  
Editorial Board, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

23 is a respected tradition within youth studies (Willis 1981; Mead 2001  
24 [1928]). Research conducted in rich countries still dominates the field,  
25 with numerous articles and books on the various ‘crises’ attributed to  
26 (male) youth and particular sub-cultural formations. This epistemological  
27 frame has also influenced emerging youth research in the Global South  
28 (Amit-Talai and Wulff 1995; Honwana and De Boeck 2005). It is only  
29 in recent years that youth studies have started paying serious attention  
30 to more-or-less ordinary youth and the potential of studying their every-  
31 day lives for rethinking development (Jeffrey et al. 2008; Jeffrey 2010;  
32 Woronov 2016).

33 Nonetheless, a key motivation driving this book is that both child-  
34 hood and youth studies have informed debates in development studies  
35 only marginally. Or more precisely, it is particularly these more recent  
36 perspectives and approaches in the respective fields that have failed to  
37 impact development thinking despite their potential. For it must be rec-  
38 ognised that research coming out of economics, medical science, and  
39 development psychology, on children especially, has made more than  
40 just a dent in development thinking and practice. This is evident from  
41 the global uptake of conditional cash transfer programmes (see Palacio  
42 this volume) as well as renewed interest in early childhood programmes  
43 (Young, 2007). Driven by the interaction between neuroscience, devel-  
44 opment psychology, and neoclassical economics, such interventions are  
45 considered highly efficient approaches to simultaneously alleviating pov-  
46 erty and building human capital for facilitating economic growth. Such  
47 child research, thus, speaks directly to dominant global development  
48 agendas while also offering the robust ‘large-n’ causal analyses demanded  
49 by inter-governmental donors and national governments alike. However,  
50 this research treats childhood as a site of intervention ignoring children’s  
51 active engagement with and appropriation of programmes, important  
52 contextual variations in how interventions play out, the constantly evol-  
53 ving relational and generational fabric within which children and young  
54 people live their lives and in which programmes intervene, as well as the  
55 temporal dimension that would show that at least some children may  
56 ‘do well’ later in life despite initial hardships and deprivations (Boyden  
57 et al. 2015).

58 At its core, development studies and practice have remained adult-  
59 centric. This influences the questions that drive most research about  
60 young people within the field. This adult-centrism is seldom, however,

sufficiently marked, and it can be easily missed because of the vast volume of (evaluation) research on the incorporation of children into development interventions, frequent rhetorical references to 'young people as the future', and sub-debates on the fringes of the discipline about specific 'child', 'adolescent' or 'youth'-related themes such as 'child poverty', 'adolescent sexuality', and 'youth employment'. Children may thus have 'become prominent "clients" of international development discourse and intervention' (Boyden and Zharkevich [forthcoming](#)), and the idea of the 'youth bulge' continues to ignite debates on youth as either a danger or potential for development (e.g. World Bank [2006](#)). Yet, the conceptual and theoretical innovations that have come out of the qualitative research on childhood and youth have hardly impacted the terms of thinking about development.

Perhaps, this state of affairs is partly the prize of success. Much of the qualitative research in childhood and youth studies, including work on young people in the context of development, is published in the specialised childhood and youth studies journals and book series launched in recent decades (Tisdall and Punch [2012](#): 252). In contrast to the early days (e.g. Goddard and White [1982](#); Nieuwenhuys [1994](#)), only a fraction of this work appears in, or seems to inform in any substantial way, debates in development studies circles (Huijsmans et al. [2014](#): fn1). Others have pointed at the failure of much childhood and youth research to employ a political economy perspective (see Mills this volume), and thereby speak more directly to larger global processes (Hart [2008](#); Côté [2014](#); Woronov [2016](#)).

This volume is a modest contribution to bridging gaps in order to facilitate conceptual dialogue between these strands of research. That is, between childhood and youth studies, and between those two fields and development studies (other major works include *Young Lives*; Katz [2004](#); Ansell [2005](#); Jeffrey et al. [2008](#); Wells [2009](#)). To this end, the volume brings together a total of 14 chapters. The three parts of the book, 'theorising age and generation in young lives', 'everyday relationalities: school, work and belonging', and 'negotiating development' consist of four chapters each and are complemented with an introductory chapter setting out the conceptual and theoretical parameters and a commentary by Nicola Ansell that closes the volume.

Analytically, the volume coheres around a relational approach. Relational thinking can take many forms, but in essence it is about tying

99 together different things, actors, dimensions, dynamics, or forces. It  
100 emphasises relationships, networks, friction, interaction, negotiation, the  
101 everyday and power. At an ontological level, relational thinking, thus,  
102 seeks to overcome static agency–structure binaries (Worth 2014). At a  
103 minimum, the relational exercise presented in this volume is about bring-  
104 ing into critical conversation some of the conceptual and theoretical con-  
105 tributions of childhood and youth studies with debates and perspectives in  
106 development studies. In addition, the chapters in this volume also retain  
107 the important relational exercise of investigating the interactions between  
108 constructs of childhood and youth and the lived experience of being young  
109 (see for example Alma Gottlieb's (2004) work on the interplay between  
110 the understanding of the personhood attributed to babies and practices of  
111 child rearing). However, the specific contribution of the volume lies in its  
112 attempt to capture the twofold dynamic of how development, in its vari-  
113 ous conceptualisations, restructures generational social landscapes, and  
114 also how young people themselves, as constrained agents of development,  
115 renegotiate their role and position vis-à-vis others and in particular places  
116 and spaces of development.

117 The next section sets out an analytical frame underpinning the rela-  
118 tional approach informing this book. This framework is given specific  
119 childhood and youth studies content by mobilising age and generation, in  
120 their various interpretations, as key concepts. Next, the general approach  
121 to development is sketched followed by an outline of the organisation of  
122 the book and a brief introduction to the contributing chapters.

## 123 RELATIONAL THINKING

124 In recent years, there has been somewhat of a revival of relational  
125 approaches. This is evident not only in work on 'space' (Jones 2009), 'the  
126 state' (Thelen et al. 2014), 'poverty' (Mosse 2010) but also in research  
127 with young people (e.g. Punch 2002b; Kraftl 2013; Worth 2014). Thelen  
128 et al. (2014: 2) posit that by making relations the entry point of analy-  
129 sis, we gain new insights into how things work. The rationale for fore-  
130 grounding generational relations is, thus, to gain a deeper understanding  
131 of how development, in its diverse conceptualisations, works in a gener-  
132 ational manner—and especially, though not exclusively, how this pertains  
133 to young people.

134 Thelen et al.'s (2014) relational anthropology of studying the state  
135 is driven by a problematique that maps well onto childhood and youth

studies because of its concern with the interplay between 'formations', 'representations', and 'practices'. In the context of childhood and youth studies, formations can be operationalised as 'generational structures', representations can be taken to refer to 'discourses about young people', and practices can direct attention to the 'lived experiences of being young'. Thelen et al. (2014: 2) propose studying these interconnected dimensions with an analytical framework comprising of three axes: 'relational modalities, boundary work, and the embeddedness of actors'.

The idea of modalities captures the different ontologies in which notions of childhood and youth exist and the various understandings of age that come with it. We could, thus, speak of 'generational modalities'. In Sara Vida Coumans chapter, different modalities are clearly illustrated through policies seeking to regulate sex work. These policies are articulated in terms of chronological age and legitimised on the basis of neuroscience. However, there is also the modality of the embodied dimension of age that shapes sex work as practice. Similarly, in Lidewyde Berckmoes and Ben White's contribution, young people hopefully articulate the rights and obligations associated with kinship descent. According to this generational modality, parents support their children in setting up an adult life. However, under conditions in which such support is lacking young women and young men must mobilise their youthfulness in other ways in order to 'find a life', amongst other things, by resorting to cross-border labour migration, 'illegal marriages', and for some urban youth also by becoming involved in 'political participation', the latter giving rise to discourses about the destructive and disruptive potential of idle male youth (see also Izzi 2013). Berckmoes and White's chapter shows that these different modalities are neither mutually exclusive nor discrete and especially in times of rapid change, crises or transformation people draw on various modalities, at times simultaneously, in their efforts to get by (see also Vigh 2006).

The concept of boundary work draws attention to the fuzzy and fluid boundaries of age-based categories, and the constant work that gives these artificial boundaries the gloss of fixity and puts them beyond question. It is precisely these boundaries that are poorly covered in childhood and youth studies. The work of Sally McNamee and Julie Seymour (2013) suggests that research on age-based categories gravitates to the centre. On the basis of a review of 320 articles published between 1993 and 2010 in three leading childhood journals, they conclude that the scholarly attention is

175 unevenly distributed across the age range the journals claim to cover: the  
176 articles most commonly report about 10–12 year olds, there is very little  
177 coverage of the ‘under 5s’ and also relatively little attention to young peo-  
178 ple aged 17 years and older. If, however, the objective is to understand  
179 how age-based categories affect the lived experiences of being young, it  
180 is of methodological importance to work across age boundaries. This is  
181 of particular importance in relation to phenomena such as migration and  
182 sex work that typically straddle (inter)national boundaries between age of  
183 minority and majority (O’Connell Davidson 2005; Huijsmans 2015a: 18).

184 The relevance of boundary work for understanding how develop-  
185 ment policies intervening in generational landscapes play out is vividly  
186 illustrated by Mariá Gabriela Palacio in her discussion on teenage moth-  
187 ers (Chap. 11 this volume). Attaining motherhood prior to turning 18  
188 frictions with the social logic underpinning the conditional cash transfer  
189 scheme. Social workers are aware that these young mothers are often the  
190 most needy, yet conservative Catholicism holds them back from bend-  
191 ing the boundaries of the programme out of fear of creating a perverse  
192 incentive. Similarly, young mothers do boundary work by opting for  
193 practicing motherhood in the margins of their lives as a consequence of  
194 choosing employment rather than claiming financial support even once  
195 they have reached the age at which they have become admissible to the  
196 programme. Attention to boundary work is also demonstrated in the  
197 chapters by Wedadu Sayibu and Degwale Belay, respectively. Their work  
198 does not only investigate why children enter begging arrangements and  
199 street-based work, a question that is commonly addressed in childhood  
200 studies, but it also unravels why young people cease to be involved in or  
201 aim to exit this work some years later.

202 The third axis of analysis in Thelen et al’s scheme (2014) is the embed-  
203 dedness of actors. As Karuna Morarji’s contribution illustrates, even  
204 within one locality various actors are situated in very different webs of  
205 social relations. The teachers who work in the remote mountainous areas  
206 of Northern India position themselves firmly as agents of the modernising  
207 project of mass education. This allows them to mobilise starkly different  
208 relational modalities than the rural students and their parents. Through  
209 mass schooling and (rural-to-urban) migration, young rural folk become  
210 embedded in relational fields other than the place-based community and  
211 kinship relations they have been raised into. Young people experience first-  
212 hand the conflicts and contradictions between the different ways they are  
213 embedded in these respective social fields. They respond to this with a

search for 'balance'. The precise shape such balancing takes would depend 214  
 however on the extent to which they are able to mobilise some of the 215  
 social relations they are embedded in. Here, Morarji's chapter underscores 216  
 the importance of viewing the embeddedness of actors not only through a 217  
 generational lens but also through a gender lens. She shows that modern 218  
 schooling is perceived to have a very different effect on, and offering possi- 219  
 bilities for, young men as opposed to their female peers. Although the 220  
 importance of the intersection between gender and generation transpires 221  
 from virtually all contributions, this is perhaps most powerfully illustrated 222  
 by Sharada Srinivasan on the basis of her research in a southern Indian 223  
 study context characterised by 'daughter aversion'. She demonstrates that 224  
 in their 'bargaining with patriarchy' young women have little other option 225  
 than to mobilise another set of exploitative relations: the capitalist forces 226  
 underpinning the Sumangali scheme. Submitting to capital to exploit their 227  
 youthful, feminine labour power allows them to delay marriage, attain 228  
 additional schooling, and save for a dowry (compare with Mills 1999; 229  
 Utrata 2011). 230

BEYOND CATEGORISATION: AGE AND GENERATION 231  
 AS RELATIONAL CONCEPTS 232

Relationality in research with children and young people is first and fore- 233  
 most a critique of the categorisation fashion that has come to character- 234  
 ise a good part of both the childhood and youth studies literature. Peter 235  
 Hopkins and Rachel Pain (2007: 288) even write about a 'politics of 236  
 fetishizing the social-chronological margins'. With this, they take issue 237  
 with the isolationist fashion in which research on children and youth and 238  
 to a lesser extent older people has developed into vibrant sub-fields, while 239  
 the adult centre has been left unmarked (see also Vanderbeck and Worth 240  
 2015: 3). 241

Responding to Hopkins and Pains' critique comes with methodologi- 242  
 cal implications. Childhood and youth researchers have long argued for 243  
 child and youth friendly, or -centred methods (Punch 2002a; Alderson 244  
 and Morrow 2004). The chapters in this volume, however, are rather 245  
 characterised by research that *decentres* adults. Adults, in their various 246  
 capacities like teachers, parents, employers, social workers, researchers, are 247  
 deliberately included in the research, while simultaneously creating the 248  
 space for children and young people's own experiences and perspectives 249  
 on matters (see also Hoang and Yeoh 2015; Punch 2016: 188). Where 250



251 possible, research was conducted in so-called ‘natural settings’ in order to  
252 capture how generational relations work in practice, be it on the streets  
253 of Addis Ababa, secondary schools in Northern Vietnam, health clinics in  
254 Ecuador, or a Sufi centre in Kall, Germany.

255 Hopkins and Pain note further that despite much awareness of the con-  
256 structed nature of categorisations on the basis of age and the variations  
257 in which people experience age, ‘age has been given a fixity’ (ibid 2007:  
258 288). Research that uncritically embraces such age-based categories risks  
259 missing sight of how:

260 ...identities of children and others are produced *through* interactions with  
261 other age/generational groups and are in a constant state of flux. Therefore,  
262 children and childhood interact with others in family and community set-  
263 tings and so are *more than* children alone; studying them in context adds  
264 new layers to our understanding. (Hopkins and Pain 2007: 289, original  
265 emphasis)<sup>2</sup>

266 Hopkins and Pains’ call for ‘more relational geographies of age’, how-  
267 ever, does not fully delineate how notions of generation and age are  
268 understood precisely (Hopkins and Pain 2007: 291). In fact, the afore-  
269 mentioned quote leaves unclear whether or the extent to which age and  
270 generation are conceptually distinct. Since both terms are widely used in  
271 everyday parlance and subject to many interpretations, I present a brief  
272 overview.

### 273 *Understanding Age*

274 Despite the centrality of the idea of age in childhood and youth studies,  
275 and in development practice too, it is seldom subject to much concep-  
276 tual scrutiny (Laz 1998: 85; Thorne 2004: 404). Cheryl Laz (1998: 86)  
277 argues that age ‘involves much more than the number of years since one’s  
278 birth’ leading her to argue that ‘age is not natural or fixed’.

### 279 *Chronological Age*

280 When the term ‘age’ is used, this often refers to its chronological con-  
281 ceptualisation; the figure identifying the number of (Gregorian) calendar  
282 years that have passed since birth. Taking issue with an understanding of  
283 age as ‘a chronological fact and as something every individual simply *is*’,  
284 Laz (1998: 85, original emphasis) calls for a sociology of age:

...in which we theorize and study empirically how age as a concept and institution is created, maintained, challenged, and transformed; how assumptions and beliefs about age in general and about particular age categories inform and are reinforced by social statuses, norms, roles, institutions, and social structures; and how age patterns individual lives and experiences even as individuals accomplish age. (Laz 1998: 90)

Some questions such a framework raises would include: how one particular conceptualisation of age (chronological age) has become hegemonic, what it mutes, and how it matters? The work of Philippe Ariès (1962) and James Scott (1998) shed light on this from a history of childhood and development studies' perspective, respectively (see also Grieg 1994: 32). Their work confirms Laz's assertion (1998: 92, original emphasis) that 'chronological age is *made* important in particular social and historical contexts'.

In Scott's terms, chronological age can be seen as a form of state simplification; characteristic of modernising states' efforts to make legible its population. State simplifications allow for 'discriminating interventions' (Scott 1998: 3) which include (and exclude) segments of the population on the basis of the unidimensional measure of chronological age. Key examples include mass schooling (Horton this volume), mass organisations for the young (Valentin 2007; Semedi 2016), minimum age regulations (Melchiorre 2004; Bourdillon et al. 2009), and the very idea of a separate set of rights specifically for children (Van Bueren 1995; Hanson and Nieuwenhuys 2013; Twum-Danso Imoh and Ansell 2013). In other instances, 'discriminating interventions' employ age in combination with additional characteristics such as sex, in case of boy scouts and girl guides (Proctor 2009) income in the case of conditional cash transfer programmes (Palacio this volume), social class (as proposed by Mills, this volume), or migrant status (Sadjad, this volume Hopkins and Hill 2010). These examples illustrate that age, in its chronological conceptualisation, is evidently *made* important not only by the state but also through work of non-governmental and inter-governmental organisation—amongst other things through development related interventions. However, Scott (1998: 8) cautions that 'global capitalism is perhaps the most powerful force for homogenization'. Indeed, where states and their non-governmental partners have failed or do not fully succeed in making young people identify with their date of birth, it is increasingly the digital capitalism of companies like *Facebook*,<sup>3</sup> and mobile services providers that succeed in doing

323 so (Huijsmans 2015b), while simultaneously providing chronological age  
324 with new meanings, possibilities (Boellstorff 2008: 122; Alexander 2014),  
325 and risks (Kierkegaard 2008).

326 *Relative Age and Social Age*

327 Although chronological age has by no means displaced alternative con-  
328 ceptualisations of age, creating the conceptual space for understanding  
329 age otherwise requires some efforts—especially in environments where  
330 chronological age dominates such as in the classroom and policy arenas  
331 (see Horton, this volume; Clark-Kazak, this volume). In my teaching with  
332 mostly mature MA-level students from diverse professional, geographical  
333 and cultural backgrounds I have, to this end, been using a short exercise.  
334 In pairs, students ask each other about:

- 335 1. their (chronological) age;
- 336 2. the day of the week they were born;
- 337 3. the time of the day they were born;
- 338 4. whether they can identify fellow students that are older/younger  
339 than them; and
- 340 5. whether they consider themselves youth, adults, etc.

341 Next, students explain to one another why they were struggling with  
342 some answers and had no problems with others (which usually is the case).

343 Should there be any Korean students or other East Asian students who  
344 are aware of traditional age systems, the first question already challenges  
345 the singularity of chronological age.<sup>4</sup> The second and third question shed  
346 light on what in some places are very important biographical data (e.g.  
347 used in name-giving or in astrology to calculate auspicious dates or iden-  
348 tify suitable marriage partners) yet virtually unknown by lots of people in  
349 other contexts. The fourth question turns the spotlight onto ‘relative age’  
350 (Huijsmans 2014a). In many parts of the world, it is in everyday interac-  
351 tions often more important to be aware of differences in relative age (i.e.  
352 whether one is older or younger) than the precise chronological age. This  
353 is evident from the use of different personal pronouns depending on rela-  
354 tive positions in relations of seniority between individuals (Enfield 2007;  
355 Szymańska-Matusiewicz 2014).<sup>5</sup> The fifth question refers to the concept  
356 of ‘social age’. Christina Clark-Kazak (2009: 1310) has defined social age  
357 as ‘the socially constructed meanings applied to physical development and  
358 roles attributed to infants, children, young people, adults and elders, as

well as their intra- and inter-generational relationships' (for a concrete application see Huijsmans 2010: Chap. 5). Although (inter)national definitions of childhood and youth define these life phases firmly in chronological terms (Herrera 2006), in everyday contexts however social age has a strong performative dimension and is always contextual, embodied, and gendered (Laz 1998; Huijsmans and Baker 2012: 935; Huijsmans 2013).<sup>6</sup>

*Interpreting Generation*

Unlike the concept of age, generation in its various interpretations *has* received its share of attention in the relevant recent literature (e.g. Koning 1997; Alanen 2001; Edmunds and Turner 2005; Cole and Durham 2007; Herrera and Bayat 2010; Jeffrey 2010; Naafs and White 2012; Punch 2016). Although age and generation are sometimes used interchangeably, they are conceptually distinct even though some interpretations of generation presuppose a concept of age. The preceding discussion has illustrated that age is foremost a principle of social differentiation (La Fontaine 1978). In its various conceptualisations, age is helpful in understanding some of the relations of power shaping everyday interactions between individuals. In addition, at a macro-level age is a key variable employed in policies and social analysis. Generation, on the other hand, is useful for understanding how societies are structured on the basis of age-based groupings and how this may relate to larger processes of change and continuity (Thorne 2004: 404).

*Kinship Descent*

In the kinship descent interpretation of generation, generation refers mostly to parent-child relations. This interpretation of generation has proven useful for conceptualising the generational dimension of intra-household relations (Xu 2015). For example, it can be recognised in Samantha Punch's work (2002b, 2015) on the idea of 'negotiated and constrained interdependencies'; a critique to conventional youth transition models which view young people as moving in a fairly unconstrained and linear manner from a condition of dependence to one of independence. The idea of generation as kinship descent also transpires through the notion of the 'inter-generational contract' (Hoddinott 1992; Kabeer 2000; Whitehead et al. 2007; Evans 2015).

The idea of interdependence and the inter-generational contract may be understood in relation to the nuclear family residing in the same

395 locality. Yet, it can also be employed in the context of differently com-  
396 posed and dispersed family formations (e.g. Sayibu, this volume Carsten  
397 2004; Mazzucato and Schans 2011) and possibly to fictive kinship forma-  
398 tions entirely outside of any conventional understanding of the household  
399 as Sarada Balagopalan's (2014: 142–145) work on street children suggests  
400 (see also Belay, this volume Heinonen 2013). In modalities of kinship  
401 descent the generational positions, such as child and parent, are perma-  
402 nent locations regardless of people's chronological age. However, as both  
403 children and their parents age their rights and obligations to one another  
404 shift within the loose frame of the inter-generational contract (Huijmsmans  
405 2010: 129–130). It is at this point that we see how generation in its inter-  
406 pretation of kinship descent overlaps with generation as a life phase situ-  
407 ated in a generational order. It is further important to note that the loose  
408 set of generational relations comprising the intergenerational contract and  
409 negotiated interdependence is always gendered and subject to reinterpret-  
410 ation as circumstances change—something which is especially evident in  
411 the context of migration (Mazzucato et al. 2006; Punch 2007; Huijmsmans  
412 2013, 2014a; Hoang and Yeoh 2015; Punch 2015).

#### 413 *Life Phase*

414 In contrast to generation as kinship descent, generation as a life phase  
415 directs the analytical gaze towards the interplay between institutional  
416 dimensions and individual biographies.<sup>7</sup> Närvänen and Näsman (2004:  
417 84) explain that 'age-related life phases, such as childhood, come into  
418 being through complex processes and are institutionalized but can also  
419 change over time'. Although the phrase institutionalisation often refers  
420 to forces of the state Anoop Nayak and Mary Jane Kehily (2013: 12)  
421 note that 'contemporary Western childhood cannot be read outside of  
422 market forces but is constituted in and through relations of capital'. Poor  
423 people in poor countries have long been recognised as an important con-  
424 sumer base and recently been 'rediscovered' in terms of 'the bottom of  
425 the pyramid' (Kolk et al. 2013). Nayak and Kehily's claim, thus, is unlikely  
426 to be limited to the West (for an early hint into this direction see White  
427 1996: 830). However, the little research that critically investigates the role  
428 of consumption and the market in the constitution of young lives tends  
429 to be limited to the life phase of youth (e.g. Lukose 2005; Beazley and  
430 Chakraborty 2008).

431 Närvänen and Näsman (2004: 85) stress that life phases are relational  
432 because they define each other within 'the framework of their relative

positions in the life course as a whole'. Such a life course perspective sits uncomfortably in both childhood and youth studies because it is seen as inviting a reductionist view on children and youth as 'becomings'. Yet, especially in relation to development, a hesitant engagement with the becoming part of being young must be reconsidered. It delimits the analytical scope as it means losing sight of how the temporal dimension of development interacts with the embodied and gendered experience of being young and growing up (Cole and Durham 2008).

The interplay between these different rhythms of continuity and change is not only of analytical interest, but also of political relevance. For the adult population, young people constitute a means to access, and also a site to influence the future (Smith 2013). This is partly because the young are seen as more malleable. Making them a key target for projects seeking to bring about politico-economic and socio-cultural change (Evans 1998: 159; Christie 2015: 260–1). The interplay between brain research and human capital theory has added scientific clout to this long-standing popular idea and, more importantly, given rise to an understanding of childhood as the life phase with the highest returns to investment (Young 2007). Next, the interplay between the temporality of development and human maturation also transpires from interventions and practicing seeking to safeguard continuity. It is through young people that one may attempt to secure particular pasts and presents in the future (Lall and Vickers 2009; Huijsmans 2011; Sinha-Kerkhoff 2011).

Attending to futurity neither means viewing children or youth as 'blank slates' onto which any future can be written, even if adults represent children as such (see Moraji, this volume), nor going back to visions about children and young people as incomplete and adults-in-making. Indeed, it is fully compatible with viewing young people as social actors because 'looking forward to what a child "becomes" is arguably an important part of "being" a child' (Uprichard 2008: 306). Several of the chapters included in this volume illustrate this argument (Berckmoes & White; Hart; Morarji; Palacio; Srinivasan). For example, Palacio's chapter shows that the way in which the human capital theory and development psychology underpinning conditional cash transfer programmes is mapped onto the life course renders childhood a site of investment. She, then, proceeds to investigate how this particular definition of the life phase of childhood affects how children think of themselves in relation to the future. This brings out important gender differences. The idea of succeeding in the labour market gels well with masculine ideas of adulthood while it is

472 perceived as out of sync with the gendered opportunity structures girls  
473 growing up in poverty have become aware of.

474 Generation in its interpretation of life phase is also associated with the  
475 idea of transitions (i.e. school-to-work transitions). Transition thinking  
476 has been especially influential in questions concerning youth in devel-  
477 opment (Camfield 2011). This is illustrated by the World Bank's 2007  
478 World Development Report framed around the idea of 'youth transitions'  
479 (World Bank 2006) and the International Labour Office's school-to-  
480 work transition survey (e.g. Elder 2014). The linearity and directionality  
481 of many youth transitions models has been a subject to substantial  
482 critique. Scholars like Johanna Wyn and Rob White (1997: 97–8), for  
483 example, argue the importance of adding a 'vertical perspective' to the  
484 idea of youth transition in order to capture generational continuities in  
485 terms of class, ethnicity, religion and gender (see also Mills, this volume).  
486 They further note that the metaphor of 'transitions' suggests a landscape  
487 of 'pathways' leading to a certain destination (adulthood). Even if these  
488 institutional 'pathways' are well trodden by many, they are often invisible  
489 or inaccessible to others (ibid1997: 99; Punch 2015). A more relational  
490 and non-teleological understanding of how young people develop their  
491 lives is found in the idea of 'vital conjunctures' (Johnson-Hanks 2002),  
492 emphasising the importance of understanding key life course events as  
493 indeterminant and multi-directional. Similarly, the concept of 'social navi-  
494 gation' (Vigh 2009) sheds light on how in conditions of extreme volatil-  
495 ity people make decisions in relation to potential possibilities and risks  
496 (instead of established 'pathways'). These conceptual contributions are all  
497 critical of the linearity and determinacy of conventional transition models  
498 without, however, throwing out the idea of life phases and the life course.

499 When life phases are attributed particular properties and set within the  
500 inflexible frame of chronological age this produces something that we may  
501 call 'age-normativity: certain rights, responsibilities, and places, as well as  
502 the evaluation of the appropriateness of particular activities carried out by  
503 young people, become normatively (dis)associated to life phases demar-  
504 cated by the universal measure of chronological age' (Huijsmans 2015a:  
505 10). Development policies often breathe age-normativity (i.e. World Bank  
506 2006). This is not necessarily bad news. In fact, it has led to an increase  
507 in social services targeted at specific age-based populations (the young,  
508 but also the old) such as the *Education for All* campaign (Gerber and  
509 Huijsmans 2016) and programmes aimed at protecting the development  
510 and survival of the very young (Myers and Bourdillon 2012: 438). At the

same time though, age-normativity pathologises young lives that do not conform with such globalised middle-class ideas of age-appropriate behaviour, often with adverse effects as critical research on children's work, young people's migration and teenage motherhood has shown (Liebel 2004; Wilson and Huntington 2006; Howard 2014; Maconachie and Hilson 2016). Importantly, the voices of young people living their lives in contradiction to normative ideas about childhood and youth remain mostly excluded from policy making and programmatic interventions, not in the least because institutional contexts and other dimensions of positionality often have a normalising effect on such voices (Montgomery 2007: 421; Spyrou 2011: 155).

### *Mannheim*

Next to kinship descent and life phase, the term 'generation' has also been used in its meaning of 'cohort'. This simply refers to people born in the same year(s). In demographic approaches to development cohorts are used as 'a tool to observe, to describe, and sometimes to explain social change' (Corsten 1999: 255). However, for childhood and youth studies, the Mannheimian development of the idea of cohorts is of greater relevance. In his essay on *The Problem of Generations*, Karl Mannheim presents a formal sociological analysis of the generation phenomenon with the aim of better understanding some of the dynamics of historical development (Mannheim 1952). According to this schema, not all cohorts develop into a generation (ibid 1952: 310). For this to happen, there must be a 'stratification of experience' (Mannheim, in Corsten 1999: 256). This means that sharing the same historical time at which they were born (in Mannheim terms 'generational location') is a necessary, yet not a sufficient condition for the formation of an actual generation. For people to start identifying as an 'actual generation', they must also belong to a 'cultural and historical region' (Närvänen and Näsman 2004: 78–9). Specifying the generational dimension of belonging further, Corsten (1999: 258) defines 'actual generations' as cohorts 'who do not only have something in common, they have also a (common) sense for (a kind of knowledge about) the fact that they have something in common'. Mannheim further coined the phrase 'generational units'. These are different groups of young people within the same actual generation that have experienced and respond to the same historical events very differently (Mannheim 1952: 304).

Given development studies' concern with social transformation, the Mannheimian interpretation of generation has much immediate intuitive



549 appeal—and indeed, the generationally marked use of social media  
550 and digital technologies only adds to this (Barendregt 2008; Shah and  
551 Abraham 2009; Mesch and Talmud 2010; Ezbawy 2012; Meek 2012;  
552 Buckingham et al. 2014; Huijismans and Trần Thị Hà Lan 2015).<sup>8</sup> While  
553 the Mannheimian interpretation of generation also echoes through the  
554 widely used development slogan of ‘youth as agents of change’, there are  
555 also questions and concerns.

556 First, Mannheim placed the formative period of generational identi-  
557 ties in the youth stage of the life course. Leena Alanen (2001: 16) rightly  
558 asks whether it is not in childhood that generations are formed. Second,  
559 at what point in the life course can we truly speak of an actual genera-  
560 tion? ‘Reflective participation in intellectual issues and shared experi-  
561 ences’ (Närvänen and Näsman 2004: 79) is, in part, also produced by the  
562 increased intensity of the institutionalisation of the life phases of childhood  
563 and youth, yet does that render cohorts of young people into actual genera-  
564 tions or can this only be ascertained if at later points in the life course  
565 this is still observable? Third, the Mannheimian interpretation of genera-  
566 tion contributes to reinforcing a common feature in the cultural studies of  
567 youth, in that a disproportionate share of scholarly attention goes out to  
568 so-called ‘spectacular youth’ such a punkers, skinheads, skaters, and those  
569 youth involved in social movements, with relatively little attention paid to  
570 ordinary, and especially, rural youth (Robson et al. 2007). Fourth, atten-  
571 tion to spectacular youth easily equates the idea of youth with young men.  
572 This leads to a gender-blind perspective of youth because it leaves female  
573 youth out of sight while the male dimension too often remains unmarked  
574 (Sadjad, this volume; Huijismans 2014b).

575 The aforementioned discussion has not more than scratched the sur-  
576 face of the multiple ways in which ideas of age and generation have been  
577 conceptualised. Even so, I hope to have demonstrated the relevance of  
578 these relational concepts for a firmer analytical anchoring of research on  
579 childhood and youth in the field of development studies. Table 1.1 pulls  
580 together the discussion. It outlines the main concepts of age various inter-  
581 pretations of generation presuppose, the key relations they capture and  
582 also how each of these different interpretations of generation comes with  
583 its own cluster of connecting concepts.

584 As all tables, Table 1.1 is not more than a heuristic device. The vari-  
585 ous interpretations of generation flow into one another (Vanderbeck and  
586 Worth 2015: 2) and are here separated for analytical purposes only. For  
587 example, the life phase understanding of generation overlaps with the

<b>Table 1.1</b> Concepts of generation and age in relational approaches to childhood and youth					
<i>Interpretation of 'generation'</i>	<i>Pre-supposing concepts of age</i>	<i>Relationality</i>	<i>Clustering 'age' conceptually with</i>	<i>Examples</i>	
Kinship descent	Relative age, social age	Relations between household members (possibly spatially dispersed and fictive kin)	Kinship, gender, generational reciprocity, negotiation, socialisation, parenting, childing, the home	Parent-child	t1.1
				and sibling	t1.2
				relations,	t1.3
				intra-	t1.4
				household	t1.5
				bargaining	t1.6
					t1.7
					t1.8
					t1.9
					t1.10
					t1.11
Life phase	Chronological age, social age	Relation between social institutions and individual biographies	Social institutions and norms, consumption and market forces, gender, age-based identities, place, life course, transitions, performativity	Youth	t1.12
				transitions,	t1.13
				schooling,	t1.14
				children's	t1.15
				work	t1.16
					t1.17
					t1.18
					t1.19
					t1.20
					t1.21
				Mannheimian understanding of generation	Social age, chronological age
sub-cultures,	t1.23				
post-	t1.24				
Apartheid	t1.25				
generation in	t1.26				
South Africa,	t1.27				
<i>Đổi Mới</i>	t1.28				
generation	t1.29				
(economic	t1.30				
reform in	t1.31				
Vietnam)	t1.32				

idea of social age. What sets the two apart is less empirical than analytical and thus defined by the questions we ask and the conceptual frames we employ. Furthermore, conceptual innovation is often achieved by working across different interpretations. For example, Samantha Punch's (2015) notion of 'negotiated and constrained interdependencies' for understanding youth transitions combines the idea of generation as a life phase and generation in terms of kinship descent.

The conceptual journey through different understandings of age and generation that is presented in this section adds childhood and youth

AU1

597 studies specific substance to Thelen et al.'s (2014) relational framework.  
598 More specifically, it provides a conceptual basis for different ways of  
599 understanding the idea of generational modalities, a starting point for how  
600 'boundary' work might look like in relation to different concepts of age,  
601 and together this sheds light on how to understand the embeddedness of  
602 actors in generational and age-related terms.

### 603 APPROACHING DEVELOPMENT

604 Development is a highly contested concept and the study of development  
605 has branched out into various intellectual directions (Thomas 2000).  
606 Within this scholarly landscape, the approach to development informing  
607 this book can best be described as people-centred and empirically rooted  
608 in the everyday. This means that local lives, structures, and processes are  
609 taken as the starting point for explaining why things work the way they do  
610 (Rigg 2007: 7–8).

611 A focus on the everyday is explicitly relation; the messy, fluid, and net-  
612 worked characteristics of the everyday amount to unmaking the seemingly  
613 fixed and clear-cut categories that inform so much scholarly work on chil-  
614 dren and youth (Balagopalan 2014: 183) and development too (Mosse  
615 2005). This does not mean that I consider the local scale and the every-  
616 day in isolation from larger structures, relations, and histories—quite the  
617 contrary. Drawing on the ethnography of development and globalisation,  
618 I treat the local and the global as constantly interacting, co-constituting  
619 (Appadurai 1996: 32; Katz 2004; Maira and Soep 2005; Mosse 2005),  
620 and historically particular (Morrison 2015; Huijsmans 2016; Woronov  
621 2016). In this view the everyday, even in out-of-the-way places, is drawing  
622 on Charles Piot (1999), 'remotely global'.

623 In line with contemporary approaches to development studies  
624 (McMichael 2004) and practice (United Nations 2016), this volume  
625 adopts a global approach. Next to the more conventional case studies,  
626 this volume, thus, includes three chapters based on research conducted in  
627 the Global North and a chapter that concentrates on the global develop-  
628 ment framework of the Sustainable Development Goals. The chapter by  
629 Sara Vida Coumans concentrates on the Dutch debate about regulating  
630 sex work. Since 'sexual and reproductive health and rights' is one of the  
631 four central themes of Dutch development cooperation it is worthwhile  
632 unravelling the specific ideas underpinning the Dutch debate as these are  
633 likely to have an effect on Dutch development cooperation on this theme.

The chapter by Elyse Mills addresses the challenges faced by Canadians 634  
 aspiring to become 'young farmers'. The generational problem of farm- 635  
 ing is receiving much attention in research in the global South (e.g. 636  
 White 2012). Mills' work shows that the dynamics that exclude many 637  
 young people from farming futures in the Global South, especially the 638  
 increase in large-scale, capital intensive agriculture, are not very differ- 639  
 ent in the Canadian context. International migration is one of the factors 640  
 that have reconfigured the geographies of development. This is vividly 641  
 illustrated by Mahardhika Sjamsoeod Sadjad, who, as a young, female, 642  
 Muslim, Indonesian researcher reflects on her research encounters with 643  
 young Dutch Muslims from migrant backgrounds as part of her MA in 644  
 Development Studies. She not only makes a strong case for attending to 645  
 positionality in the relational exercise of doing research, her work also 646  
 contributes to redrawing the geographical boundaries of development 647  
 studies. 648

STRUCTURE OF THE BOOK 649

Next follow 12 full chapters and a commentary by Nicola Ansell. Part 650  
 I of the book ('theorising age and generation in young lives') starts off 651  
 with a chapter by Jason Hart that argues the importance of attending to 652  
 'age-position' in studying and working with young refugees. He draws on 653  
 four different interpretations of the notion of generation and develops and 654  
 illustrates these with reference to his work with young Palestinian refugees 655  
 in Jordan. Hart argues that attending to age-position is important for 656  
 appreciating the historicity of young refugee lives as well as to compre- 657  
 hend the forces that shape and reshape the particular needs and aspirations 658  
 of young male refugees. Sara Vida Coumans' contribution takes us to the 659  
 Netherlands. Drawing on recent policy debates about the increase of mini- 660  
 mum age of prostitution, she explores two very different dimensions of 661  
 age that shape sex work and vividly illustrates the idea of 'boundary work' 662  
 in relation to age (Thelen et al. 2014). Chronological age dominates in 663  
 policy discussions, yet it is the corporeal dimension of age that matters in 664  
 sex work as practice. Coumans' chapter also shows that age, in its various, 665  
 conceptualisations never works in isolation but always intersects with other 666  
 relations of social differentiation such as gender. Elyse Mills furthers the 667  
 theme of intersectionality in her chapter on becoming a young farmer in 668  
 Nova Scotia, Canada, by analysing the interaction between age and social 669  
 class. This leads her to propose the idea of 'age-class', which shows that 670

671 Canadian policies meant to support young people in becoming a young  
672 farmer are of little use for large groups of (aspiring) young farmers. She  
673 also emphasises the importance of the collective agency of young farm-  
674 ers' organisations especially for (aspiring) young farmers from middle-class  
675 and lower-class backgrounds both in terms of a support structure as well as  
676 a lobbying organisation for rethinking agrarian futures. Part I closes with  
677 Christina Clark-Kazak's chapter. She employs the concept of social age  
678 and the idea of 'age-mainstreaming' as lenses to critically assess the way  
679 age has been incorporated in the Sustainable Development Goals.

680 Part II of the book coheres around 'everyday relationalities: school,  
681 work and belonging'. On the basis of ethnographic research in two second-  
682 ary schools in the Northern Vietnamese city of Haiphong, Paul Horton  
683 unravels the generational dimension of school bullying. Countering per-  
684 spectives that understand school bullying at the level of individual chil-  
685 dren, Horton proposes that school bullying is deeply connected to the  
686 ways power works in the generational organisation of the school and that  
687 some students learn to utilise bullying in such a context as a means to  
688 influence the behaviour of others. In both Degwale Belay's and Wedadu  
689 Sayibu's contribution, the street is treated as an important everyday space  
690 and a key site of children's work. The street is an important meeting place  
691 for different 'relational modalities' (Thelen et al. 2014) as various reg-  
692 isters of meaning interact (Gigengack 2014). This renders street-based  
693 work deeply relational. The two chapters also show that children's street-  
694 based work is intricately connected to the wider, gendered and genera-  
695 tionally organised street-based urban economy. The chapters refute quick  
696 generalisations about children's street-based work. Shoe-shining, lottery  
697 vending, and accompanying blind adult beggars constitute very different  
698 relational modalities, subject to different moral registers, set within dif-  
699 ferent economic relations and presenting their own set of vulnerabilities  
700 and opportunities. Mahardhika Sjamsoeod Sadjad's chapter closes part  
701 II with a discussion of the relationality of the idea of home and belong-  
702 ing drawing on research with Dutch Muslim youth with migrant back-  
703 grounds. Her auto-ethnographic contribution brings out in vivid detail  
704 the important, yet too little acknowledged, relational dimension of doing  
705 research. Sadjad's reflection on her positionality also extends Hart's (this  
706 volume) discussion of the idea of 'having been' (in addition 'being' and  
707 'becoming') in research with children and young people.

708 Part III of the book is themed 'negotiating development'. It shows  
709 how development interventions reshape generational landscapes and how

young people from their particular position in society negotiate the various contradictions of development and work hard to 'have a life' as one of the young people in Lidewyde Berckmoes and Ben White's chapter put it. Karuna Morarji's chapter is also written in an ethnographic fashion, but unlike Sadjad's Dutch setting Morarji's chapter is set in a remote mountainous area of northern India. She illustrates how young men and women negotiate the contradictions of schooling as a modernising project that is part and parcel of the broader cultural politics of development yet distinctly differentiated by class, gender, and generation. María Gabriela Palacio focuses in her chapter on another widespread development intervention that targets the young: conditional cash transfers (CCTs). Despite the wealth of literature on CCTs and the centrality of children in the theory of change underpinning these schemes, relatively little has been written about how these affect the lived experience of being young and growing up (a notable exception includes: Streuli 2012). Drawing on research in Loja, Ecuador Palacio's chapter investigates how children's recipient status affects their relational position within the family, between children and vis-à-vis the state. Sharada Srinivasan's chapter explicitly addresses the theme of gender, another long-standing concern in development studies and practice. Srinivasan asks what it means for girls to grow up in contexts characterised by poverty and daughter aversion. This brings to the surface the particular ways in which gender discrimination manifests and is negotiated by these girls over the first two decades of their lives. Part III closes with a contribution from Lidewyde Berckmoes and Ben White based on research in rural eastern Burundi. The chapter illuminates young people's highly gendered and 'fleeting responses' to the challenges of building a livelihood and successful generational transitions in the aftermath of conflict and under conditions of extreme poverty. In contrast to various other studies, Berckmoes and White argue that young people's apparent turn-away from farming has less to do with an aversion to farming futures but is rather attributable to structural limitations over which young people have little influence.

The volume closes with a commentary by Nicola Ansell. She points out that age and generation are produced and deployed in the exercise of power in societies, and thus fundamental concepts for understanding contexts in which development interventions play out.

Children and young people are central to questions of development. This argument is mostly made in reference to demographic data showing that especially in poorer parts of the world typically a large share of

749 the population falls in the childhood and youth category (Ansell 2005:  
750 3; World Bank 2006: 4). The relational perspective running through  
751 the chapters of this book demands adjusting this oft-repeated argument.  
752 Although sheer numbers matter, 'generationing' development is ultimately  
753 an analytical exercise.<sup>9</sup> Research with and on children and youth consti-  
754 tutes a unique window on processes of social change and continuity for  
755 the ways in which the temporal dimension of development interacts with  
756 the embodied and gendered experience of being young and growing up  
757 (Cole and Durham 2008; MacDonald 2011; Woronov 2016). Similarly,  
758 processes of development are key for childhood and youth studies pre-  
759 cisely because development plays out in generational landscapes. Thereby,  
760 development transforms the opportunities structures shaping young lives,  
761 reshuffles the parameters within which young people negotiate their gen-  
762 erational position and within which they give new meaning to the very  
763 idea of childhood and youth. The chapters in this volume thus stimulate  
764 further thinking on how ideas of age and generation help coming to grips  
765 with development as a generational process—especially, though not exclu-  
766 sively, in how it pertains to children and young people.

## NOTES

767

- 768 1. Note though that there is much ambiguity about the use of the term  
769 'children' or 'youth', especially in relation to 15–18 years old where  
770 according to international age-based definitions both labels apply.  
771 Yet, the choice of term matters. A study framed in terms of gang  
772 youth suggests a very different research problem than a study on  
773 street children even though the subjects may well be the same young  
774 people.
- 775 2. Note here too a recently launched Collaborative Research Network  
776 on 'life course' between the Association for Anthropology and  
777 Gerontology, the Anthropology of Aging and the Life Course  
778 Interest Group (AALCIG) and the Anthropology of Children and  
779 Youth Interest Group, see: [https://lists.capalon.com/lists/list-  
780 info/acvig\\_lifecourse](https://lists.capalon.com/lists/list-info/acvig_lifecourse)
- 781 3. Note that *Facebook* requires one to enter a date of birth when setting  
782 up an account and uses 13 as the minimum age for opening an  
783 account.
- 784 4. In the Korean age system (co-existing in Korea with a chronological  
785 system based on calendar years from birth), a newborn baby is

- considered one year of age at birth and turns two on the first day of the New Year (Gregorian calendar). 786  
787
5. Age is an important marker of seniority, but at times this may be overruled by other markers of rank such as religious status, kin ship relations, class or nationality. 788  
789  
790
  6. Virginia Morrow (2013: 152) refers in this respect to the idea of 'functional age'. 791  
792
  7. The idea of generation as life phase has several points of overlap with the notion of social age discussed above. Yet, what sets the two apart is that the idea of life phase implies the larger framework of the life course. Social age, on the other hand, does not necessarily mobilise such a larger generational order as it foregrounds subjectivities and performativity. 793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798
  8. Note here also the branding of cohorts into generational identities for commercial purposes, as is illustrated by the frequent use of terms like 'generation X', 'generation Y', etc., in the marketing literature. 799  
800  
801  
802
  9. The term 'generationing' has been defined by Mayall (2002: 27) as 'the relational process whereby people come to be known as children, and whereby children and childhood acquire certain characteristics'. Clearly, the idea of 'generationing' is by no means limited to children and youth. 803  
804  
805  
806  
807

REFERENCES

808

Alanen, L. (2001). Explorations in generational analysis. In L. Alanen & B. Mayall (Eds.), *Conceptualizing child-adult relations* (pp. 11–22). London/New York: RoutledgeFalmer. 809  
810  
811

Alderson, P., & Morrow, V. (2004). *Ethics, social research and consulting with children and young people*. Ilford/Essex: Barnardo's. 812  
813

Alexander, P. (2014). Learning to act your age: 'Age imaginaries' and media consumption in an English secondary school. In D. Buckingham, S. Bragg, & M. J. Kehily (Eds.), *Youth cultures in the age of global media* (pp. 136–149). Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan. 814  
815  
816  
817

Amit-Talai, V., & Wulff, H. (Eds.) (1995). *Youth cultures: A crosscultural perspective*. London/New York: Routledge. 818  
819

Ansell, N. (2005). *Children, youth and development*. London/New York: Routledge. 820  
821

Ansell, N. (2009). Childhood and the politics of scale: Descaling children's geographies? *Progress in Human Geography*, 33(2), 190–109. 822  
823



- 824 Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: cultural dimensions of globalization*.  
825 Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press.
- 826 Ariès, P. (1962). *Centuries of childhood: A social history of family life*. Vintage  
827 Books/Random House: New York.
- 828 Balagopalan, S. (2014). *Inhabiting 'childhood': Children, labour and schooling in  
829 postcolonial India*. Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 830 Barendregt, B. (2008). Sex, cannibals, and the language of cool: Indonesian tales  
831 of the phone and modernity. *The Information Society*, 24(3), 160–170.
- 832 Beazley, H., & Chakraborty, K. (2008). Cool consumption: Rasta, punk and  
833 Bollywood on the streets of Yogyakarta, Indonesia and Kolkata, India. In U. M.  
834 Rodrigues & B. Smail (Eds.), *Youth, media and culture in the Asia Pacific  
835 region* (pp. 195–214). Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- 836 Boellstorff, T. (2008). *Coming of age in second life: An anthropologist explores the  
837 virtually human*. Princeton/Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- 838 Bourdillon, M. F. C., White, B., & Myers, W. E. (2009). Re-assessing minimum  
839 age standards for children's work. *International Journal of Sociology and Social  
840 Policy*, 29(3/4).
- 841 Boyden, J., & Zharkevich, I. (forthcoming). The impact of development on chil-  
842 dren. In H. Callan (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of anthropology:  
843 Anthropology beyond text*. New York: Wiley Blackwell.
- 844 Boyden, J., Dercon, S., & Singh, A. (2015). Child development in a changing  
845 world: Risks and opportunities. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 30(2),  
846 193–219.
- 847 Buckingham, D., Bragg, S., & Kehily, M. J. (Eds.) (2014). *Youth cultures in the  
848 age of global media*. Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 849 Camfield, L. (2011). Editorial: Young lives in transition: From school to adult-  
850 hood? *European Journal of Development Research*, 23(5), 669–678.
- 851 Carsten, J. (2004). *After Kinship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 852 Christensen, P., & James, A. (Eds.) (2000). *Research with children: Perspectives  
853 and practices*. London/New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
- 854 Christie, R. (2015). Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and indigenous  
855 peoples' literacy in Cambodia: Erosion of sovereignty? *Nations and Nationalism*,  
856 21(2), 250–269.
- 857 Clark-Kazak, C. R. (2009). Towards a working definition and application of social  
858 age in international development studies. *Journal of International Development*,  
859 45(8), 1307–1324.
- 860 Cole, J., & Durham, D. (Eds.) (2007). *Generations and globalization: Youth, age,  
861 and family in the new world economy*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- 862 Cole, J., & Durham, D. (2008). Globalization and the temporality of children and  
863 youth. In J. Cole & D. Durham (Eds.), *Figuring the future: Globalization and  
864 the temporalities of children and youth* (pp. 3–23). Santa Fe: School for Advanced  
865 Research Press.

- Corsten, M. (1999). The time of generations. *Time & Society*, 8(2-3), 249–272. 866
- Côté, J. E. (2014). Towards a new political economy of youth. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 17(4), 527–543. 867
- Edmunds, J., & Turner, B. S. (2005). Global generations: Social change in the twentieth century. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 56(4), 559–577. 869
- Elder, S. (2014). *Labour market transitions of young women and men in Asia and the Pacific*, Work4Youth publication series no. 19. Geneva: International Labour Office, Mastercard Foundation. 870
- Enfield, N. J. (2007). Meaning of the unmarked: How ‘default’ person reference does more than just refer. In N. J. Enfield & T. Stivers (Eds.), *Person reference in interaction: Linguistic, cultural and social perspectives* (pp. 97–120). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 871
- Evans, G. (1998). *The politics of ritual and remembrance: Laos since 1975*. Chiang Mai: Silkworm Books. 872
- Evans, R. (2015). Negotiating intergenerational relations and care in diverse African contexts. In R. Vanderbeck & N. Worth (Eds.), *Intergenerational space* (pp. 199–213). London/New York: Routledge. 873
- Ezabawy, Y. A. (2012). The role of youth’s new protest movements in the January 25th revolution. *IDS Bulletin*, 43(1), 26–36. 874
- Gerber, N., & Huijsmans, R. (2016). From access to post-access concerns: Rethinking inclusion in education through children’s everyday school attendance in rural Malaysia. In C. Hunner-Kreisel & S. Bohne (Eds.), *Childhood, youth and migration: Connecting global and local perspectives*. Dordrecht: Springer. 875
- Gigengack, R. (2014). ‘Beyond discourse and competence: Science and subjugated knowledge in street children studies.’ *the European Journal of Development Research* 26(2): 264–282. 876
- Goddard, V., & White, B. (1982). Special issue: Child workers and capitalist development. *Development & Change*, 13(4), 465–652. 877
- Gottlieb, A. (2004). *The afterlife is where we come from: The culture of infancy in western Africa*. Chicago/London: University of Chicago Press. 878
- Grieg, B. (1994). Invisible hands: The political economy of child labour in colonial Zimbabwe, 1890-1930. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 20(1), 27–52. 879
- Hanson, K., & Nieuwenhuys, O. (Eds.) (2013). *Reconceptualizing children’s rights in international development: Living rights, social justice, translations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 880
- Hart, J. (2008). *Business as usual? The global political economy of childhood poverty*, Young lives technical note no. 13. Oxford: Young Lives, Department of International Development, University of Oxford. 881
- Heinonen, P. (2013). *Youth gangs & street children: Culture, nurture and masculinity in Ethiopia*. New York/Oxford: Berghahn. 882

- 907 Herrera, L. (2006). What's new about youth? *Development & Change*, 37(6),  
908 1425–1434.
- 909 Herrera, L., & Bayat, A. (Eds.) (2010). *Being young and Muslim: New cultural*  
910 *politics in the global south and north*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University  
911 Press.
- 912 Hoang, L. A., & Yeoh, B. S. A. (2015). Children's agency and its contradictions  
913 in the context of transnational labour migration from Vietnam. *Global Networks*,  
914 15(2), 180–197.
- 915 Hoddinott, J. (1992). Rotten kids or manipulative parents: Are children old age  
916 security in western Kenya? *Economic Development & Cultural Change*, 40(3),  
917 545–565.
- 918 Honwana, A., & De Boeck, F. (Eds.) (2005). *Makers and breakers: Children and*  
919 *youth in postcolonial Africa*. London: James Currey.
- 920 Hopkins, P., & Hill, M. (2010). Contested bodies of asylum-seeking children. In  
921 K. Hörschelmann & R. Colls (Eds.), *Contested bodies of childhood and youth*  
922 (pp. 136–147). Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 923 Hopkins, P., & Pain, R. (2007). Geographies of age: Thinking relationally. *Area*,  
924 39(3), 287–294.
- 925 Howard, N. (2014). Teenage labor migration and antitrafficking policy in West  
926 Africa. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*,  
927 653(1), 124–140.
- 928 Huijismans, R.B.C. (2010). *Migrating children, households, and the post-socialist*  
929 *state: An ethnographic study of migration and non-migration by children and*  
930 *youth in an ethnic Lao village.* Department of Geography. Unpublished PhD  
931 thesis. Durham, Durham University.
- 932 Huijismans, R. (2011). The theatre of human trafficking: A global discourse on  
933 Lao stages. *International Journal of Social Quality*, 1(2), 66–84.
- 934 Huijismans, R. (2013). Doing gendered age': Older mothers and migrant daugh-  
935 ters negotiating care work in rural Lao PDR and Thailand. *Third World*  
936 *Quarterly*, 34(10), 1896–1910.
- 937 Huijismans, R. (2014a). Becoming a young migrant or stayer seen through the lens  
938 of 'householding': Households 'in flux' and the intersection of relations of  
939 gender and seniority. *Geoforum*, 51, 294–304.
- 940 Huijismans, R. (2014b). Gender, masculinity, and safety in the changing Lao-Thai  
941 migration landscape. In T.-D. Truong, D. Gasper, J. Handmaker, & S. I. Bergh  
942 (Eds.), *Migration, gender and social justice: Perspectives on human security*  
943 (pp. 333–349). Heidelberg/New York/Dordrecht/London: Springer.
- 944 Huijismans, R. (2015a). Children and young people in migration: A relational  
945 approach. In C. N. Laoire, A. White, & T. Skelton (Eds.), *Movement, mobilities*  
946 *and journeys* (p. 6). Singapore: Springer.
- 947 Huijismans, R. (2015b). Youth, phones and companies: Impressions from  
948 Southeast Asia. *8th conference of the European Association for Southeast Asian*  
949 *Studies (EuroSEAS)*. Vienna, 11–14 August.

- Huijsmans, R. (2016). Critical geopolitics of child and youth migration in (post) socialist Laos. In M. C. Benwell & P. Hopkins (Eds.), *Children, young people and critical geopolitics* (pp. 139–154). Farnham: Ashgate. 950–952
- Huijsmans, R., & Baker, S. (2012). Child trafficking: 'Worst Form' of child labour, or worst approach to young migrants? *Development and Change*, 43(4), 919–946. 953–955
- Huijsmans, R., & Lan, T. T. H. (2015). Enacting nationalism through youthful mobilities? Youth, mobile phones and digital capitalism in a Lao-Vietnamese borderland. *Nations and Nationalism*, 21(2), 209–229. 956–958
- Huijsmans, R., George, S., Gigengack, R., & Evers, S. J. T. M. (2014). Introduction. Theorising age and generation in development: A relational approach. *European Journal of Development Research*, 26(2), 163–174. 959–961
- Izzi, V. (2013). Just keeping them busy? youth employment projects as a peace-building tool. *International Development Planning Review*, 35(2), 103–117. 962–963
- Jeffrey, C. (2010). *Timepass: Youth, class, and the politics of waiting in India*. Stanford: Stanford University Press. 964–965
- Jeffrey, C., Jeffery, P., & Jeffery, R. (2008). *Degrees without freedom: Education, masculinities and unemployment in North India*. Stanford: Stanford University Press. 966–968
- Johnson-Hanks, J. (2002). On the limits of life stages in ethnography: Toward a theory of vital conjunctions. *American Anthropologist*, 104(3), 865–880. 969–970
- Jones, M. (2009). Phase space: Geography, relational thinking, and beyond. *Progress in Human Geography*, 33(4), 487–506. 971–972
- Kabeer, N. (2000). Intergenerational-contracts, demographic transitions, and the 'quantity-quality' tradeoff: Parents, children and investing in the future. *Journal of International Development*, 12(4), 463–482. 973–975
- Katz, C. (2004). *Growing up global: Economic restructuring and children's everyday lives*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press. 976–977
- Kierkegaard, S. (2008). Cybering, online grooming and ageplay. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 24(1), 41–55. 978–979
- Kolk, A., Rivera-Santos, M., & Rufin, C. (2013). Reviewing a decade of research on the "Base/Bottom of the Pyramid" (BOP) concept. *Business & Society*, 53, 338–377. 980–982
- Koning, J. (1997). Generations of change: A Javanese village in the 1990s. *Faculteit der Politieke en Sociaal-Culturele Wetenschappen*. PhD thesis. Amsterdam, University of Amsterdam. 983–985
- Krafft, P. (2013). Beyond 'voice', beyond 'agency', beyond 'politics'? Hybrid childhoods and some critical reflections on children's emotional geographies. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 9, 13–23. 986–988
- La Fontaine, J. S. (Ed.) (1978). *Sex and age as principles of social differentiation*. London/New York/San Francisco: Academic. 989–990
- Lall, M., & Vickers, E. (Eds.) (2009). *Education as a political tool in Asia*. London/New York: Routledge. 991–992

- 993 Laz, C. (1998). Act your age. *Sociological Forum*, 13(1), 85–113.
- 994 Liebel, M. (2004). *A will of their own: Cross-cultural perspectives on working chil-*  
995  *dren*. London/New York: Zed Books.
- 996 Lukose, R. (2005). Consuming globalization: Youth and gender in Kerala, India.  
997 *Journal of Social History*, 38(4), 915–935.
- 998 MacDonald, R. (2011). Youth transitions, unemployment and underemployment:  
999 Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose. *Journal of Sociology*, 47(4), 427–444.
- 1000 Maconachie, R., & Hilson, G. (2016). Rethinking the child labor 'problem' in  
1001 rural Sub-Saharan Africa: The case of Sierra Leone's half shovels. *World*  
1002 *Development*, 78, 136–147.
- 1003 Maira, S., & Soep, E. (Eds.) (2005). *Youthscapes: The popular, the national, the*  
1004 *global*. University of Pennsylvania Press: Philadelphia.
- 1005 Mannheim, K. (1952). *Essays on the sociology of knowledge*. London: RKP.
- 1006 Mayall, B. (2002). *Towards a sociology for childhood: Thinking from children's lives*.  
1007 Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- 1008 Mazzucato, V., & Schans, D. (2011). Transnational families and the well-being of  
1009 children: Conceptual and methodological challenges. *Journal of Marriage and*  
1010 *Family*, 73(4), 704–712.
- 1011 Mazzucato, V., Kabki, M., & Smith, L. (2006). Transnational migration and the  
1012 economy of funerals: Changing practices in Ghana. *Development and Change*,  
1013 37(5), 1047–1072.
- 1014 McMichael, P. (2004). *Development as social change: A global perspective* (3rd ed.).  
1015 London: Sage.
- 1016 McNamee, S., & Seymour, J. (2013). Towards a sociology of 10–12 year olds?  
1017 Emerging methodological issues in the 'new' social studies of childhood.  
1018 *Childhood*, 20(2), 156–168.
- 1019 Mead, M. (2001 [1928]). *Coming of age in Samoa: A psychological study of primi-*  
1020  *tive youth for western civilisation*. New York: Perennial Classics.
- 1021 Meek, D. (2012). YouTube and social movements: A phenomenological analysis  
1022 of participation, events and cyberspace. *Antipode*, 44(4), 1429–1448.
- 1023 Melchiorre, A. (2004). *At what age?...are school-children employed, married and*  
1024 *taken to court?*. Right to Education Project.
- 1025 Mesch, G. S., & Talmud, I. (2010). *Wired youth: The social world of adolescence in*  
1026 *the information age*. Hove/New York: Routledge.
- 1027 Mills, M. B. (1999). *Thai women in the global labor force: Consuming desires, con-*  
1028  *tested selves*. New Brunswick/London: Rutgers University Press.
- 1029 Montgomery, H. (2007). Working with child prostitutes in Thailand: Problems of  
1030 practice and interpretation. *Childhood*, 14(4), 415–430.
- 1031 Morrison, H. (2015). *Childhood and colonial modernity in Egypt*. Basingstoke/  
1032 New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 1033 Morrow, V. (2013). What's in a number? Unsettling the boundaries of age.  
1034 *Childhood*, 20(2), 151–155.

- Mosse, D. (2005). *Cultivating development: An ethnography of aid policy and practice*. London/Ann Arbor: Pluto Press. 1035  
1036
- Mosse, D. (2010). A relational approach to durable poverty, inequality and power. 1037  
*Journal of Development Studies*, 46(7), 1156–1178. 1038
- Myers, W., & Bourdillon, M. (2012). Introduction: Development, children, and 1039  
protection. *Development in Practice*, 22(4), 437–447. 1040
- Naafs, S., & White, B. (2012). Intermediate generations: Reflections on Indonesian 1041  
youth studies. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology*, 13(1), 3–20. 1042
- Närvänen, A.-L., & Näsman, E. (2004). Childhood as generation or life phase? 1043  
*Young*, 12(1), 71–91. 1044
- Nayak, A., & Kehily, M. J. (2013). *Gender, youth & culture: Global masculinities 1045  
& femininities* (2nd ed.). Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan. 1046
- Nieuwenhuys, O. (1994). *Children's lifeworlds: Gender, welfare and labour in the 1047  
developing world*. London: Routledge. 1048
- O'Connell Davidson, J. (2005). *Children in the global sex trade*. Cambridge/ 1049  
Malden: Polity Press. 1050
- Piot, C. (1999). *Remotely global: Village modernity in West Africa*. Chicago/ 1051  
London: The University of Chicago Press. 1052
- Proctor, T. M. (2009). *Scouting for girls: A century of girl guides and girl scouts*. 1053  
Santa Barbara: Greenwood Publishing Group. 1054
- Punch, S. (2002a). Research with children: The same or different from research 1055  
with adults? *Childhood*, 9(3), 321–341. 1056
- Punch, S. (2002b). Youth transitions and interdependent adult-child relations in 1057  
rural Bolivia. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 18(2), 123–133. 1058
- Punch, S. (2007). Negotiating migrant identities: Young people in Bolivia and 1059  
Argentina. *Children's Geographies*, 5(1), 95–112. 1060
- Punch, S. (2015). Youth transitions and migration: Negotiated and constrained 1061  
interdependencies within and across generations. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 1062  
18(2), 262–276. 1063
- Punch, S. (2016). Exploring children's agency across majority and minority world 1064  
contexts. In F. Esser, M. S. Baader, T. Betz, & B. Hungerland (Eds.), 1065  
*Reconceptualising agency and childhood: New perspectives in childhood studies* 1066  
(pp. 183–196). London: Routledge. 1067
- Rigg, J. (2007). *An everyday geography of the global South*. Abingdon/New York: 1068  
Routledge. 1069
- Robson, E., Panelli, R., & Punch, S. (Eds.) (2007). *Global perspectives on rural 1070  
childhood and youth: Young rural lives*. New York: Taylor & Francis Group. 1071
- Scott, J. C. (1998). *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human 1072  
condition have failed*. New Haven/London: Yale University Press. 1073
- Semedi, P. (2016). Pramuka: Scouting days of fun. In K. Robinson (Ed.), *Youth 1074  
identities and social transformations in Modern Indonesia* (pp. 113–129). 1075  
Leiden/Boston: Brill. 1076

- 1077 Shah, N., & Abraham, S. (2009). *Digital natives with a cause? A knowledge survey*  
1078 *and framework*. Hivos Knowledge Programme Report. The Hague, Bangalore,  
1079 Humanist Institute for Cooperation with Developing Countries (HIVOS),  
1080 Centre for Internet and Society.
- 1081 Sinha-Kerkhoff, K. (2011). Seeing the state through youth policy formation: The  
1082 case of the state of Jharkhand. *Africa Development*, XXXVI(3&4), 67–88.
- 1083 Smith, S. H. (2013). In the heart, there's nothing': Unruly youth, generational  
1084 vertigo and territory. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 38(4),  
1085 572–585.
- 1086 Spyrou, S. (2011). The limits of children's voices: From authenticity to critical,  
1087 reflexive representation. *Childhood*, 18(2), 151–165.
- 1088 Streuli, N. (2012). *Children's experiences of Juntos, a conditional cash transfer*  
1089 *scheme in Peru, Working paper no. 78*. Oxford: Young Lives, Oxford Department  
1090 of International Development, University of Oxford.
- 1091 Szymańska-Matusiewicz, G. (2014). The researcher as 'older sister', 'younger sis-  
1092 ter' and 'niece': Playing the roles defined by the Vietnamese pronominal refer-  
1093 ence system. *Qualitative Research*, 14(1), 95–111.
- 1094 Thelen, T., Vettters, L., & Von Benda-Beckmann, K. (2014). Introduction to stat-  
1095 eography: Toward a relational anthropology of the state. *Social Analysis*, 58(3),  
1096 1–19.
- 1097 Thomas, A. (2000). Meanings and views of development. In T. Allen & A. Thomas  
1098 (Eds.), *Poverty and development: Into the 21st century* (pp. 23–48). Oxford:  
1099 Open University Press with Oxford University Press.
- 1100 Thorne, B. (2004). Editorial: Theorizing age and other differences. *Childhood*,  
1101 11(4), 403–408.
- 1102 Tisdall, E. K. M., & Punch, S. (2012). Not so 'new'? Looking critically at child-  
1103 hood studies. *Children's Geographies*, 10(3), 249–264.
- 1104 Twum-Danso Imoh, A., & Ansell, N. (Eds.) (2013). *Children's lives in the era of*  
1105 *children's rights: The progress of the convention on the rights of the child in Africa*.  
1106 London: Routledge.
- 1107 United Nations. (2016). *Sustainabledevelopment knowledge platform*. Retrieved  
1108 February 21, 2016, from <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/?menu=1300>
- 1109 Uprichard, E. (2008). Children as 'being and becomings': Children, childhood  
1110 and temporality. *Children & Society*, 22(4), 303–313.
- 1111 Utrata, J. (2011). Youth privilege: Doing age and gender in Russia's single-mother  
1112 families. *Gender & Society*, 25(5), 616–641.
- 1113 Valentin, K. (2007). Mass mobilization and the struggle over the youth: The role  
1114 of Ho Chi Minh Communist youth Union in urban Vietnam. *Young*, 15(3),  
1115 299–315.
- 1116 Van Bueren, G. (1995). *The international law on the rights of the child*. The  
1117 Hague/Boston/London: Save the Children & Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

- Vanderbeck, R. M., & Worth, N. (2015). Introduction. In R. M. Vanderbeck & N. Worth (Eds.), *Intergenerational space* (pp. 1–14). London/New York: Routledge. 1118  
1119  
1120
- Vigh, H. (2006). *Navigating terrains of war: Youth and soldiering in Guinea-Bissau*. Oxford: Berghahn Books. 1121  
1122
- Vigh, H. (2009). Motion squared: A second look at the concept of social navigation. *Anthropological Theory*, 9(4), 419–438. 1123  
1124
- Wells, K. (2009). *Childhood in a global perspective*. Cambridge/Malden: Polity Press. 1125  
1126
- White, B. (1996). Globalization and the child labour problem. *Journal of International Development*, 8(6), 829–839. 1127  
1128
- White, B. (2012). Agriculture and the generation problem: Rural youth, employment and the future of farming. *IDS Bulletin*, 43(6), 9–19. 1129  
1130
- Whitehead, A., Hashim, I. M., & Iversen, V. (2007). *Child migration, child agency, and inter-generational relations in Africa and South Asia* (Working paper T24). Brighton, Development Research Centre on Migration, Globalisation & Poverty, Sussex University. 1131  
1132  
1133  
1134
- Willis, P. E. (1981). *Learning to labour: How working class kids get working class jobs*. Hampshire: Gower Publishing Company Ltd. 1135  
1136
- Wilson, H., & Huntington, A. (2006). Deviant (M)others: The construction of teenage motherhood in contemporary discourse. *Journal of Social Policy*, 35(01), 59–76. 1137  
1138  
1139
- World Bank. (2006). *World development report 2007. Development and the next generation*. Washington, DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank. 1140  
1141  
1142
- Woronov, T. E. (2016). *Class work: Vocational schools and China's urban youth*. Stanford: Stanford University Press. 1143  
1144
- Worth, N. (2014). Youth, relationality, and space: Conceptual resources for youth studies from critical human geography. In J. Wyn & H. Cahill (Eds.), *Handbook of children and youth studies*. Singapore: Springer. 1145  
1146  
1147
- Wyn, J., & White, R. (1997). *Rethinking youth*. London/Thousand Oaks/New Delhi: Sage. 1148  
1149
- Xu, Q. (2015). One roof, different dreams. In R. M. Vanderbeck & N. Worth (Eds.), *Intergenerational space* (pp. 183–196). London/New York: Routledge. 1150  
1151
- Young, M. E. (Ed.) (2007). *Child development from measurement to action: A priority for growth and equity*. Washington, DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank. 1152  
1153  
1154
- Young Lives. Young lives: An international study of childhood poverty. From <http://www.younglives.org.uk/> 1155  
1156



# Author Query

Chapter No.: 1      0002793870

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	The superscript number “7” has been deleted after the sentence “What sets the two apart is less empirical...”. Please check.	

Uncorrected Proof