

Letter of intent in international contracting

© Ekaterina Pannebakker, 2016. All rights reserved. No part of this text may be reproduced, distributed or transmitted in any form or by any means including photocopying, recording or other electronic or mechanical methods, without prior written permission of the author.

Letter of Intent in International Contracting

Intentieverklaring bij internationaal contracteren

Thesis

to obtain the degree of Doctor from the
Erasmus University Rotterdam
by command of the
rector magnificus

Prof. dr. H.A.P. Pols

and in accordance with the decision of the Doctorate Board.
The public defence shall be held on

22 December 2016 at 11.30 hours
by

Ekaterina Pannebakker
born in Moscow, Russia

Doctoral Committee:

Promotors: Prof. dr. X.E. Kramer
Prof. dr. S.D. Lindenbergh

Other members: Prof. N.H. Andrews M.A., B.C.L.
Prof. dr. A.G. Castermans
Prof. dr. H.N. Schelhaas

Acknowledgments

This study is a result of a doctoral trajectory, during which many people supported me. Their help is deeply appreciated.

Particular words of gratitude are owed to my promotor: Xandra Kramer for her encouragement and professionalism in supervision and Siewert Lindenbergh for his thoughtful input at the last stage of the research. I am grateful to Ellen Hey and Sanne Taekema for their coaching during the drafting of research proposal. At the last stage of writing, I appreciate the time of the members of the doctoral committee Harriët Schelhaas, Neil Andrews, and Alex Geert Castermans who have kindly agreed to read the typescript, and value the interest of the other committee members Sanne Taekema, Bob Wessels, Rieme-Jan Tjittes and Filip De Ly.

Thanks are also due to Filip De Ly and the *Groupe de Travail Contrats Internationaux* for always having reminded me about the law in practice; from among the Groupe's members, a particular note of gratitude is owed to Denis Philippe for sharing his thoughts about an arbitration clause in a letter of intent.

Part of the research was conducted abroad. The stay at the University of Cambridge (UK) greatly contributed to the study. I respectfully thank Neil Andrews for his kind invitation. The time in Italy at the UNIDROIT was inspiring and fruitful, thanks to the UNIDROIT staff in Rome. It was kind of Anna Veneziano to explain in broad brushstrokes the general structure of the DCFR. It was also a privilege to meet young professionals. I am indebted to Morgane Bona-Pellissier for her help in accessing sources of French law and to Alexandra Logue for a general discussion about the US legal system. A note of acknowledgment is also due to the UK Foundation for International Uniform Law for the financial contribution to the stay in Italy.

My colleagues at Erasmus School of Law have made the research time a pleasant human experience. I am grateful to Laura van Bochove for insights into the Dutch culture and language and for her integrity in the common tasks we still happen to have. Thanks to Alina Ontanu for having loyally shared with me the workplace and good times in Cambridge, to Erlis Themeli, Piotr Wilinski, Marta Kolacz, Denise Korthals Altes Biemans, Steven Stuij, Monique Hazelhorst and fellow PhD candidates for many interesting discussions. Thanks to the former student assistants Sander Rijsterborgh, Ramses de Leeuw, Tom Vleeschhouwer, and the secretariat of the Private law department for technical support.

That Laura van Bochove and Erlis Themeli accepted to stand by me as paranymphs at the ceremony of the doctoral defense is a great favour.

The last words of gratitude are to my mother and my family for their humour and encouragement and to Nelleke Stolwijk for all her practical help, genuine cheer and optimism.

The law is stated at the end of August 2016.

Ekaterina Pannebakker
Rotterdam, autumn 2016

Contents

Acknowledgments	i
Abbreviations	xi
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Memorandum of agreement in <i>Texaco v. Pennzoil</i>	1
1.2. Defining letter of intent.....	2
1.2.1. Multitude of interchangeable titles.....	2
1.2.2. From broad definition to qualification	2
1.2.3. Working definition: contractually organized negotiations.....	3
1.3. Problems posed by issuing letter of intent and this study's hypothesis.....	3
1.3.1. Note on levels of rule-making.....	3
1.3.2. National level: contract formation and precontractual liability.....	4
1.3.3. International level: hypothesis on privatization of negotiations in business self-regulation	5
1.4. Research question	6
1.5. Focus and scope	7
1.6. Methodology	9
1.6.1. Comparative functional method in three steps.....	9
1.6.2. The first step: interdisciplinary argument to identify parameters for comparison	10
1.6.3. The second step: comparison, selected jurisdictions	11
1.6.4. The third step: towards a harmonized approach	13
1.7. Sources and translation	14
1.8. Outline of the study.....	14
2. Dynamics of negotiations and the content of letter of intent	17
2.1. Introduction.....	17
2.2. Negotiations	19
2.2.1. Strategies and tactics	19
2.2.2. Substantive and dynamic constituents.....	20
2.3. Statics in contract formation	22
2.3.1. Note on offer and acceptance	22
2.3.2. Existing critique of statics in the analysis of contract formation	24
2.4. Identifying the dynamics	27
2.4.1. The critique of the offer and acceptance rules in the light of negotiation studies.	27
2.4.2. Criterion for distinguishing between statics and dynamics.....	28
2.5. Conclusion	29
3. Dutch law	31
3.1. Introduction.....	31
3.2. Sources of Dutch law and terminology	32
3.2.1. Dutch Civil code, case law, and scholarship	32
3.2.2. Translation of terms.....	33
3.3. Negotiations as an invisible magnetic field	34

3.3.1.	Freedom of negotiations	34
3.3.2.	Limitations of freedom of negotiations and factors to balance.....	35
3.3.2.1.	Reasonableness and fairness.....	36
3.3.2.2.	Expectation of the imminent conclusion of the negotiated contract.....	37
3.3.2.3.	Justified interest of the party breaking off negotiations	39
3.4.	Contract to negotiate	39
3.4.1.	Gradual formation of contract.....	40
3.4.2.	Types of contracts to negotiate	40
3.4.3.	Criteria of distinction	41
3.5.	Tort law: misuse of information received in negotiations	42
3.6.	The limited role of unjust enrichment.....	43
3.7.	Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law	44
3.7.1.	Agreement to negotiate	44
3.7.2.	Agreement to negotiate in good faith	45
3.7.3.	Provision on the non-binding character of negotiations.....	45
3.7.3.1.	Subject to contract provisions.....	45
3.7.3.2.	Honourable pledge	47
3.7.4.	Coming about of the final contract within the discretion of one of the parties	47
3.7.5.	Exclusivity.....	48
3.7.6.	Confidentiality.....	49
3.7.7.	Provisions on costs incurred in negotiations and liability	50
3.7.8.	Break-up fees	51
3.7.9.	Dispute resolution.....	51
3.7.9.1.	Choice of law	51
3.7.9.2.	Choice of court	52
3.7.9.3.	Arbitration	53
3.8.	Liability and remedies.....	54
3.8.1.	Remedies.....	54
3.8.1.1.	Recovery of damage	54
3.8.1.2.	Order to negotiate.....	55
3.8.2.	Interaction of causes of action	56
3.8.3.	Liability for breaking off negotiations: a liability in tort? The debate and its relevance	56
3.8.4.	Conditions of liability in contract and tort.....	57
3.9.	Conclusion	58
4.	French law.....	61
4.1.	Introduction.....	61
4.2.	Sources of French law and terminology.....	63
4.2.1.	Code civil, reform of 2016, case law and scholarship.....	63
4.2.2.	Translation of terms.....	64
4.3.	Negotiations as particularly rigorous ethics.....	65
4.3.1.	Freedom of negotiations	65
4.3.2.	Good faith and related duties.....	66
4.3.2.1.	Bonne foi as umbrella for precontractual duties	66
4.3.2.2.	Loyalty.....	67
4.3.2.3.	Consistent behaviour.....	68

4.3.2.4. Transparency	69
4.3.2.5. Confidentiality	70
4.3.3. Breach of the duty as fault in tort.....	70
4.3.3.1. Fault related to withdrawal from negotiations	71
4.3.3.2. Fault in conducting negotiations	75
4.4. Avant-contrat.....	75
4.4.1. Agreements to negotiate	76
4.4.1.1. Project of contract	76
4.4.1.2. Agreement in principle	77
4.4.2. Preliminary agreements in the Ordonnance	78
4.4.2.1. Pre-emption agreement	78
4.4.2.2. Unilateral promise	79
4.4.3. Interim agreement.....	80
4.5. Limited role of unjust enrichment.....	81
4.6. Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law.....	83
4.6.1. Provision on the non-binding character of negotiations.....	83
4.6.2. Obligation to negotiate	84
4.6.3. Exclusivity.....	84
4.6.4. Confidentiality.....	84
4.6.5. Dispute resolution.....	85
4.6.6. Distribution of costs	86
4.7. Liability and remedies.....	86
4.7.1. Precontractual liability in tort.....	86
4.7.1.1. Damages	87
4.7.1.2. Decrease of recovery for taking risks	89
4.7.1.3. Conditions of liability in tort.....	90
4.7.2. Contractual liability: remedies for breach of avant-contrat.....	91
4.7.2.1. Breach of an obligation to negotiate.....	91
4.7.2.2. Breach of pre-emption agreement.....	91
4.7.2.3. Breach of unilateral promise	92
4.7.2.4. Conditions of contractual liability	92
4.7.3. Interaction of causes of action	92
4.8. Conclusion	93
5. English law	95
5.1. Introduction	95
5.2. Sources of English law	96
5.3. Negotiations as adversarial process	97
5.3.1. Freedom of negotiations	98
5.3.2. Unenforceability of agreement to agree and similar	98
5.3.2.1. Walford v. Miles.....	98
5.3.2.2. Best efforts, reasonable endeavours and equivalents.....	100
5.3.2.3. Agreement to negotiate in good faith.....	101
5.3.3. Exception to unenforceability: lock-out agreement.....	102
5.3.3.1. Lock-out agreement	102
5.3.3.2. Requirements of consideration and specified time	102
5.3.3.3. Reasonable period of exclusivity implied	103

5.3.3.4. Right of first negotiation.....	104
5.3.4. Exception to unenforceability: best endeavours to obtain an export license or a planning permission	105
5.3.5. No general contract law duties and academic debate	106
5.3.5.1. Critiques of the assumption on the adversarial position of parties in negotiations	106
5.3.5.2. Reflections on possible content of bona fides in negotiations	107
5.3.5.3. Judicial standards of ‘reasonableness’ of refusal to mediate	108
5.4. Contract law framework: contractual intent and its negation.....	110
5.4.1. Honourable pledge	110
5.4.2. Negotiations ‘subject to contract’	111
5.4.2.1. ‘Subject to contract’: further matters to be negotiated	111
5.4.2.2. ‘Subject to contract’: formal contract to be signed or essential term to be agreed	112
5.4.2.3. Implied waiver of ‘subject to contract’ clause	113
5.4.3. Divide into binding and non-binding provisions.....	118
5.4.4. Relevant matters of interpretation.....	118
5.4.4.1. Objective principle.....	118
5.4.4.2. Ordinary meaning.....	120
5.4.4.3. Implied terms.....	120
5.4.4.4. Issues of law and fact	122
5.4.4.5. Parol evidence rule	122
5.5. Non-contractual doctrines mandatorily applicable to negotiations.....	122
5.5.1. Tort law	123
5.5.1.1. Misrepresentation	123
5.5.1.2. Deceit.....	124
5.5.1.3. Negligent misrepresentation.....	125
5.5.2. Duty of confidence in relations involving trust.....	127
5.5.3. Unjust enrichment	128
5.5.4. Note on estoppel	129
5.6. Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law	130
5.6.1. Provisions on costs and anticipated performance: three lines of cases	130
5.6.1.1. Contractual causes of action granted to both parties: RTS Flexible Systems v. Molkerei Alois Müller.....	131
5.6.1.2. Only restitution allowed: British Steel Corp v. Cleveland Bridge and Whittle Movers v. Hollywood Express	133
5.6.1.3. No remedy for taking a business risk: Regalian Properties v. London Docklands	136
5.6.2. Confidentiality.....	138
5.6.3. Dispute resolution.....	139
5.6.3.1. Choice of law	139
5.6.3.2. Choice of court	140
5.6.3.3. Arbitration	141
5.6.3.4. Mediation	142
5.7. Remedies and liability.....	144
5.7.1. Contract law	144

5.7.2.	Tort law	146
5.7.2.1.	Deceit.....	146
5.7.2.2.	Negligent misrepresentation.....	147
5.7.3.	Unjust enrichment	147
5.7.4.	Breach of duty of confidence.....	147
5.7.5.	Note on exemplary damages	148
5.7.6.	Concurrence of actions	148
5.8.	Conclusion	148
6.	US law.....	153
6.1.	Introduction	153
6.2.	Approach to the US legal system.....	155
6.2.1.	The term US law and the approach of this Chapter	155
6.2.2.	Judicial system	156
6.2.3.	Sources of law	157
6.2.3.1.	Case law	158
6.2.3.2.	Systematized and unified of law	158
6.2.3.3.	Law and equity.....	159
6.3.	From ‘all or nothing’ to differentiation	160
6.3.1.	Negotiations as alea.....	160
6.3.2.	Preliminary regimes versus ultimate agreement	161
6.3.3.	The three modes of the courts’ approach	162
6.3.3.1.	Search for the ultimate agreement.....	162
6.3.3.2.	Implied good faith: diverging state law	163
6.3.3.3.	Further differentiation.....	164
6.3.3.4.	Intent as a criterion for applying a preliminary regime	164
6.3.4.	Assessment of intent: factors	165
6.3.4.1.	Language.....	166
6.3.4.2.	Anticipated performance	168
6.3.4.3.	Open issues.....	169
6.3.4.4.	Writing usually used	169
6.3.4.5.	Entire context of negotiations.....	170
6.3.5.	The most relevant issues of interpretation	171
6.3.5.1.	Subjective and objective intent.....	171
6.3.5.2.	Questions of fact and questions of law	172
6.3.5.3.	Parol evidence rule	172
6.4.	Non-contractual doctrines mandatorily applicable to negotiations.....	173
6.4.1.	Promissory estoppel: protection of precontractual reliance	173
6.4.2.	Tort law	176
6.4.2.1.	Misrepresentation of intent to reach agreement	176
6.4.2.2.	Appropriation of a trade secret.....	179
6.4.3.	Law of restitution and unjust enrichment	180
6.5.	Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law.....	184
6.5.1.	Development of the enforceability requirements.....	184
6.5.1.1.	Intent as a condition of enforceability	184
6.5.1.2.	Other conditions of enforceability	184
6.5.2.	Agreement to agree	186

6.5.3.	Contractualized good faith	186
6.5.4.	Negotiations subject to future contract	188
6.5.5.	Exclusivity.....	188
6.5.6.	Confidentiality.....	189
6.5.7.	Division into binding and non-binding provisions	190
6.5.8.	Dispute resolution.....	190
6.5.8.1.	Choice of law	190
6.5.8.2.	Choice of forum including arbitration	191
6.5.8.3.	Mediation	193
6.6.	Remedies and liability.....	193
6.6.1.	Contract law.....	194
6.6.2.	Promissory estoppel	196
6.6.3.	Tort law	197
6.6.4.	Law of restitution and unjust enrichment.....	198
6.6.5.	Interaction of causes of action	198
6.6.6.	Note on punitive damages.....	199
6.7.	Conclusion	199
7.	Comparative observations	201
7.1.	Introduction.....	201
7.2.	Note on the selection of the provisions addressed	202
7.3.	Obligation to negotiate or agreement to agree	203
7.4.	Obligation to negotiate in good faith	205
7.5.	Keeping negotiations non-binding	207
7.6.	Dividing negotiations into binding and non-binding parts.....	209
7.7.	Confidentiality	211
7.8.	Exclusivity	214
7.9.	Provisions on costs incurred in negotiations.....	216
7.9.1.	Cost allocation.....	216
7.9.2.	Anticipating recovery and liability	219
7.10.	Dispute resolution.....	221
7.10.1.	Choice of law.....	221
7.10.2.	Choice of court.....	223
7.10.3.	Arbitration.....	224
7.10.4.	Note on Mediation.....	225
7.11.	Remedies.....	225
7.11.1.	Damages.....	226
7.11.1.1.	Costs wasted in negotiations	226
7.11.1.2.	Costs incurred in anticipation of a contract.....	226
7.11.1.3.	Loss of opportunity to conclude the negotiated final contract.....	227
7.11.1.4.	Loss of opportunity to conclude a contract with a third party.....	228
7.11.1.5.	Harm to the reputation	229
7.11.2.	Gain-based remedies	229
7.11.3.	Precluding reliance on a right, remedy or defence	230
7.11.4.	Specific performance and injunction	230
7.11.5.	Note on punitive and exemplary damages.....	231
7.12.	Main similarities and differences, their explanation	231

7.12.1. Main similarities and differences.....	232
7.12.2. Explaining the similarities	233
7.12.2.1. Reception and common legal heritage	233
7.12.2.2. Judicial endorsement of private regulation	234
7.12.3. Explaining the differences.....	236
7.12.3.1. Socio-economic development.....	236
7.12.3.2. Historical development	236
8. International instruments: CISG and soft law	239
8.1. Introduction	239
8.2. Genesis and prospects of uniform and harmonized contract law	240
8.3. UN Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG).....	244
8.3.1. Scope and structure of CISG	244
8.3.2. Applicability to contractual negotiations.....	245
8.3.2.1. Contra	245
8.3.2.2. Pro.....	246
8.3.2.3. Discussion	247
8.4. Scope and structure of the soft law instruments discussed	249
8.4.1. UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts (UPICC)	249
8.4.2. Principles of European Contract Law (PECL).....	250
8.4.3. Draft Common Frame of Reference (DCFR).....	251
8.4.3.1. Scope and structure.....	251
8.4.3.2. Regulation of negotiations within the structure of DCFR	252
8.5. Provisions on negotiations in soft law.....	253
8.5.1. Freedom of negotiations	253
8.5.1.1. UPICC	253
8.5.1.2. PECL	254
8.5.1.3. DCFR.....	255
8.5.2. Bona fides generally.....	255
8.5.2.1. UPICC: international standard	255
8.5.2.2. PECL: general duty	258
8.5.2.3. DCFR: overarching duty	259
8.5.3. Bona fides in negotiations	260
8.5.3.1. UPICC: negotiations in bad faith.....	260
8.5.3.2. PECL: negotiations contrary to bona fides	262
8.5.3.3. DCFR: negotiations contrary to bona fides and the duty to disclose information	263
8.5.4. Protection of reliance	264
8.5.4.1. UPICC: explicit prohibition of inconsistent behaviour	264
8.5.4.2. PECL: implicit requirement as part of bona fides	266
8.5.4.3. DCFR: requirement of transparency in conduct.....	266
8.5.5. Confidentiality in negotiations.....	267
8.5.5.1. UPICC	267
8.5.5.2. PECL	268
8.5.5.3. DCFR.....	269
8.5.6. Tools for precluding formation of the final contract.....	270
8.5.6.1. UPICC: terms to be agreed and form	270

8.5.6.2. UPICC: suspensive condition within the discretion of one party	271
8.5.6.3. PECL: agreement to negotiate	272
8.5.6.4. PECL: preclusion of formation of the final contract	272
8.6. Remedies	273
8.6.1. UPICC	273
8.6.2. PECL	274
8.6.3. DCFR	276
8.6.4. Nature and conditions of liability	278
8.6.4.1. UPICC: contractual liability	278
8.6.4.2. PECL: contractual liability	278
8.6.4.3. DCFR: self-standing non-contractual right to recovery	279
8.7. Conclusion	280
9. Conclusion	283
9.1. Letter of intent as an international trade usage	284
9.1.1. Patterns of repetitive behaviour	284
9.1.2. Some normative force among merchants	285
9.1.3. Relevance of the identified trade usage for the harmonization of law	287
9.2. Recommendations	288
9.2.1. Amended soft law rules on negotiations	289
9.2.2. Four steps for analysing the legal effects of a letter of intent	289
9.3. Letter of intent and the contract formation mechanism	291
9.3.1. Possibility to organize negotiations contractually	291
9.3.2. Contractual intention and stand-alone obligations	292
9.3.3. Contractual obligation with two caveats	294
9.4. Strategies and tactics of negotiations and transnational bona fides	294
9.4.1. Standards of bona fides	295
9.4.2. No requirement to sacrifice commercial self-interest	296
9.4.3. Contractualized bona fides	297
9.5. Effects of private regulation of negotiations on liability and remedies	302
9.5.1. Special character of liability and limits on recovery	302
9.5.2. Factors to balance in establishing liability	302
9.5.3. Remedies	304
9.6. Final remarks	306
Samenvatting	307
Bibliography	315
Table of cases by country	369
Curriculum Vitae	379