Fibrinolysis During Liver Transplantation Is Enhanced by Using Solvent/Detergent Virus-Inactivated Plasma (ESDEP®)
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After the introduction of solvent/detergent-treated plasma (ESDEP®) in our hospital, an increased incidence of hyperfibrinolysis was observed (75% vs 29%; P < 0.005) compared with the use of fresh frozen plasma for liver transplantation. To clarify this increased incidence, intraoperative plasma samples of patients treated with fresh frozen plasma or ESDEP were analyzed in a retrospective observational study. During the anhepatic phase, plasma levels of d-dimer (6.58 vs 1.53 μg/mL; P = 0.02) and fibrinogen degradation products (60 vs 23 mg/L; P = 0.018) were significantly higher in patients treated with ESDEP. After reperfusion, differences increased to 23.5 vs 4.7 μg/mL (d-dimer, P = 0.002) and 161 vs 57 mg/L (fibrinogen degradation products, P = 0.001). The amount of plasma received per packed red blood cell concentrate, clotting tests, and levels of individual clotting factors did not show significant differences between the groups. α₂-Antiplasmin levels, however, were significantly lower in patients receiving ESDEP during the anhepatic phase (0.37 vs 0.65 IU/mL; P < 0.001) and after reperfusion (0.27 vs 0.58 IU/mL; P = 0.001). Analysis of α₂-antiplasmin levels in ESDEP alone showed a reduction to 0.28 IU/mL (normal >0.95 IU/mL) because of the solvent/detergent process. Therapeutic consequences for the use of ESDEP in orthotopic liver transplantation are discussed in view of an increased incidence of hyperfibrinolysis caused by reduced levels of α₂-antiplasmin in the solvent/detergent-treated plasma.
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To minimize the risk of transmission of the lipid-coated viruses hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and human immunodeficiency virus in blood component substitution therapy, solvent/detergent (SD)-treated plasma has been used in our hospital since 1996. Since its introduction, an increase in hyperfibrinolysis during orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) has been observed. Enhanced fibrinolytic activity during OLT has been attributed to high levels of tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA) and contributes to serious bleeding complications (3). Plasma levels of t-PA peak just after reperfusion as a result of the absent clearance during the anhepatic phase and the release of t-PA from damaged endothelial cells in the donor liver (4). In vitro studies demonstrated some alterations in individual clotting factors caused by SD treatment, particularly a loss of clotting factor VIII (up to 20%), protein S (35%), and α₂-antiplasmin (up to 76%) (5,6). In this observational study, intraoperative plasma samples of patients treated with SD-treated plasma or fresh-frozen plasma (FFP) were analyzed to find an explanation for the increased incidence of hyperfibrinolysis observed with the use of SD-treated plasma (ESDEP®, CLB Amsterdam, The Netherlands) during OLT.

Methods
All the studies, including this study, running in the Rotterdam liver transplantation center are under the surveillance of the medical ethics committee of the Erasmus University Rotterdam. From June 1994 to March 1997, 67 patients underwent OLT for end-stage cirrhosis after written, informed consent was obtained. In 41 patients, complete coagulation follow-up during the procedure was present. From June 1994 to December 1995, clotting factors were substituted in 21 patients with FFP (300 mL; CLB Amsterdam). After January 1996, SD virus-inactivated plasma (ESDEP, 200 mL) was used in 20 patients. The ESDEP was prepared from pooled plasma of 2000 voluntary Dutch blood donors, and virus inactivation was realized by treating the pooled plasma with
1% tri-(n-butyl)phosphate and 1% Triton X-100 (7) by Octapharma (Octapharma, Vienna, Austria). Anesthesia was induced with Thiopental 3–4 mg/kg, midazolam 0.1 mg/kg, and sufentanil 0.5 μg/kg. Muscle relaxation was achieved with pancuronium 0.1 mg/kg. Anesthesia was maintained with midazolam 0.1 mg · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹, sufentanil 0.2 μg · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹, and pancuronium 25 μg/kg. Calcium chloride was given by infusion as required. Inotropic support was provided if necessary with dopamine 5–10 μg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹ and, sometimes, epinephrine 0.25 μg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹. Packed red blood cells (PRBC) were transfused to maintain a hematocrit of 25%. FFP or ESDEP was infused 10 mL/kg in the preanhepatic phase to correct severe coagulopathy and was then infused in a ratio of 1 mL/mL PRBC or per milliliter of salvaged blood. Platelet count was kept >80 × 10⁹/L by infusion of platelet suspension. If fibrinogen decreased to <1.0 g/L, purified fibrinogen (Hemocomplettan P; CLB Amsterdam) was given. A venovenous bypass with heparin-coated tubing (Biococonsole; Biomedicus, Minneapolis, MN) was used after trial clamping of the caval vein. A blood cell salvage system (CATS; Fresenius, Schweinfurt, Germany) and rapid infusion system (Hefine 1128) were routinely used.

Routine coagulation tests (activated partial thromboplastin time, partial thromboplastin time, thrombocyte count, thrombin time 5E and 10E, fibrinogen, Thrombotest™, and Normotest™) were performed on arterial blood collected 5 min after the induction of anesthesia (but before the administration of plasma), 5 min after hepatectomy, 5 min after reperfusion of the donor liver, and at the end of the procedure. Fibrinolysis was detected with four-channel Thrombelastograph (TEG®; Haemoscope Corp., Skokie, IL) recordings according to the criteria of Kang et al. (8), standard at sampling times and further when clinically indicated. The following values were measured: reaction time, coagulation time, maximal amplitude (MA), and clot lysis index (CLI) at 30 and 60 min (MA – MA₄)/MA × 100%. Tranexamic acid (500–1000 mg) was administered when TEG® recordings showed a CLI₆₀ of more than 10% and generalized oozing occurred. After the administration of tranexamic acid, the result was evaluated with a new TEG® recording, and, if necessary, the treatment was repeated with dosages of 10–15 mg · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹. To confirm the diagnosis of fibrinolysis, n-dimers were analyzed afterward in stored plasma by using the Miniquant automated agglutination analyzer and Miniquant test kit (Biopool Kordia, Leiden, The Netherlands). Fibrinogen degradation products (FDP) were also analyzed in stored plasma by using a Latex agglutination reaction (Diagnostica Stago Roche, The Netherlands). Clotting factor II, VII, VIII, IX, and X levels were analyzed afterward by standard clotting assays in stored plasma (−80°C) on an automatic coagulation laboratory (Instrumentation Laboratory, Breda, The Netherlands) by using human deficient plasma (Biopool Kordia). Antithrombin-III and α₂-antiplasmin were determined with a chromogenic assay (Dade-Behring, Leusden, The Netherlands). All data are presented as mean ± sem. After normality was tested with Shapiro-Wilk statistics, a Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used for data analysis. α₂-Antiplasmin levels had logarithmic transformation before analysis, and the changes from baseline α₂-antiplasmin levels were investigated with repeated-measures analysis of variance including time period and type of plasma as covariates. For 2 × 2 table data, the χ² test with the Yates correction or Fisher’s exact test was used. Differences were considered significant for P < 0.05.

Results
The characteristics of the FFP- and ESDEP-Treated groups are summarized in Table 1. In patients treated with FFP (n = 21), hyperfibrinolysis was seen in six individuals (29%): in one patient during the preanhepatic phase, in one patient during both the preanhepatic and the anhepatic phase, in one patient during both the anhepatic and reperfusion phase, and in three patients after reperfusion. In the ESDEP-Treated group (n = 20), hyperfibrinolysis was present in 15 patients (75% vs 29%; P = 0.005). In 4 patients, an episode of hyperfibrinolysis occurred during the preanhepatic phase (20% vs 10%; not significant); in 8 patients, during the anhepatic phase (40% vs 10%; P = 0.03) and in 10 patients, after reperfusion (50% vs 19%; P = 0.05). In 7 of these 15 patients with hyperfibrinolysis, it occurred repeatedly: in 3 patients during both the preanhepatic and the anhepatic phase and in 4 patients in the anhepatic phase and after reperfusion. CLIs after 60 min were 4% vs 26% (P = 0.03) in the anhepatic phase and 4% vs 40% (P = 0.03) after reperfusion (Table 2). The dose of tranexamic acid given in both groups to patients with excessive fibrinolysis was similar. In the patients treated with FFP, 6500 mg of tranexamic acid was administered to six patients (29%) (mean dose, 1083 mg per patient). In the ESDEP-Treated group, 12 patients (60%) received 15,250 mg of tranexamic acid (mean dose, 1271 mg per patient; not significant).

Analysis of standard coagulation variables during the procedure revealed no significant differences between patients treated with FFP or ESDEP, except for factor VIII after induction and the prothrombin time in the anhepatic phase (Table 3). However, n-dimer and FDP levels were significantly higher in the ESDEP-treated patients in the anhepatic phase and after reperfusion, consistent with the increased fibrinolytic activity recorded on TEG® (Table 3).
Table 1. Basic Characteristics of Patients Undergoing Orthotopic Liver Transplantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>FFP (n = 21)</th>
<th>ESDEP (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>53 (23–65)*</td>
<td>44 (23–62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>15/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral hepatitis cirrhosis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestatic liver disease</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cirrhosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min)</td>
<td>419 (14)</td>
<td>436 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>12,173 (1,907)</td>
<td>15,191 (3,155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRBC (U)</td>
<td>13.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>15.3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell salvage blood (mL)</td>
<td>2,481 (635)</td>
<td>2,655 (981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma (mL)</td>
<td>4,271 (616)</td>
<td>5,440 (903)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (g)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (U)</td>
<td>19.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>20.0 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma/PRBC (mL)</td>
<td>342 (30)</td>
<td>383 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (sem) or (range).

FFP = fresh frozen plasma; ESDEP® = solvent/detergent-treated plasma; PRBC = packed red blood cells.

* P < 0.05 versus ESDEP®.

Also, determination of α2-antiplasmin levels showed significant differences between treatment with FFP or ESDEP (Fig. 1). α2-Antiplasmin levels after the onset of anesthesia were not statistically different in the FFP- and ESDEP-Treated groups. In patients who received FFP, α2-antiplasmin levels remained relatively stable and decreased from 0.76 to 0.65 IU/mL in the anhepatic phase, decreased to 0.58 IU/mL after reperfusion, and leveled out at 0.58 IU/mL at the end of the procedure. The corresponding levels in patients treated with ESDEP were 0.64 IU/mL (not significant), 0.37 IU/mL (P < 0.001), 0.27 IU/mL (P = 0.006), and 0.40 IU/mL (P = 0.03), respectively. Repeated analysis of variance confirmed that type of plasma was responsible for the decrease from baseline value, independent from the time course. Analysis of ESDEP itself revealed normal levels of all clotting factors (>0.81 IU/mL), AT-III (>0.81 IU/mL), protein C (0.80 IU/mL), and plasminogen (0.94 IU/mL). Levels of factor VIII (0.71 IU/mL) and protein S (0.58 IU/mL) were slightly decreased, but levels of α2-antiplasmin were severely decreased to 0.28 ± 0.02 IU/mL (normal, 0.95–1.20 IU/mL) (5). Linear regression showed statistically significant relationships between the level of α2-antiplasmin and the CLI60 (P < 0.01) and CLI60 (P < 0.01), the level of FDP and CLI30 (P < 0.03), and the d-dimer level and CLI60 (P < 0.01) and CL160 (P < 0.01). Despite the increased fibrinolysis, there was no difference in total blood loss between patients receiving FFP or ESDEP, but blood loss was significantly larger in the 21 of 41 patients from both groups in whom hyperfibrinolysis occurred (18,054 ± 2,469 mL vs 8,683 ± 1,339 mL; P < 0.001). In addition, the transfusion requirements for PRBC, platelets, fibrinogen, and plasma were also larger in patients with hyperfibrinolysis (all P < 0.001).

Discussion

OLT has become the standard treatment for end-stage liver disease, but the procedure still can be associated with severe disorders of hemostasis (9). A key role in the pathogenesis of intraoperative bleeding is played by enhanced fibrinolysis during the anhepatic and reperfusion phase (10,11). To minimize the risk of viral transmission, SD virus-inactivated plasma was introduced in 1996 in our hospital, and thereafter an increase in hyperfibrinolysis in patients undergoing OLT was observed. SD treatment of FFP is an effective method to reduce lipid-coated viruses by >5 log 10 (12,13), but it has been reported that because of the SD process, the concentration of factor VIII, protein S, and α2-antiplasmin is reduced (5,6).

α2-Antiplasmin is a main physiological inhibitor of t-PA-induced fibrinolysis and fibrinogenolysis (14,15), which are held responsible for uncontrollable intraoperative bleeding. Inhibition of this fibrinolysis may occur at the level of plasminogen activation by plasminogen activator inhibitor or at the level of plasm in by α2-antiplasmin (16). Once large amounts of t-PA are released into the circulation of the recipient, α2-antiplasmin plays a key role in the scavenging of the formed free plasmin. Free plasmin is extremely rapidly inactivated by α2-antiplasmin; the half-life of free plasmin is estimated to be approximately 0.1 seconds. Without adequate α2-antiplasmin levels, a small amount of t-PA can start the offset of systemic fibrinolysis (17), because the fibrin degradation products can amplify the plasminogen activation (18).

Although the efficacy of SD plasma in clinical studies in patients with coagulation disorders has been established (19,20), the balance between pro- and antifibrinolytic activity in our patients seems to be disturbed during OLT by SD plasma substitution. In patients with chronic liver disease, enhanced fibrinolysis occurred approximately three times more in the anhepatic phase and after reperfusion in patients who received ESDEP than in patients treated with FFP. Determination of α2-antiplasmin concentrations in ESDEP showed decreased levels, in accordance with the results presented in the literature (5,6). In 47% of the patients treated with ESDEP, hyperfibrinolytic episodes did recur, despite adequate treatment of the first hyperfibrinolytic episode, proven by normalization of the TEG® recordings. We think that this effect is caused by the large plasma exchanges in OLT, which dilute the level of α2-antiplasmin in the patient to the level of ESDEP itself.
In a randomized multicenter study by Freeman et al. (21), no differences were seen in coagulation variables between patients receiving FFP- or SD-treated plasma during OLT, but $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels were not determined in their study. Hyperfibrinolytic problems were not mentioned in the article, probably because of standard prophylactic use of antifibrinolytic drugs in the participating centers in the United Kingdom. In our patients with chronic liver disease, $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels were relatively high (0.70 IU/mL) after the induction of anesthesia, in contrast to the markedly reduced $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels reported for patients with advanced liver disease (22,23). Low levels of $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin in the anhepatic phase and after reperfusion in the ESDEP-treated patients compared with the FFP-treated patients explains the increase in hyperfibrinolysis seen in the former group. At the end of the procedure, $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels were increasing in the patients treated with ESDEP, probably because of increased clearance of t-PA by the donor liver and starting synthesis of $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin. In accordance with the study of Freeman et al. (21), no differences were found in other coagulation variables, use of blood products, or blood loss between the FFP- and ESDEP-treated patients. However, patients from both groups with hyperfibrinolysis had significantly more

![Figure 1. Intraoperative time course of the $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels.](image)

Patients with chronic liver disease treated with fresh frozen plasma ($n = 21$). ▀Patients with chronic liver disease treated with solvent/detergent virus-inactivated plasma ($n = 20$). *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$.

In a randomized multicenter study by Freeman et al. (21), no differences were seen in coagulation variables between patients receiving FFP- or SD-treated plasma during OLT, but $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels were not determined in their study. Hyperfibrinolytic problems were not mentioned in the article, probably because of standard prophylactic use of antifibrinolytic drugs in the participating centers in the United Kingdom. In our patients with chronic liver disease, $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels were relatively high (0.70 IU/mL) after the induction of anesthesia, in contrast to the markedly reduced $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels reported for patients with advanced liver disease (22,23). Low levels of $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin in the anhepatic phase and after reperfusion in the ESDEP-treated patients compared with the FFP-treated patients explains the increase in hyperfibrinolysis seen in the former group. At the end of the procedure, $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin levels were increasing in the patients treated with ESDEP, probably because of increased clearance of t-PA by the donor liver and starting synthesis of $\alpha_2$-antiplasmin. In accordance with the study of Freeman et al. (21), no differences were found in other coagulation variables, use of blood products, or blood loss between the FFP- and ESDEP-treated patients. However, patients from both groups with hyperfibrinolysis had significantly more
bleeding and transfusion requirements than patients without hyperfibrinolysis.

In summary, the results of this observational study have to be interpreted carefully, because other factors could have caused our findings. However, in the time interval of this study, no changes occurred in our operating or anesthesiology techniques, medical staff, or transfusion protocol. Also, the increased incidence of hyperfibrinolysis was present immediately after switching from FFP to ESDEP. Infusion of SD-treated plasma in patients needing massive transfusion may lead to insufficient levels of α2-antiplasmin and subsequently to secondary hyperfibrinolysis. This hyperfibrinolysis cannot be corrected with infusion of more SD-treated plasma, because this would dilute the α2-antiplasmin concentration in the patient to that of ESDEP itself (0.28 IU/L). Therefore, it should be treated with antifibrinolytic medication. The effects of aprotinin on antiplasmin activity, hyperfibrinolysis, and subsequent blood loss have been reported with various outcomes (3,24–27). Considering the significantly larger blood loss in patients with hyperfibrinolysis in our study, routine administration of antifibrinolytic drugs when using SD virus-inactivated plasma is suggested.
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