Three-dimensional US Assessment of Hepatic Volume, Head Circumference, and Abdominal Circumference in Healthy and Growth-restricted Fetuses

PURPOSE: To establish reproducibility and normal values for fetal hepatic volume and its significance in identification of fetal growth restriction relative to head and upper abdominal circumferences according to a cross-sectional study design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Pregnant women (n = 135) underwent ultrasonography. The coefficient of variation (CV) for hepatic volume scans obtained at 0 and 20 minutes and hepatic area tracings, performed twice for each scan, was determined (n = 20; range, 23–36 weeks). Normal data for hepatic volume and head and upper abdominal circumferences were obtained (n = 85; range, 20–36 weeks) and related to data from growth-restricted fetuses (birth weight < P5 centile; n = 24; range, 22–36 weeks).

RESULTS: CV was 2.9% for volume scans and 1.6% for area tracings. In 85 uncomplicated cases, mean fetal hepatic volume (P50 centile) was 9.7 mL (SD) at 20 weeks and 96.4 mL (SD) at 36 weeks of gestation. In 24 growth-restricted fetuses, hepatic volume, head circumference, and upper abdominal circumference expressed as percentages of the normal P50 centile were 45%, 90%, and 82%, respectively. Mean difference in hepatic volume between fetal growth restriction and normal fetal development, as expressed with the z score, −4.32 ± 1.4, was significantly different (P < .05) from that for head circumference, −3.04 ± 1.3, but not from that for upper abdominal circumference, −4.7 ± 1.2. Fetal hepatic measurement was obtained in 109 pregnancies.

CONCLUSION: Acceptable reproducibility exists for hepatic volume determinations. In fetal growth restriction, reduction is more pronounced for hepatic volume than for head or upper abdominal circumference; hepatic volume is a better discriminator than head circumference but not upper abdominal circumference.

Measurement of fetal upper abdominal circumference is considered the mainstay of ultrasonographic (US) determination of fetal growth and estimation of fetal weight (1,2). The fetal liver makes up most of the abdomen, as measured by means of abdominal circumference. Measurement of fetal hepatic volume to identify fetal growth restriction is of interest, since both the human and the rat have severely depleted hepatic glycogen stores associated with growth restriction (3). Due to fetal malnutrition, reduction in fetal hepatic weight is more pronounced than is reduction in brain weight because of the brain-sparing effect, which reflects redistribution of fetal blood flow during chronic fetal hypoxemia (4). Measurement of fetal hepatic volume may thus contribute to the early detection of fetal growth restriction. Authors of another study (5) dealing with measurements of fetal hepatic length in fetal growth restriction suggest that other intraabdominal...
organs, reduced amounts of fat, or elevation of the diaphragm because of poor pulmonary growth also contribute to reduced fetal upper abdominal circumference.

Recently, a more direct approach to measurement of fetal hepatic volume by using three-dimensional (3D) US was reported (6), including preliminary data on normal fetal hepatic volume from our center (Erasmus University Medical Centre, University Hospital Rotterdam-Dijkzigt, Rotterdam, the Netherlands) (7). To our knowledge, no data are available about 3D US measurement of fetal hepatic volume in fetal growth restriction. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to establish reproducibility and normal values for fetal hepatic volume and its importance in identification of fetal growth restriction relative to head and upper abdominal circumferences according to a cross-sectional study design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During the period of April 1997 to October 2000, 135 women with a singleton pregnancy from our outpatient unit (Erasmus University Medical Centre, University Hospital Rotterdam-Dijkzigt, Rotterdam, the Netherlands) consented to participate in the study after approval was granted by the institutional ethics review board. This group included 34 normal singleton pregnancies from a previous study (7).

Technically acceptable US hepatic recordings were obtained in 85 of 104 uncomplicated pregnancies and in 24 of 31 pregnancies associated with fetal growth restriction, which resulted in success rates of 82% and 77%, respectively. A US restriction, which resulted in success complicated pregnancies and in 24 of 31 normal singleton pregnancies from a preliminary study (8). To our knowledge, no data are available about 3D US measurement of fetal hepatic volume in fetal growth restriction. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to establish reproducibility and normal values for fetal hepatic volume and its importance in identification of fetal growth restriction relative to head and upper abdominal circumferences according to a cross-sectional study design.

Pregnancy duration was determined from last menstruation and confirmed by means of US before 20 weeks of gestation. Gestation was at 20–36 weeks (median, 30 weeks) in uncomplicated pregnancies and 22–36 weeks (median, 29 weeks) in the subset of pregnancies with fetal growth restriction. Maternal age in all 109 pregnancies was 19–42 years (median, 29 years).

The 5-MHz annular array transducer (VSW 3–5; Kretz Technik, Zipf, Austria) of the US machine (Combison 530-D; Kretz Technik) was used for volume scanning. Two examiners (S.M.E.B. and J.A.M.L.) obtained the data and hepatic volume measurements.

Organ volumes were determined by slicing through collected images and recording a truncated pyramidal volume. Depth, longitudinal, and transverse dimensions of this volume were adjustable, with an initial area of 6.6 × 3.3 cm, up to a maximum area of 28 × 22 cm at a depth of 20 cm. These dimensions provide a maximum scanned volume of 5.9 L. The maximum resolution in the longitudinal direction was 512 ultrasound lines for each of the maximum of 500 sections in the transverse, or sweep, direction.

In the present study, the opening angle of each plane was 70°, and the angle of the volume sample was limited to a maximum of 60°. The depth of the volume was restricted to 12–16 cm, with a resolution of 210 sections per volume. After each US scan, the volume was presented in three perpendicular planes on the monitor and represented frontal, sagittal, and transverse cross sections of the fetus. Data were stored on hard disk (Omega Jaz Drive; Omega, Roy, Utah) for off-line analysis.

In a first step toward fetal hepatic volume determination, a frontal cross section of the liver anterior to the stomach was visualized. In a simultaneously demonstrated sagittal cross section, the outline of the liver was traced manually in approximately 10 sagittal sections between the most lateral left and right points of the diaphragm in the frontal plane. The liver was measured from its upper limit at the diaphragm to its lower limit at the distal rim. The system automatically kept track of the distances between the sections and calculated the total volume after each area measurement was completed.

In each instance, fetal hepatic volume recording was followed by measurement of fetal head and upper abdominal circumferences and femur length. Fetal weight was estimated from these biometric parameters by using the Hadlock formula (10).

Reproducibility

The first 20 uncomplicated singleton pregnancies examined by S.M.E.B. were enrolled in the reproducibility study. Pregnancy duration was at 23–36 weeks of gestation. Hepatic volume recordings were obtained at 0 and 20 minutes to determine the intraobserver variability as expressed by means of the coefficient of variation (CV). To establish the CV for fetal hepatic volume tracings, the tracings were performed twice for each hepatic volume recording—the one obtained at 0 minutes and the one obtained at 20 minutes. Analysis of variance was used to calculate the variance and the SD within the separate categories of recordings and tracings. The CV was calculated by dividing the SD by the overall mean of the measurements.

Statistics

The gestational age–related reference centiles—P5, P50, and P95—were constructed by using the method described by Altman (11). According to this procedure, we modeled the relationships among hepatic volume, head circumference, upper abdominal circumference, and gestational age by means of a cubic polynomial. Either the linear or quadratic term was left out because of too low a tolerance (SPSS version 8.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, Ill.). The age-specific SD was obtained from linear regression of the absolute residuals on gestational age. Polynomial linear regression was used to calculate the relationship between hepatic volume and estimated fetal weight.

Data from hepatic volume, head circumference, and upper abdominal circumference for fetal growth restriction were expressed as ratios. Every observed value of the growth-restricted fetus was divided by the corresponding normal P50 value for gestational age. Abdominal circumference and head circumference ratios were raised to the power of three to estimate the abdominal and head volume reduction in growth-restricted fetuses. These values were compared with the 3D hepatic volume data for fetal growth restriction.

Mean difference in hepatic volume between fetuses with growth restriction and those with normal development was determined with the z score, which was calculated as follows: z score = hepatic vol-
volume_{FGR} = P50_{normal}/SD_{normal}, where FGR represents fetuses with growth restriction and “normal” represents fetuses with normal development. In the group with fetal growth restriction, the percentage of normal P50 values and z scores of the hepatic volume were compared with upper abdominal and head circumference values by means of the paired sample t test. The level of statistical significance was set at .05.

RESULTS

The number of confirmed normally developed fetuses was 85 and the number of growth-restricted fetuses was 24. CVs for hepatic volume recordings and hepatic volume tracings were 2.9% (1.735/59.791) and 1.6% (0.968/59.791), respectively, which resulted in a total CV of 3.3% (1.986/59.791) for all fetuses. The number of uncomplicated pregnancies per gestational week varied between three and 12. Mean gestational age at delivery was 40.1 weeks ± 1.2 (SD). In uncomplicated pregnancies, mean fetal hepatic volume was 9.7 mL ± 4.4 at 20 weeks and 36.4 mL ± 8.2 at 36 weeks of gestation (Fig 1). At 20 and 36 weeks, respectively, mean fetal head circumferences (Fig 2) were 17.5 cm ± 0.7 and 31.7 cm ± 0.9, and mean fetal upper abdominal circumferences (Fig 3) were 14.8 cm ± 0.8 and 31.4 cm ± 1.0 in uncomplicated pregnancies. A significant linear relation was established between fetal hepatic volume and estimated fetal weight (P = .001) (Fig 4).

In fetuses with growth restriction, mean gestational age at delivery was 31 weeks ± 4.6, mean fetal birth weight was 1,140 g ± 636, and mean lag time between hepatic volume measurement and delivery was 2.5 weeks ± 1.4. Fetal hepatic volume, fetal head circumference, and upper abdominal circumference were below the 5th centile of the reference chart in most or all cases (Figs 1–3).

Mean difference in hepatic volume between fetuses with growth restriction and those with normal development as expressed by means of percentage of the normal P50 values was 45% ± 13.0, which is significantly different (P < .001) from head circumference, 90% ± 4.2, and fetal upper abdominal circumference, 82% ± 4.1. Within the subset of growth-restricted fetuses, mean hepatic volume was also significantly smaller (P < .001) than the estimated head volume of 75% ± 11 and estimated abdominal volume of 56% ± 8.4. Mean difference in hepatic volume between fetuses with growth restriction and those with normal development, as expressed with the z score, was −3.42 ± 1.4, which is significantly different (P < .05) from the z score for head circumference, −3.04 ± 1.3, but not that for fetal upper abdominal circumference, −4.7 ± 1.2.

DISCUSSION

The present study focused on 3D US measurements of fetal hepatic volume in normal and abnormal fetal development during the second half of pregnancy. The success rate in acquiring technically acceptable fetal hepatic volume measurements was as high as 82% in uncomplicated pregnancies and lower (77%) in fetuses with growth restriction.

Low image quality occurred in the presence of maternal obesity or when the fetal back was in the anterior position. In the latter case, fetal bones, particularly vertebrae and ribs, caused shadows on some parts of the fetal abdomen, which limited the definition of some sections inside the volume box. Reduced amniotic fluid volume constituted a reason for not obtaining a technically acceptable hepatic volume measurement in the growth-restricted fetus. In the present study, the second half of pregnancy was not selected because of 3D hepatic volume measurement limitation before 20 weeks of gesta-
tion (6) but because fetal growth restriction usually does not begin until 22–24 weeks of gestation.

Acceptable reproducibility of hepatic volume measurements is essential before embarking on a clinical study. We demonstrated that the reproducibility of fetal hepatic volume recordings and tracings is good, with a total CV less than 4%. We did not study the accuracy of fetal hepatic volume measurements with 3D US, but other investigations (12–14) have been performed to confirm the accuracy of 3D US volume measurements.

In uncomplicated pregnancies, fetal hepatic volume demonstrates a tenfold increase with advancing gestational age and increasing fetal weight. The regression line, shown in Figure 4, demonstrates that the hepatic volume is proportional to estimated fetal weight during the second half of pregnancy.

Currently, US measurement of fetal head and upper abdominal circumferences is the most widely used method of establishing impaired fetal growth (15,16). The detection of fetal growth restriction by means of head circumference measurements may be limited because of fetal brain sparing in the presence of chronic fetal hypoxemia. Results of a majority of studies (15,16) have shown that the most effective method of detecting fetal growth restriction is measurement of the upper abdominal circumference. However, this measurement is not satisfactory because the positive predictive value for detecting fetal growth restriction may be as low as 21% (17). Therefore, accurate assessment of hepatic volume may contribute to the early detection of growth-restricted fetuses, since hepatic weight in these fetuses is reduced due to reduction in hepatic glycogen stores (3).

Fetal hepatic volume has been estimated indirectly through measurement of hepatic length, circumference, and area by using two-dimensional US (18,19) and more recently by using 3D US. A linear relationship has been described between two-dimensional fetal hepatic length and fetal upper abdominal circumference in uncomplicated pregnancies (18,19). Three-dimensional US measurements of the normal hepatic volume demonstrated a close linear relation between hepatic volume and estimated fetal weight (6,7). Baker et al (17) were able to show that fetal hepatic volume measurements obtained with magnetic resonance (MR) imaging allowed accurate detection of fetuses that were subsequently found to be growth restricted. However, MR imaging is associated with reduced patient acceptability and high cost.

The present study results show that fetal growth restriction is associated with reduced hepatic volume in every instance. Moreover, when expressed as a percentage of the normal P50, the decrease in hepatic volume is more pronounced than is the reduction in head circumference or upper abdominal circumference. When looking at the mean difference in hepatic volume between normal and reduced fetal growth, as expressed with the z score, we found a significant difference only when comparing these values with head circumference, which confirms the brain-sparing effect during abnormal fetal development.

Three-dimensional US measurements of fetal hepatic volume show good reproducibility. Normal fetal hepatic volume is tenfold larger at the end of gestation, as compared with that at the beginning of the second half of pregnancy. Fetal growth restriction affects fetal size, but fetal hepatic volume measurement is not a better discriminator for growth restriction than is upper abdominal circumference measurement.
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